

A Generalization on the Difference Between an Integer and Its Inverse Modulo Q

Tian Ping ZHANG

Department of Mathematics, Northwest University, Xi'an 710069, P. R. China
 and

*College of Mathematics and Information Science, Shannxi Normal University,
 Xi'an 710062, P. R. China*

E-mail: tianpzhang@eyou.com

Wen Peng ZHANG

Department of Mathematics, Northwest University, Xi'an 710069, P. R. China

E-mail: wpzhang@nwu.edu.cn

Abstract The main purpose of this paper is to use the properties of the Gauss sums, primitive characters and the mean value of Dirichlet L -functions to study the hybrid mean value of the error term $E(n, 1, c, q)$ and the hyper-Kloosterman sums $K(h, n + 1, q)$, the asymptotic property of the mean square value $\sum_{c=1}^p E^2(n, 1, c, p)$, and give two interesting mean value formulae.

Keywords generalization, mean value, hyper-Kloosterman sums

MR(2000) Subject Classification 11N37, 11F20

1 Introduction

Let $q > 2$ and c be two integers with $(q, c) = 1$. For each integer $0 < a < q$ with $(a, q) = 1$, we know that there exists one, and only one, integer $0 < b < q$ with $(b, q) = 1$ such that $ab \equiv c \pmod{q}$. Let

$$M(k, c, q) = \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ ab \equiv c \pmod{q}}}^q \sum_{b=1}^q (a - b)^{2k},$$

where $\sum_{a=1}^{'q}$ denotes the summation over all a such that $(a, q) = 1$. The second author [1] used the estimates for the Kloosterman sums and trigonometric sums to obtain a sharp asymptotic formula for $M(k, c, q)$, and proved the following:

Proposition *Let $q > 2$ and c be two integers with $(q, c) = 1$. Then, for any positive integer k , we have the asymptotic formula*

$$M(k, c, q) = \frac{1}{(2k+1)(k+1)} \phi(q) q^{2k} + O\left(4^k q^{\frac{4k+1}{2}} d^2(q) \ln^2 q\right),$$

where $\phi(q)$ is the Euler function, and $d(q)$ is the divisor function.

For $k = 1$ and any fixed positive integer c with $(q, c) = 1$, let

$$E(1, c, q) = M(1, c, q) - \frac{1}{6} \phi(q) q^2 - \frac{1}{3} q \prod_{p|q} (1 - p).$$

The second author [2] showed that, for any integer $q > 2$, we have the asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{c=1}^q E^2(1, c, q) = \frac{5}{36} q^3 \phi^3(q) \prod_{p^\alpha \parallel q} \frac{\frac{(p+1)^3}{p(p^2+1)} - \frac{1}{p^{3\alpha-1}}}{1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}} + O\left(q^5 \exp\left(\frac{4 \ln q}{\ln \ln q}\right)\right),$$

where $\prod_{p^\alpha \parallel q}$ denotes the product over all prime divisors of q with $p^\alpha | q$ and $p^{\alpha+1} \nmid q$. This proves the error terms in the Proposition are the best possible.

For similar results about the error terms, see reference [3] and [4].

In reference [5], Mordell introduced the high-dimensional generalization of the Kloosterman sums as follows:

$$K(h, n+1, q) = \sum_{a_1=1}^q \sum_{a_2=1}^q \cdots \sum_{a_n=1}^q e\left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n + h \cdot \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \cdots \bar{a}_n}{q}\right),$$

which is called the hyper-Kloosterman sums. The various properties of the hyper-Kloosterman sums have been investigated by many authors. Applications of the hyper-kloosterman sums were found in the estimation of Fourier coefficients of Maass forms [6] and the work on Selberg's eigenvalue conjecture [7]. On the other hand, Smith [8] has built some interesting connections between the hyper-Kloosterman sums and the Heibronn sums.

