

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS

J. Math. Anal. Appl. 339 (2008) 996-1002

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

# Strong convergence of the composite Halpern iteration

Xiaolong Qin<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Yongfu Su<sup>a</sup>, Meijuan Shang<sup>b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, Tianjin Polytechnic University, Tianjin 300160, China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju 660-701, Republic of Korea

<sup>c</sup> Department of Mathematics, Shijiazhuang University, Shijiazhuang 050035, China

Received 16 November 2005 Available online 1 August 2007 Submitted by D. Khavinson

#### Abstract

Let C be a closed convex subset of a uniformly smooth Banach space E and let  $T: C \to C$  be a nonexpansive mapping with a nonempty fixed points set. Given a point  $u \in C$ , the initial guess  $x_0 \in C$  is chosen arbitrarily and given sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ,  $\{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  in (0, 1), the following conditions are satisfied:

(i)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty;$ 

- (ii)  $\alpha_n \to 0$ ,  $\beta_n \to 0$  and  $0 < a \le \gamma_n$ , for some  $a \in (0, 1)$ ; (iii)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} \alpha_n| < \infty$ ,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} \beta_n| < \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\gamma_{n+1} \gamma_n| < \infty$ . Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a composite iteration process defined by

 $\begin{cases} z_n = \gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n) T x_n, \\ y_n = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n) T z_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) y_n, \end{cases}$ 

then  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges strongly to a fixed point of T.

© 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Iteration scheme; Sunny and nonexpansive retraction; Nonexpansive mapping; Banach space

# 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let E be a real Banach space, C a nonempty closed convex subset of E, and  $T: C \to C$  a mapping. Recall that T is nonexpansive if

 $||Tx - Ty|| \leq ||x - y||$ , for all  $x, y \in C$ .

Corresponding author at: Department of Mathematics, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju 660-701, Republic of Korea. E-mail address: qxlxajh@163.com (X. Qin).

<sup>0022-247</sup>X/\$ - see front matter © 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.07.062

A point  $x \in C$  is a fixed point of T provided Tx = x. Denote by F(T) the set of fixed points of T; that is  $F(T) = \{x \in C: Tx = x\}$ . It is assumed throughout that T is a nonexpansive mapping such that  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ .

One classical way to study nonexpansive mappings is to use contractions to approximate a nonexpansive mapping [3,11]. More precisely, take  $t \in (0, 1)$  and define a contraction  $T_t : C \to C$  by

$$T_t x = t u + (1 - t) T x, \quad x \in C,$$

where  $u \in C$  is a arbitrary (but fixed) point. Banach's Contraction Mapping Principle guarantees that  $T_t$  has a unique fixed point  $x_t$  in C. It is unclear, in general, what is the behavior of  $\{x_t\}$  as  $t \to 0$ , even if T has a fixed point. However, in the case of T having a fixed point, Browder [3] proved that, if E is a uniformly smooth Banach space, then  $\{x_t\}$  converges strongly to a fixed point of T and the limit defines the (unique) sunny nonexpansive retraction from C onto F(T).

In 1967, Halpern [6] first introduced the following iteration scheme:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 = x \in C, & \text{chosen arbitrarily,} \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) T x_n, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

see also Browder [2]. He pointed out that the conditions  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$  are necessary in the sense that, if the iteration scheme (1.1) converges to a fixed point of *T*, then these conditions must be satisfied. Ten years later, Lions [8] investigated the general case in Hilbert space under the conditions

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1})^2}{\alpha_{n+1}^2} = 0$$

on the parameters. However, Lions' conditions on the parameters were more restrictive and did not include the natural candidate  $\{\alpha_n\} = \frac{1}{n}$ . In 1980, Reich [11] gave the iteration scheme (1.1) in the case when *E* is uniformly smooth and  $\{\alpha_n\} = n^{-\delta}$  with  $0 < \delta < 1$ .

In 1992, Wittmann [12] studied the iteration scheme (1.1) in the case when E is a Hilbert space and  $\{\alpha_n\}$  satisfies

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty$$

In 1994, Reich [10] obtained a strong convergence of the iterates (1.1) with two necessary and decreasing conditions on parameters for convergence in the case when *E* is uniformly smooth with a weakly continuous duality mapping.

Recently Chang [4] studied the iteration scheme (1.1) in the case when E is a uniformly smooth Banach space and  $\{\alpha_n\}$  satisfies

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \|Tx_n - x_n\| \to 0,$$

then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a fixed point of T.

