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## 1. Introduction

One of the most important questions in noncommutative algebraic projective geometry is to classify the quantum projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n} s$-noncommutative analogues of projective $n$-spaces. In fact, this is a challenging and hard project, even for $n=4$. An algebraic approach to construct quantum $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ is to form the noncommutative projective scheme $\operatorname{Proj} A$ [AZ], where $A$ is a noetherian connected graded Artin-Schelter regular algebras of global dimension $n+1$. Then the question turns out to be the classification of Artin-Schelter regular algebras.

The quantum $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ s were classified by Artin and Schelter [AS] and by Artin, Tate and Van den Bergh [ATV] using geometric method. As to the quantum $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ s, many researchers have studied them in terms of Artin-Schelter regular algebras. The most famous 4-dimensional Artin-Schelter regular algebra is

[^0]the Sklyanin algebra of dimension 4, introduced by Sklyanin [Sk1,Sk2]. Homological properties and the representations of the Sklyanin algebra were studied by Smith and Stafford [SS], Levasseur and Smith [LS] respectively. Normal extensions of 3-dimensional Artin-Schelter regular algebras, which are 4 -dimensional Artin-Schelter regular algebras, were studied by Le Bruyn, Smith and Van den Bergh [LSV]. The quantum $2 \times 2$-matrix algebra was studied by Vancliff [Va1,Va2]. Some classes of Artin-Schelter regular algebras containing a commutative quadric were studied by Shelton, Van Rompay, Vancliff, Willaert, etc. [SV1,SV2,VV1,VV2,VVW].

Several years ago, Lu, Palmieri, Zhang and the second author [LPWZ1,LPWZ2,LPWZ3] started the project to classify quantum $\mathbb{P}^{3} \mathrm{~s}$, or 4 -dimensional Artin-Schelter regular algebras by using $A_{\infty}$-algebraic methods. In general, 4-dimensional Artin-Schelter regular algebras have three resolution types if they are domains, i.e., the so-called type (12221), (13431) and (14641). Under some generic conditions, Lu, Palmieri, Zhang and the second author classified the type (12221) [LPWZ2], i.e., the type of 4 -dimensional Artin-Schelter regular algebras generated by two generators of degree 1 with two relations-one of degree 3, the other of degree 4. Type (13431) is the type of 4-dimensional Artin-Schelter regular algebras generated by three generators of degree 1 with four relations-two of degree 2, the other two of degree 3. This type has been studied by Rogalski and Zhang recently [RZ], where they gave all the families of Artin-Schelter regular algebras which are not normal extensions of 3-dimensional Artin-Schelter regular algebras. Type (14641) is the type of 4-dimensional ArtinSchelter regular algebras generated by four generators of degree 1 with six quadratic relations. Zhang and Zhang introduced a new construction, which is called double Ore extension, and they found some new families of this type (see [ZZ1,ZZ2]).

Recently, Floystad and Vatne studied 5-dimensional Artin-Schelter regular algebras [FV]. All the possible resolution types were given for the trivial modules of all 5-dimensional Artin-Schelter regular algebras generated by two elements of degree 1 which are domains.

Theorem 1.1. (See [FV, Lemma 5.4 and Theorem 5.6].) Let $A$ be an AS-regular algebra of global dimension 5 which is a domain of GK-dim $A \geqslant 4$. If $A$ has two generators of degree 1 , then the minimal resolution of the trivial module $k_{A}$ has the form

$$
0 \rightarrow A(-l) \rightarrow A(-l+1)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} A\left(a_{i}-l\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} A\left(-a_{i}\right) \rightarrow A(-1)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow A \rightarrow k_{A} \rightarrow 0
$$

for some integers $a_{1} \leqslant a_{2} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant a_{n}$ and $l$, such that one of the following holds:
(1) $n=3$ and $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$ is $(3,5,5),(4,4,4)$ or $(3,4,7)$ with $l=11,10,12$ respectively;
(2) $n=4$ and $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)$ is $(4,4,4,5)$ with $l=10$;
(3) $n=5$ and $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{5}\right)$ is $(4,4,4,5,5)$ with $l=10$.

There are 5 -dimensional AS-regular algebras with the resolution types for $n=3$, where the first two cases can be realized by the enveloping algebras of 5-dimensional graded Lie algebras, while the third one cannot be realized in such a way [FV, Proposition 3.4]. It is open that whether there is a 5 -dimensional AS-regular algebra with the resolution type for $n=4$ or $n=5$.

In this paper, we focus on the classification of quantum $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ s and consider the Artin-Schelter regular algebras of global dimension 5. The general ideas used here are similar as in [LPWZ2]. Under a generic condition, we classify 5-dimensional Artin-Schelter regular algebras generated by two generators of degree 1 with three generating relations of degree 4.