Now we consider a generalization on the problem in the Proposition. For any integers $q > 2$ and $n \geq 1$, letting

$$M(n, k, c, q) = \sum_{\substack{a_1=1 \\ a_1 \cdots a_n b \equiv c \pmod{q}}}^q \cdots \sum_{\substack{a_n=1 \\ a_n b \equiv c \pmod{q}}}^q \sum_{b=1}^q (a_1 \cdots a_n - b)^{2k},$$

for $n = 1$, we have $M(1, k, c, q) = M(k, c, q)$, which has been stated above; while for $n > 1$ and $k = 1$, we still know nothing about it yet, that is,

$$M(n, 1, c, q) = \sum_{\substack{a_1=1 \\ a_1 \cdots a_n b \equiv c \pmod{q}}}^q \cdots \sum_{\substack{a_n=1 \\ a_n b \equiv c \pmod{q}}}^q \sum_{b=1}^q (a_1 \cdots a_n - b)^2.$$

We now define

$$\begin{aligned} E(n, 1, c, q) &= M(n, 1, c, q) + \frac{\phi^n(q) q^{n+1}}{2^n} - \left(\frac{\phi(q) q^2}{3} + \frac{q}{6} \prod_{p|q} (1-p) \right)^n \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\phi^n(q) q^2}{3} + \frac{\phi^{n-1}(q)}{6} \prod_{p|q} (1-p) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, we shall use the properties of the Gauss sums, primitive characters and the mean value of Dirichlet L -functions to study the hybrid mean value of the error term $E(n, 1, c, q)$ and the hyper-Kloosterman sums $K(h, n+1, q)$, the asymptotic property of the mean square value $\sum_{c=1}^p E^2(n, 1, c, p)$, and give two interesting mean value formulae. That is, we shall prove the following:

Theorem 1 *For any integers q, n with $q > 2$ and $n > 1$, we have the asymptotic formula*

$$\sum_{c=1}^q E(n, 1, c, q) K(c, n+1, q) = \frac{(-1)^n i^{n+1} q^{2n+1} \phi(q)}{\pi^{n+1}} \prod_{p \parallel q} \left(1 - \frac{p^n - 1}{p^n (p-1)^2} \right) + O(q^{2n+1+\epsilon}),$$

where ϵ denotes any fixed positive number.

Theorem 2 For any odd prime p and any integer n with $n > 1$, we have the asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{c=1}^p E^2(n, 1, c, p) = \frac{p^{3n+3}}{3} \left(\frac{\pi}{6}\right)^{2n} \prod_{p_1 \neq p} \left(1 - \frac{1 - C_{2n}^n}{p_1^2}\right) + O(p^{3n+2+\varepsilon}),$$

where $\prod_{p_1 \neq p}$ denotes the product over all primes p_1 with $p_1 \neq p$, and $C_m^n = m!/n!(m-n)!$.

Taking $q = p$, an odd prime in our Theorem 1, we immediately deduce the following:

Corollary For any odd prime number p and positive integer n with $n > 1$, we have

$$\sum_{c=1}^p E(n, 1, c, p) K(c, n+1, p) = \frac{(-1)^n i^{n+1} p^{2n+2}}{\pi^{n+1}} + O(p^{2n+1+\varepsilon}).$$

2 Several Lemmas

In this section, we use several lemmas to complete the proof of the theorems. First we have

Lemma 1 Let χ be a character modulo q , generated by the primitive character χ_m modulo m . Then we have the identity

$$\tau(\chi) = \chi_m\left(\frac{q}{m}\right) \mu\left(\frac{q}{m}\right) \tau(\chi_m),$$

where $\tau(\chi) = G(1, \chi)$ is the Gauss sum, and $\mu(n)$ is the Möbius function.

Proof See reference [9].

Lemma 2 For any positive integer q , let χ be a non-primitive character modulo q and $\chi_q \Leftrightarrow \chi_{q^*}^*$. If $(n, q) > 1$, we have

$$G(n, \chi) = \begin{cases} \bar{\chi}^*\left(\frac{n}{(n, q)}\right) \chi^*\left(\frac{q}{q^*(n, q)}\right) \mu\left(\frac{q}{q^*(n, q)}\right) \phi(q) \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{q}{(n, q)}\right) \tau(\chi^*), & q^* = \frac{q_1}{(n, q_1)}, \\ 0, & q^* \neq \frac{q_1}{(n, q_1)}, \end{cases}$$

where q_1 is the greatest divisor of q that has the same prime factors as q^* .