This paper introduces the composite iteration scheme as follows:

$$\begin{cases} z_n = \gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n) T x_n, \\ y_n = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n) T z_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) y_n, \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

where  $u \in C$  is an arbitrary (but fixed) element in *C*, and  $\{\alpha_n\}$ ,  $\{\beta_n\}$  and  $\{\gamma_n\}$  are sequences in [0, 1]. We prove, under certain appropriate assumptions on the sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}$ ,  $\{\beta_n\}$  and  $\{\gamma_n\}$ , that  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (1.2) converges strongly to a fixed point of *T*.

If  $\{\beta_n\} = 0$  and  $\{\gamma_n\} = 1$  in (1.2) then we have the usual Halpern iterative sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (1.1).

On the other hand, the composite iterations this paper introduced is a modified Ishikawa iteration. If  $\{\gamma_n\} = 1$  in (1.2) then (1.2) can be viewed as a modified Mann iteration

$$\begin{cases} y_n = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n) T x_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) y_n, \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

which was considered by Kim and Xu [7].

It is our purpose in this paper to introduce this composite iteration scheme for approximating a fixed point of nonexpansive mappings in the framework of uniformly smooth Banach spaces. We establish strong convergence of the composite iteration scheme  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (1.2). The results improve and extend results of Chang [4], Wittmann [12], Kim and Xu [7] and many others.

Let E be a real Banach space and let J denote the normalized duality mapping from E into  $2^{E^*}$  given by

$$J(x) = \left\{ f \in E^* \colon \langle x, f \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|f\|^2 \right\}, \quad x \in E,$$

where  $E^*$  denotes the dual space of E and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the generalized duality pairing. The norm of E is said to be Gâteaux differentiable (and E is said to be smooth) if

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t} \tag{1.4}$$

exists for each x, y in its unit sphere  $U = \{x \in E : ||x|| = 1\}$ . A Banach space E whose norm is uniformly Gâteaux differentiable; then the duality map J is single-valued and norm-to-weak\* uniformly continuous on bounded sets of E. It is said to be uniformly Fréchet differentiable (and E is said to be uniformly smooth) if the limit in (1.4) is attained uniformly for  $(x, y) \in U \times U$ .

We need the following lemmas for the proof of our main results.

**Lemma 1.1.** A Banach space *E* is uniformly smooth if and only if the duality map *J* is single-valued and norm-to-norm uniformly continuous on bounded sets of *E*.

In our convergence results in the next sections, we need to estimate the square-norm  $||x_{n+1} - p||^2$  in terms of the square-norm  $||x_n - p||^2$ , where  $x_i$  is the *i*th iterate for  $i \ge 1$ , and *p* is a fixed point of the mapping *T*. To do this, we need the following well-known (subdifferential) inequality:

Lemma 1.2. In a Banach space E, there holds the inequality

$$||x + y||^2 \le ||x||^2 + 2\langle y, j(x + y) \rangle, \quad x, y \in E,$$

where  $j(x + y) \in J(x + y)$ .

Recall that if *C* and *D* are nonempty subsets of a Banach space *E* such that *C* is nonempty, closed, convex and  $D \subset C$ , then a map  $Q : C \to D$  is sunny [1,9] provided Q(x + t(x - Q(x))) = Q(x) for all  $x \in C$  and  $t \ge 0$ whenever  $x + t(x - Q(x)) \in C$ . A sunny nonexpansive retraction is a sunny retraction, which is also nonexpansive. Sunny nonexpansive retractions play an important role in our argument. They are characterized as follows [1,5,9]: if *E* is a smooth Banach space, then  $Q : C \to D$  is a sunny nonexpansive retraction if and only if there holds the inequality

 $\langle x - Qx, J(y - Qx) \rangle \leq 0$ , for all  $x \in C$ ,  $y \in D$ .