Theorem A. There are 9 types of Artin-Schelter regular algebras of dimension 5 which are generated by two elements of degree 1 with three generating relations of degree 4 , as listed in Section 4 as algebras A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H and I. Under the generic condition (GM2) (see Section 4), this is a complete list of 5-dimensional ArtinSchelter regular algebras which are domains generated by two generators of degree 1 with three generating relations of degree 4.

The generic condition (GM2) mainly means that the structure matrices $\mathcal{R}=\left(r_{i j}\right)_{2 \times 2}$ and $\mathcal{T}=\left(t_{k s}\right)_{3 \times 3}$ of the corresponding Ext-algebra in (3.1) have distinct eigenvalues.

All these algebras enjoy many nice homological properties.
Theorem B. All the algebras A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H and I are strongly noetherian, Auslander regular and CohenMacaulay (see Theorems 5.4, 5.5, 5.8, 5.9).

Corollary C. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a 5-dimensional AS-regular algebra generated by two elements of degree 1 with three relations of degree 4 . Suppose it is a domain and satisfies the generic condition (GM2). If it is not a normal extension of some 4-dimensional AS-regular algebra, then it is either an iterated Ore extension of the polynomial ring in one variable or falls into one of the families $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{F}$, up to isomorphism.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definition of Artin-Schelter regular algebras and their properties. The canonical $A_{\infty}$-structures on the Yoneda Ext-algebras and the general ideas used for the classification of AS-regular algebras by using $A_{\infty}$-methods are explained also in this section. In Section 3, we analyze the Frobenius structure and $A_{\infty}$-structure of the Yoneda Ext-algebras $E(A)$ for 5 -dimensional AS-regular algebras $A$ generated by two elements of degree 1 with three relations of degree 4 . Several systems of equations satisfied by the structural coefficients are obtained following the Stasheff's identities. In Section 4, we introduce a generic condition (GM2) on the algebra structure on $E$, and give all the possible AS-regular algebras of global dimension 5 of the type considered. In Section 5, we prove all the possible algebras listed in Section 4 are strongly noetherian, Auslander regular and Cohen-Macaulay with respect to the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension, thus proving the main results.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, $k$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and all algebras are connected graded $k$-algebras generated in degree 1 . Now we recall the definition of Artin-Schelter regular algebras.

### 2.1. Artin-Schelter regular algebras

Definition 2.1. A connected graded algebra $A$ is called Artin-Schelter regular (AS-regular, for short) if the following three conditions hold:
(AS1) $A$ has finite global dimension $d$,
(AS2) $A$ is Gorenstein, i.e., there exists an integer $l$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i}(k, A) \cong \begin{cases}k(l), & i=d, \\ 0, & i \neq d\end{cases}
$$

where $k$ is the trivial left or right $A$-module $A / A \geqslant 1$, and the notation $(l)$ is the degree $l$-shifting on graded modules,
(AS3) A has finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension (GK dimension).

## 2.2. $A_{\infty}$-algebras

We recall the definition of $A_{\infty}$-algebras and the $A_{\infty}$-structure on the Yoneda Ext-algebras in this subsection.

Definition 2.2. An $A_{\infty}$-algebra over $k$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space $A=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A^{i}$ endowed with a family of graded $k$-linear maps $m_{n}: A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A$ of degree $2-n(n \geqslant 1)$, such that the following Stasheff identities SI( $n$ ) hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum(-1)^{r+s t} m_{u}\left(\mathrm{id}^{\otimes r} \otimes m_{s} \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes t}\right)=0 \tag{SI}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geqslant 1$, where the sum runs over all the decompositions $n=r+s+t(r, t \geqslant 0$ and $s \geqslant 1)$ and $u=r+1+t$.

We assume that every $A_{\infty}$-algebra in this paper satisfies the strictly unital condition: there is an element $1 \in A^{0}$, which is called the strict unit or identity of $A$, such that

- 1 is an identity with respect to the multiplication $m_{2}$, and
- if $n \neq 2$ and $a_{i}=1$ for some $i$, then $m_{n}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=0$.

Note that when the formulas are applied to elements, additional signs appear due to the Koszul sign rule as usual in the graded setting.

A differential graded algebra $(A, d)$ can be viewed as an $A_{\infty}$-algebra by setting $m_{1}=d, m_{2}$ be the multiplication and $m_{n}=0$ for all $n \geqslant 3$. On the other hand, for any differential graded algebra $A$, there is a canonical $A_{\infty}$-algebra structure on its cohomology algebra $H A$ which is unique in some sense [Ka,Me].