If $(n, q) = 1$, we have

$$G(n, \chi) = \bar{\chi}^*(n) \chi^*\left(\frac{q}{q^*}\right) \mu\left(\frac{q}{q^*}\right) \tau(\chi^*).$$

Proof See reference [9].

Lemma 3 Let q, n be any integers with $q > 2, n > 1$. Then, for any positive integer c with $(q, c) = 1$, we have

$$E(n, 1, c, q) = -\frac{2i^{n+1}q^{n+1}}{\pi^{n+1}\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} \bar{\chi}(c) \left(\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{G(t, \chi)}{t}\right)^{n+1},$$

where χ denotes a Dirichlet character modulo q with $\chi(-1) = -1$.

Proof From the orthogonality relation for a character modulo q we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(n, 1, c, q) &= \sum_{\substack{a_1=1 \\ a_1 \cdots a_n b \equiv c \pmod{q}}}^q \cdots \sum_{a_n=1}^q \sum_{b=1}^q (a_1 \cdots a_n - b)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi \bmod q} \bar{\chi}(c) \sum_{a_1=1}^q \cdots \sum_{a_n=1}^q \sum_{b=1}^q \chi(a_1 \cdots a_n b) (a_1 \cdots a_n - b)^2 \\
&= \left(\sum'_{a=1}^q a^2 \right)^n + \phi^{n-1}(q) \sum'_{b=1}^q b^2 - \frac{2}{\phi(q)} \left(\sum'_{a=1}^q a \right)^{n+1} - \frac{2}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \bar{\chi}(c) \left(\sum_{a=1}^q a \chi(a) \right)^{n+1} \\
&= \left(\frac{\phi(q)q^2}{3} + \frac{q}{6} \prod_{p|q} (1-p) \right)^n + \frac{\phi^n(q)q^2}{3} + \frac{\phi^{n-1}(q)}{6} \prod_{p|q} (1-p) - \frac{\phi^n(q)q^{n+1}}{2^n} + E(n, 1, c, q),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the identities (see reference [10])

$$\sum'_{a=1}^q a = \frac{\phi(q)q}{2}, \quad \sum'_{a=1}^q a^2 = \frac{\phi(q)q^2}{3} + \frac{q}{6} \prod_{p|q} (1-p).$$

Now if $\chi(-1) = 1$ and $\chi \neq \chi_0$, then we have

$$\sum_{a=1}^q a \chi(a) = \sum_{a=1}^q (q-a) \chi(q-a) = \sum_{a=1}^q (q-a) \chi(a) = - \sum_{a=1}^q a \chi(a) = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{b=1}^q \chi(b) \left(\frac{b}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{b=1}^q b \chi(b), \quad \text{if } \chi(-1) = -1.$$

We may immediately get

$$\begin{aligned}
E(n, 1, c, q) &= -\frac{2}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} \bar{\chi}(c) \left(\sum_{a=1}^q a \chi(a) \right)^{n+1} \\
&= -\frac{2q^{n+1}}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} \bar{\chi}(c) \left(\sum_{a=1}^q \chi(a) \left(\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right)^{n+1} \\
&= -\frac{2q^{n+1}}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} \bar{\chi}(c) \left(\sum_{a=1}^q \chi(a) \left(\left(\frac{a}{q} \right) \right) \right)^{n+1} \\
&= -\frac{2q^{n+1}}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} \bar{\chi}(c) \left(\frac{-1}{\pi} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{a=1}^q \chi(a) \sin(2\pi ta/q)}{t} \right)^{n+1} \\
&= -\frac{2q^{n+1}}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} \bar{\chi}(c) \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi i} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{G(t, \chi) - G(-t, \chi)}{t} \right)^{n+1} \\
&= -\frac{2i^{n+1}q^{n+1}}{\pi^{n+1}\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} \bar{\chi}(c) \left(\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{G(t, \chi)}{t} \right)^{n+1},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the identities

$$((x)) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2\pi tx)}{t}, \quad \sin x = \frac{1}{2i} (e^{xi} - e^{-xi}).$$

This proves Lemma 3.