Reich [11] showed that if E is uniformly smooth and if D is the fixed point set of a nonexpansive mapping from C into itself, then there is a sunny nonexpansive retraction from C onto D and it can be constructed as follows:

**Lemma 1.3.** (See Reich [11].) Let E be a uniformly smooth Banach space and let  $T : C \to C$  be a nonexpansive mapping with a fixed point  $x_t \in C$  of the contraction  $C \ni x \mapsto tu + (1 - t)tx$ . Then  $\{x_t\}$  converges strongly as  $t \to 0$  to a fixed point of T. Define  $Q : C \to F(T)$  by  $Qu = \lim_{t\to 0} x_t$ . Then Q is the unique sunny nonexpansive retract from C onto F(T); that is, Q satisfies the property

$$\langle u - Qu, J(z - Qu) \rangle \leq 0, \quad u \in C, \ z \in F(T).$$

**Lemma 1.4.** (See Xu [13,14].) Let  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the property

$$\alpha_{n+1} \leqslant (1-\gamma_n)\alpha_n + \gamma_n \sigma_n, \quad n \ge 0,$$

where  $\{\gamma\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset (0, 1)$  and  $\{\sigma\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  are such that

(i)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma_n = 0$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \infty$ , (ii) either  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sigma_n \leq 0$  or  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\gamma_n \sigma_n| < \infty$ .

Then  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  converges to zero.

### 2. Main results

**Theorem 2.1.** Let C be a closed convex subset of a uniformly smooth Banach space E and let  $T: C \to C$  be a nonexpansive mapping such that  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Given a point  $u \in C$ , the initial guess  $x_0 \in C$  is chosen arbitrarily and given sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ,  $\{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  in [0, 1], the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty;$
- (ii)  $\alpha_n \to 0$ ,  $\beta_n \to 0$  and  $0 < a \le \gamma_n$ , for some  $a \in (0, 1)$ ; (iii)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} \alpha_n| < \infty$ ,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} \beta_n| < \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\gamma_{n+1} \gamma_n| < \infty$ . Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be composite process defined by (1.2), then  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges strongly to a fixed point of T.

**Proof.** First we observe that  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is bounded. Indeed, if we take a fixed point p of T, noting that

$$||z_n - p|| \leq \gamma_n ||x_n - p|| + (1 - \gamma_n) ||Tx_n - p|| \leq ||x_n - p||.$$
(2.1)

It follows from (1.2) and (2.1) that

$$||y_n - p|| \leq \beta_n ||x_n - p|| + (1 - \beta_n) ||Tz_n - p||$$
  
$$\leq \beta_n ||x_n - p|| + (1 - \beta_n) ||z_n - p||$$
  
$$\leq ||x_n - p||,$$

which yields that

$$||x_{n+1} - p|| \leq \alpha_n ||u - p|| + (1 - \alpha_n) ||y_n - p||$$
  
$$\leq \alpha_n ||u - p|| + (1 - \alpha_n) ||x_n - p||$$
  
$$\leq \max\{||u - p||, ||x_n - p||\}.$$

Now, by simple induction yields

$$\|x_n - p\| \le \max\{\|u - p\|, \|x_0 - p\|\}, \quad n \ge 0.$$
(2.2)

This implies that  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded and so are  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{z_n\}$ .

Next, we claim that

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \to 0. \tag{2.3}$$

In order to prove (2.3) from (1.2), after some manipulations we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} - x_n &= (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)(Tz_n - Tz_{n-1}) + (1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n(x_n - x_{n-1}) \\ &+ \left[ (\beta_n - \beta_{n-1})(1 - \alpha_n) - (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1})\beta_{n-1} \right] (x_{n-1} - Tz_{n-1}) \\ &+ (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1})(u - Tz_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \leq (1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n) \|Tz_n - Tz_{n-1}\| + (1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + |(\beta_n - \beta_{n-1})(1 - \alpha_n) - (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1})\beta_{n-1}| \|x_{n-1} - Tz_{n-1}\| + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \|u - Tz_{n-1}\|.$$
(2.4)

That is

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \leq (1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n) \|z_n - z_{n-1}\| + (1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + |(\beta_n - \beta_{n-1})(1 - \alpha_n) - (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1})\beta_{n-1}| \|x_{n-1} - Tz_{n-1}\| + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \|u - Tz_{n-1}\|.$$
(2.5)

Since

$$z_n - z_{n-1} = (1 - \gamma_n)(Tx_n - Tx_{n-1}) + \gamma_n(x_n - x_{n-1}) + (\gamma_{n-1} - \gamma_n)(Tx_{n-1} - x_{n-1}),$$

we have

$$||z_n - z_{n-1}|| \le ||x_n - x_{n-1}|| + |\gamma_{n-1} - \gamma_n| ||Tx_{n-1} - x_{n-1}||.$$
(2.6)