Let $A$ be a connected graded algebra, and $k_{A}$ be the trivial $A$-module. The Ext-algebra $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{*}\left(k_{A}, k_{A}\right)$, viewed as the cohomology algebra of some differential graded algebra, is equipped with an $A_{\infty}$-algebra structure. We use $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{*}\left(k_{A}, k_{A}\right)$ to denote both the usual associative Ext-algebra and the Ext-algebra with the canonical $A_{\infty}$-structure. Occasionally we use $E$ also to denote Ext with its $A_{\infty}$-algebra structure.

We assume also that the $A_{\infty}$-algebras in this paper are $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded. In fact, the Ext-algebra $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{*}\left(k_{A}, k_{A}\right)$ of a connected graded algebra $A$ is a typical example of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded $A_{\infty}$-algebras; the first grading, written as a superscript, is the homological one, and the other grading, which is sometimes called the Adams grading, written as subscript, is induced by the grading on the original graded algebra $A$. The degree of the multiplication maps $m_{n}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded $A_{\infty}$-algebras is $(2-n, 0)$, i.e., $m_{n}$ preserves the Adams grading. For the construction of the $A_{\infty}$-structure of the Ext-algebra $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{*}\left(k_{A}, k_{A}\right)$, see also [LPWZ3, Proposition 1.2].

## 2.3. $A_{\infty}$-Ext-algebras of AS-regular algebras

The following theorem is one bridge for the classification of AS-regular algebras by $A_{\infty}$-methods.

Theorem 2.3. (See [LPWZ1, Theorem 12.9] or [LPWZ4, Corollary D].) Let A be a connected graded algebra and let $E$ be the Ext-algebra of $A$. Then A satisfies the conditions (AS1) and (AS2) in Definition 2.1 if and only if $E$ is a Frobenius algebra.

This was proved by using $A_{\infty}$-algebra methods. Theorem 2.3 is a generalization of a result of Smith in [Sm], where $A$ is assumed to be noetherian Koszul.

If $A$ is not Koszul, then the associative algebra $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{*}\left(k_{A}, k_{A}\right)$ does not contain enough information to recover $A$ (see, say, [LPWZ1, Ex. 13.4]). Generally speaking, the information from the $A_{\infty}$-algebra $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{*}\left(k_{A}, k_{A}\right)$ is sufficient to recover $A$. This is the main point of the following theorem, which serves as another bridge for the classification of AS-regular algebras by $A_{\infty}$-methods.

Theorem 2.4. (See [LPWZ3, Corollary B].) Let A be a connected graded algebra which is finitely generated in degree 1, and let $E$ be the corresponding $A_{\infty}$-algebra Ext $A_{A}^{*}\left(k_{A}, k_{A}\right)$. Let $R=\bigoplus_{n \geqslant 2} R_{n}$ be the minimal graded space of relations of $A$ such that $R_{n} \subset A_{1} \otimes A_{n-1} \subset A_{1}^{\otimes n}$. Let $i: R_{n} \rightarrow A_{1}^{\otimes n}$ be the inclusion map and $i^{*}$ be its $k$-linear dual. Then the multiplication $m_{n}$ of $E$ restricted to $\left(E^{1}\right)^{\otimes n}$ is equal to the map

$$
i^{*}:\left(E^{1}\right)^{\otimes n}=\left(A_{1}^{*}\right)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow R_{n}^{*} \subset E^{2}
$$

Keller stated the result for quiver algebras $k Q / I$ where $Q$ is a finite quiver and $I$ is an admissible ideal of $k Q$ [ Ke , Proposition 2]. Theorem 2.4, giving an explicit correspondence between the minimal graded space of relations of $A$ and the $A_{\infty}$-multiplications of the Ext-algebra Ext ${ }_{A}^{*}\left(k_{A}, k_{A}\right)$, works for graded algebras generated in degree 1 .

Let us give an example to illustrate this.
Example 1. Let $A$ be a 3-dimensional AS-regular algebra of Type A in Artin-Schelter's classification [AS], i.e.,

$$
A=k\langle x, y\rangle /\binom{x^{3}+a x y^{2}+a y^{2} x+b y x y}{y^{3}+a y x^{2}+a x^{2} y+b x y x}
$$

with $a, b \in k \backslash\{0\}$. Then minimal projective resolution of the trivial module $k_{A}$ has the following form

$$
0 \rightarrow A(-4) \rightarrow A(-3)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow A(-1)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow A \rightarrow k_{A} \rightarrow 0,
$$

and the Yoneda Ext-algebra $E=\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{*}\left(k_{A}, k_{A}\right)=k \oplus E_{-1}^{1} \oplus E_{-3}^{2} \oplus E_{-4}^{3}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded vector space, where the lower index is the Adams grading and the upper index is the homological grading. The dimensions of the subspaces are

$$
\operatorname{dim} E_{-1}^{1}=\operatorname{dim} E_{-3}^{2}=2, \quad \operatorname{dim} E_{-4}^{3}=1
$$