Lemma 4 *Let q and r be integers with $q \geq 2$ and $(r, q) = 1$, χ be a Dirichlet character*

modulo q . Then we have the identities

$$\sum_{\chi \bmod q}^* \chi(r) = \sum_{d|(q, r-1)} \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \phi(d)$$

and

$$J(q) = \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \phi\left(\frac{q}{d}\right),$$

where $\sum_{\chi \bmod q}^*$ denotes the summation over all primitive characters modulo q and $J(q)$ denotes the number of primitive characters modulo q .

Proof See Lemma 3 of [11].

Lemma 5 Let $q = uv$, where $(u, v) = 1$, u be a square-full number or $u = 1$, v be a square-free number. Then we have the asymptotic formula

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \cdots \sum_{d_{n+1}|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{u^{n+1} d^{n+1} \phi(d_1) \cdots \phi(d_{n+1}) \mu(d_1) \cdots \mu(d_{n+1})}{d_1 \cdots d_{n+1}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod ud \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^* \bar{\chi}(d_1 \cdots d_{n+1}) L^{n+1}(1, \bar{\chi}) \\ &= \frac{q^n \phi^2(q)}{2} \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{p^n - 1}{p^n(p-1)^2}\right) + O(q^{n+1+\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof Let $\tau_{n+1}(s)$ denote the $(n+1)$ -th divisor function (i.e. the number of positive integer solutions of the equation $s = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n+1}$). Then for any parameter $N \geq s$ and non-principal character χ modulo s , applying Abel's identity, we have

$$L^{n+1}(1, \bar{\chi}) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{\chi}(s) \tau_{n+1}(s)}{s} = \sum_{1 \leq s \leq N} \frac{\bar{\chi}(s) \tau_{n+1}(s)}{s} + \int_N^{\infty} \frac{A(y, \bar{\chi})}{y^2} dy,$$

where $A(y, \bar{\chi}) = \sum_{N < s \leq y} \bar{\chi}(s) \tau_{n+1}(s)$.

Using a similar method to that of proving Lemma 5 in [12], we can easily get

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \cdots \sum_{d_{n+1}|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{u^{n+1} d^{n+1} \phi(d_1) \cdots \phi(d_{n+1}) \mu(d_1) \cdots \mu(d_{n+1})}{d_1 \cdots d_{n+1}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{s=1}^N \frac{\tau_{n+1}(s)}{s} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod ud \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^* \bar{\chi}(d_1 \cdots d_{n+1}) \bar{\chi}(s) + O\left(\frac{q^{n+\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon}}{N^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $(a, q) = 1$, by Lemma 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^* \chi(a) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\chi \bmod q}^* (1 - \chi(-1)) \chi(a) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r|(q, a-1)} \mu\left(\frac{q}{r}\right) \phi(r) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r|(q, a+1)} \mu\left(\frac{q}{r}\right) \phi(r). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$M = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \cdots \sum_{d_{n+1}|\frac{v}{d}} u^{n+1} d^{n+1} \phi(d_1) \cdots \phi(d_{n+1}) \mu(d_1) \cdots \mu(d_{n+1}) \sum_{s=1}^N \frac{\tau_{n+1}(s)}{d_1 \cdots d_{n+1} s}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left[\sum_{r|(ud, d_1 \cdots d_{n+1}s-1)} \mu\left(\frac{ud}{r}\right) \phi(r) - \sum_{r|(ud, d_1 \cdots d_{n+1}s+1)} \mu\left(\frac{ud}{r}\right) \phi(r) \right] + O\left(\frac{q^{n+\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon}}{N^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}\right) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d|v} u^{n+1} d^{n+1} J(ud) + O\left(\frac{q^{n+\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon}}{N^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}\right) \\
& \quad + O\left(\sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \cdots \sum_{d_{n+1}|\frac{v}{d}} u^{n+1} d^{n+1} \phi(d_1) \cdots \phi(d_{n+1}) \sum_{r|ud} \phi(r) \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{Nd_1 \cdots d_{n+1}}{r}} (lr+1)^{\epsilon-1}\right) \\
& \quad + O\left(\sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \cdots \sum_{d_{n+1}|\frac{v}{d}} u^{n+1} d^{n+1} \phi(d_1) \cdots \phi(d_{n+1}) \sum_{r|ud} \phi(r) \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{Nd_1 \cdots d_{n+1}}{r}} (lr-1)^{\epsilon-1}\right) \\
& = \frac{u^n \phi^2(u)}{2} \sum_{d|v} d^{n+1} J(d) + O\left(\frac{q^{n+\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon}}{N^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}\right) + O(q^{n+1+\epsilon}) \\
& = \frac{u^n \phi^2(u)}{2} \prod_{p|v} \left[p^n (p-1)^2 \left(1 - \frac{p^n-1}{p^n(p-1)^2}\right) \right] + O\left(\frac{q^{n+\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon}}{N^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}\right) + O(q^{n+1+\epsilon}) \\
& = \frac{q^n \phi^2(q)}{2} \prod_{p||q} \left(1 - \frac{p^n-1}{p^n(p-1)^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{q^{n+\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon}}{N^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}\right) + O(q^{n+1+\epsilon}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the estimate $\tau_{n+1}(s) \ll s^\epsilon$, the fact that u is a square-full number, v is a square-free number, and the identity $J(u) = \phi^2(u)/u$, where u be a square-full number.