Substituting (2.6) into (2.5), we get

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n) |\gamma_n - \gamma_{n-1}| \|Tx_{n-1} - x_{n-1}\| + |(\beta_n - \beta_{n-1})(1 - \alpha_n) - (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1})\beta_{n-1}| \|x_{n-1} - Tz_{n-1}\| + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \|u - Tz_{n-1}\|,$$
(2.7)

which implies that

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + M_1 (|\gamma_n - \gamma_{n-1}| + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| + 2|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}|),$$
(2.8)

where  $M_1$  is a constant such that

$$M_1 \ge \max\{\|u - Tz_{n-1}\|, \|x_{n-1} - Tx_{n-1}\|, \|x_{n-1} - Tz_{n-1}\|\}$$

for all n. By assumptions (i)–(iii), we have that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\alpha_n=0,\qquad \sum_{n=1}^\infty\alpha_n=\infty,$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| + 2|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| + |\gamma_n - \gamma_{n-1}| \right) < \infty.$$

Hence, Lemma 1.4 is applicable to (2.8) and we obtain

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$
(2.9)

On the other hand, from condition (ii), we have

$$||x_{n+1} - y_n|| = \alpha_n ||u - y|| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$
 (2.10)

and

$$\|y_n - Tz_n\| = \beta_n \|x_n - Tz_n\| \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

$$(2.11)$$

Again, it follows from (1.2) and the fact that T is nonexpansive that

$$\|Tx_n - x_n\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - y_n\| + \|y_n - Tz_n\| + \|Tz_n - Tx_n\| \\ \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - y_n\| + \|y_n - Tz_n\| + (1 - \gamma_n)\|Tx_n - x_n\|.$$
(2.12)

It follows that

 $\gamma_n \|Tx_n - x_n\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - y_n\| + \|y_n - Tz_n\|.$ 

From condition (ii) and (2.9)–(2.11) we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|Tx_n - x_n\| = 0.$$
(2.13)

1000

Next, we claim that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle u - q, J(x_n - q) \rangle \leq 0, \tag{2.14}$$

where  $q = Qu = \lim_{t\to 0} z_t$  with  $z_t$  being the fixed point of the contraction  $z \mapsto tu + (1-t)Tz$ . First,  $z_t$  solves the fixed point equation

$$z_t = tu + (1-t)Tz_t.$$

Therefore, we have

$$||z_t - x_n|| = ||(1 - t)(Tz_t - x_n) + t(u - x_n)||.$$

It follows from Lemma 1.2, the nonexpansive property of T, and the definition of J that

$$||z_t - x_n||^2 \leq (1 - t)^2 ||Tz_t - x_n||^2 + 2t \langle u - x_n, J(z_t - x_n) \rangle$$
  
$$\leq (1 - 2t + t^2) ||z_t - x_n||^2 + f_n(t) + 2t \langle u - z_t, J(z_t - x_n) \rangle + 2t ||z_t - x_n||^2, \qquad (2.15)$$

where

$$f_n(t) = \left(2\|z_t - x_n\| + \|x_n - Tx_n\|\right)\|x_n - Tx_n\| \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to 0.$$
(2.16)

It follows that

$$\langle z_t - u, J(z_t - x_n) \rangle \leq \frac{t}{2} ||z_t - x_n||^2 + \frac{1}{2t} f_n(t).$$
 (2.17)

Letting  $n \to \infty$  in (2.17) and noting (2.16) yields

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle z_t - u, J(z_t - x_n) \rangle \leqslant \frac{t}{2} M_2, \tag{2.18}$$

where  $M_2 > 0$  is a constant such that  $M_2 \ge ||z_t - x_n||^2$  for all  $t \in (0, 1)$  and  $n \ge 1$ . Letting  $t \to 0$  from (2.18), we have

$$\limsup_{t\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\langle z_t-u, J(z_t-x_n)\rangle \leq 0.$$

So, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive number  $\delta_1$ , when  $t \in (0, \delta_1)$  we get

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle z_t - u, J(z_t - x_n) \rangle \leqslant \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$
(2.19)