Taking $N = q^{\frac{3(n+1)}{2}}$ in the above, we immediately obtain the following asymptotic formula:

$$M = \frac{q^n \phi^2(q)}{2} \prod_{p||q} \left(1 - \frac{p^n-1}{p^n(p-1)^2}\right) + O(q^{n+1+\epsilon}).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.

Lemma 6 *Let p be any odd prime. Then we have the asymptotic formula*

$$\sum_{\substack{\chi \neq \chi_0 \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} |L(1, \chi)|^{2n+2} = \frac{(p-1)}{2} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6}\right)^{2n+1} \prod_{p_1} \left(1 - \frac{1-C_{2n}^n}{p_1^2}\right) + O(p^\epsilon),$$

where $C_{2n}^n = \frac{(2n)!}{(n!)^2}$.

Proof See Lemma 6 of [13].

3 Proof of Theorems

In this section, we complete the proof of the theorems. Let q, n be any integers with $q > 2, n > 1$. Then, for any character $\chi \bmod q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{c=1}^q \bar{\chi}(c) K(c, n+1, q) &= \sum_{a_1=1}^q \sum_{a_2=1}^{q'} \cdots \sum_{a_n=1}^{q'} \sum_{c=1}^q \bar{\chi}(c) e\left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n + c \cdot \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \cdots \bar{a}_n}{q}\right) \\
&= \tau(\bar{\chi}) \left(\sum_{a=1}^q \bar{\chi}(a) e\left(\frac{a}{q}\right) \right)^n = \tau^{n+1}(\bar{\chi}).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, let $k = uv$, where $(u, v) = 1$, u is a square-full number or $u = 1$, v is a square-free number. Note that $\chi^*\left(\frac{k}{m}\right) \mu\left(\frac{k}{m}\right) \neq 0$ if and only if $m = ud$, where $d | v$. So, from

Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{c=1}^q {}' E(n, 1, c, q) K(c, n+1, q) \\
&= -\frac{2i^{n+1}q^{n+1}}{\pi^{n+1}\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} \left[\sum_{c=1}^q \bar{\chi}(c) K(c, n+1, q) \right] \left[\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{G(t, \chi)}{t} \right]^{n+1} \\
&= -\frac{2i^{n+1}q^{n+1}}{\pi^{n+1}\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} \tau^{n+1}(\bar{\chi}) \left[\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{G(t, \chi)}{t} \right]^{n+1} \\
&= -\frac{2i^{n+1}q^{n+1}}{\pi^{n+1}\phi(q)} \sum_{d|v} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod ud \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^* \bar{\chi}^{n+1} \left(\frac{v}{d} \right) \mu^{n+1} \left(\frac{v}{d} \right) \tau^{n+1}(\bar{\chi}) \\
&\quad \times \left[\sum_{d_1 | \frac{v}{d}} \frac{\phi(q) \chi \left(\frac{v}{dd_1} \right) \mu \left(\frac{v}{dd_1} \right) \tau(\chi) L(1, \bar{\chi})}{d_1 \phi \left(\frac{q}{d_1} \right)} \right]^{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note the identities

$$\bar{\chi} \left(\frac{v}{d} \right) = \bar{\chi} \left(\frac{v}{dd_1} \right) \bar{\chi}(d_1), \quad \mu \left(\frac{v}{d} \right) = \mu \left(\frac{v}{dd_1} \right) \mu(d_1), \quad \phi(q) = \phi \left(\frac{q}{d_1} \right) \phi(d_1),$$

and

$$\tau(\chi^*) \tau(\bar{\chi}^*) = -m,$$

where χ^* is a primitive character modulo m with $\chi(-1) = -1$.

Then, from Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{c=1}^q {}' E(n, 1, c, q) K(c, n+1, q) \\
&= \frac{2(-1)^n i^{n+1} q^{n+1}}{\pi^{n+1} \phi(q)} \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1 | \frac{v}{d}} \cdots \sum_{d_{n+1} | \frac{v}{d}} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod ud \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^* \frac{\phi(d_1) \cdots \phi(d_{n+1}) \mu(d_1) \cdots \mu(d_{n+1})}{d_1 \cdots d_{n+1}} \\
&\quad \times (ud)^{n+1} \bar{\chi}(d_1 \cdots d_{n+1}) L^{n+1}(1, \bar{\chi}) \\
&= \frac{(-1)^n i^{n+1} q^{2n+1} \phi(q)}{\pi^{n+1}} \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{p^n - 1}{p^n(p-1)^2} \right) + O(q^{2n+1+\epsilon}).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves Theorem 1.

From Lemma 3 and Lemma 6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{c=1}^p E^2(n, 1, c, p) &= \frac{4 \times (-1)^{n+1} p^{2n+2}}{\pi^{2n+2}(p-1)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod p \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} \tau^{n+1}(\chi) \tau^{n+1}(\bar{\chi}) L^{n+1}(1, \chi) L^{n+1}(1, \bar{\chi}) \\
&= \frac{4p^{3n+3}}{\pi^{2n+2}(p-1)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod p \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} |L(1, \chi)|^{2n+2} \\
&= \frac{p^{3n+3}}{3} \left(\frac{\pi}{6} \right)^{2n} \prod_{p_1} \left(1 - \frac{1 - C_{2n}^n}{p_1^2} \right) + O(p^{3n+2+\epsilon}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 2.

Acknowledgments The authors express their gratitude to the anonymous referee for his very helpful and detailed comments.

References

- [1] Zhang, W. P.: On the difference between an integer and its inverse modulo n . *Journal of Number Theory*, **52**, 1–6 (1995)
- [2] Zhang, W. P.: On the difference between an integer and its inverse modulo n (II). *Science in China Series A*, **46**, 229–238 (2003)
- [3] Zhang, W. P.: On a Problem of D. H. Lehmer and General Kloosterman Sums. *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series*, **20**(3), 515–524 (2004)
- [4] Liu, H. N., Zhang, W. P.: On a Problem of D. H. Lehmer. *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series*, **22**(1), 61–68 (2006)
- [5] Mordell, L. J.: On a special polynomial congruence and exponential sums, in “Calcutta Math. Soc. Golden Jubilee Commemoration Volume,” Part 1, 29–32, Calcutta Math. Soc., Calcutta, 1963
- [6] Bump, D., Duke, W., Hoffstein, J., Iwaniec, H.: An estimate for the Hecke eigenvalues of Maass forms. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, **4**, 75–81 (1992)
- [7] Luo, W. Z., Rudnick, Z., Sarnak, P.: On Selberg’s eigenvalue conjecture. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **5**, 387–401 (1995)
- [8] Smith, R. A.: On n -dimensional Kloosterman sums. *Journal of Number Theory*, **11**, 324–343 (1979)
- [9] Pan, C. D., Pan, C. B.: Goldbach Conjecture, Science Press, Beijing, 1992
- [10] Apostol, T. M.: Introduction to analytic number theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976
- [11] Zhang, W. P.: On a Cochrane sum and its hybrid mean value formula. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **267**, 89–96 (2002)
- [12] Zhang, W. P.: On a problem of D.H.Lehmer and Kloosterman Sums. *Monatshefte Fuer Mathematik*, **139**, 247–257 (2003)
- [13] Zhang, W. P., Yi, Y., He, X. L.: On the $2k$ -th power mean of Dirichlet L-functions with the weight of general Kloosterman sums. *Journal of Number Theorey*, **84**, 199–213 (2000)