On the other hand,  $z_t \to q$  as  $t \to 0$ , from Lemma 1.1,  $\exists \delta_2 > 0$ , such that when  $t \in (0, \delta_2)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle u - q, J(x_n - q) \right\rangle - \left\langle z_t - u, J(z_t - x_n) \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq \left| \left\langle u - q, J(x_n - q) \right\rangle - \left\langle u - q, J(x_n - z_t) \right\rangle \right| + \left| \left\langle u - q, J(x_n - z_t) \right\rangle - \left\langle z_t - u, J(z_t - x_n) \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq \left| \left\langle u - q, J(x_n - q) - J(x_n - z_t) \right\rangle \right| + \left\langle z_t - q, J(x_n - z_t) \right\rangle \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $\delta = \min{\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}}; \forall t \in (0, \delta)$ , we have

$$\langle u-q, J(x_n-q) \rangle \leq \langle z_t-u, J(z_t-x_n) \rangle + \frac{\epsilon}{2},$$

which yields that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \langle u-q, J(x_n-q) \rangle \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \langle z_t-u, J(z_t-x_n) \rangle + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

It follows from (2.19) that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \langle u-q, J(x_n-q) \rangle \leqslant \epsilon$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is chosen arbitrarily, we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle u - q, J(x_n - q) \rangle \leq 0.$$
(2.20)

Finally, we show that  $x_n \rightarrow q$  strongly and this concludes the proof. Indeed, using Lemma 1.2 again we obtain

$$\|x_{n+1} - q\|^{2} = \|(1 - \alpha_{n})(y_{n} - q) + \alpha_{n}(u - q)\|^{2}$$
  
$$\leq (1 - \alpha_{n})^{2}\|y_{n} - q\|^{2} + 2\alpha_{n}\langle u - q, J(x_{n+1} - q)\rangle$$
  
$$\leq (1 - \alpha_{n})\|x_{n} - q\|^{2} + 2\alpha_{n}\langle u - q, J(x_{n+1} - q)\rangle.$$

Now we use (2.20) and apply Lemma 4 to see that  $||x_n - q|| \rightarrow 0$ .  $\Box$ 

As a corollary of Theorem 2.1, we have the following immediately:

**Corollary 2.2.** (See Kim and Xu [7].) Let C be a closed convex subset of a uniformly smooth Banach space E and let  $T: C \to C$  be a nonexpansive mapping such that  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Given a point  $u \in C$  and given sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  in [0, 1], the following conditions are satisfied:

(i)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n = \infty$ ; (ii)  $\alpha_n \to 0, \ \beta_n \to 0$ ; (iii)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| < \infty$ .

Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a composite process defined by (1.3), then  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges strongly to a fixed point of T.

**Remark.** Kim and Xu [7] proved the sequence defined by iteration scheme (1.3) converges to fixed point of T, under the conditions

(i) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$$
 and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n = \infty$ 

(ii) 
$$\alpha_n \to 0, \beta_n \to 0;$$

(ii)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| < \infty$  on the parameters.

Actually, the condition  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n = \infty$  can be removed, and the condition  $\beta_n \to 0$  also can be relaxed to  $0 < \beta_n \le a < 1$ , for some  $a \in (0, 1)$ .

#### Acknowledgments

The authors are extremely grateful to the referees for useful suggestions that improved the content of the paper.

# References

- [1] R.E. Bruck, Nonexpansive projections on subsets of Banach spaces, Pacific J. Math. 47 (1973) 341-355.
- [2] F.E. Browder, Convergence of approximations to fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 24 (1967) 82–90.
- [3] F.E. Browder, Fixed points theorems for noncompact mappings in Hilbert space, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 53 (1965) 1272-1276.
- [4] S.S. Chang, On Halpern's open question, Acta Math. Sinica 48 (2005) 979-984.
- [5] K. Goebel, S. Reich, Uniform Convexity, Hyperbolic Geometry, and Nonexpansive Mappings, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1984.
- [6] B. Halpern, Fixed points of nonexpansive maps, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 73 (1967) 957–961.
- [7] T.H. Kim, H.K. Xu, Strong convergence of modified Mann iterations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 61 (2005) 51-60.
- [8] P.L. Lions, Approximation de points fixes de contractions, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 284 (1977) 1357-1359.
- [9] S. Reich, Asymptotic behavior of contractions in Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 44 (1973) 57-70.
- [10] S. Reich, Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings, Panamer. Math. J. 4 (1994) 486-491.
- [11] S. Reich, Strong convergence theorems for resolvent of accretive operators in Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 75 (1980) 287–292.
- [12] R. Wittmann, Approximation of fixed points nonexpansive mappings, Arch. Math. (Basel) 59 (1992) 486-491.
- [13] H.K. Xu, Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 66 (2002) 240–256.
- [14] H.K. Xu, An iterative approach to quadratic optimization, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 116 (2003) 659-678.