By choosing the basis suitably, let $E_{-1}^{1}=k \alpha_{1} \oplus k \alpha_{2}, E_{-3}^{2}=k \beta_{1} \oplus k \beta_{2}$, and $E_{-4}^{3}=k \delta$. Then the $A_{\infty}$-multiplication $m_{3}$ on $\left(E^{1}\right)^{\otimes 3}$ is

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
m_{3}\left(\alpha_{1} \otimes \alpha_{1} \otimes \alpha_{1}\right)=\beta_{1}, & m_{3}\left(\alpha_{1} \otimes \alpha_{1} \otimes \alpha_{2}\right)=a \beta_{2}, \\
m_{3}\left(\alpha_{1} \otimes \alpha_{2} \otimes \alpha_{1}\right)=b \beta_{2}, & m_{3}\left(\alpha_{1} \otimes \alpha_{2} \otimes \alpha_{2}\right)=a \beta_{1}, \\
m_{3}\left(\alpha_{2} \otimes \alpha_{1} \otimes \alpha_{1}\right)=a \beta_{2}, & m_{3}\left(\alpha_{2} \otimes \alpha_{1} \otimes \alpha_{2}\right)=b \beta_{1}, \\
m_{3}\left(\alpha_{2} \otimes \alpha_{2} \otimes \alpha_{1}\right)=a \beta_{1}, & m_{3}\left(\alpha_{2} \otimes \alpha_{2} \otimes \alpha_{2}\right)=\beta_{2} .
\end{array}
$$

The following is also needed later in the classification.
Theorem 2.5. (See [Ke, Proposition 1].) As an $A_{\infty}$-algebra, $E=E(A)$ can be generated by $E^{0}$ and $E^{1}$, i.e., $E$ itself is the smallest $k$-subspace of $E$ which is closed under the $A_{\infty}$-multiplications $m_{n}$ 's containing $E^{0}$ and $E^{1}$.

The process of recovering the algebra from its Ext-algebra is the main idea used in [LPWZ2] to classify a type of 4 -dimensional AS-regular algebras. This is also the idea in this paper. We analyze the $A_{\infty}$-structures of the Ext-algebras, then we recover the original algebras and check the homological properties.

## 3. $A_{\infty}$-structural analysis on $E(A)$

In this paper we focus on the 5 -dimensional AS-regular algebras which are generated by two elements with three relations of degree 4 . We classify the algebras of this type under a generic condition. Following [FV] (see Theorem 1.1), the proof of the following proposition is an easy exercise.

Proposition 3.1. Let A be a 5-dimensional AS-regular algebra which is generated by two elements of degree 1 with three generating relations of degree 4 . Then the minimal resolution of the trivial module $k_{A}$ is of the following form:

$$
0 \rightarrow A(-10) \rightarrow A(-9)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow A(-6)^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow A(-4)^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow A(-1)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow A \rightarrow k_{A} \rightarrow 0
$$

and the Hilbert series of $A$ is $(1-t)^{-2}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(1-t^{3}\right)^{-2}$. The Yoneda Ext-algebra $E$ of $A$ is isomorphic to

$$
k \oplus E_{-1}^{1} \oplus E_{-4}^{2} \oplus E_{-6}^{3} \oplus E_{-9}^{4} \oplus E_{-10}^{5}
$$

as $a \mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded vector space, where the lower index is the Adams grading inherited from the grading of $A$ and the upper index is the homological grading of the Ext-group. The dimensions of the subspaces are

$$
\operatorname{dim} E_{-1}^{1}=\operatorname{dim} E_{-9}^{4}=2, \quad \operatorname{dim} E_{-4}^{2}=\operatorname{dim} E_{-6}^{3}=3, \quad \operatorname{dim} E_{-10}^{5}=1 .
$$

With the canonical $A_{\infty}$-algebra structure, $E=\left(E, m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{4}\right)$, that is, $m_{n}=0$ for all $n \geqslant 5$.

### 3.1. Frobenius algebra structures on $E$

Now we start to classify all possible Frobenius algebra structures on the bigraded space

$$
E=k \oplus E_{-1}^{1} \oplus E_{-4}^{2} \oplus E_{-6}^{3} \oplus E_{-9}^{4} \oplus E_{-10}^{5}
$$

with $\operatorname{dim} E_{-1}^{1}=\operatorname{dim} E_{-9}^{4}=2, \operatorname{dim} E_{-4}^{2}=\operatorname{dim} E_{-6}^{3}=3, \operatorname{dim} E_{-10}^{5}=1$. All possible non-trivial actions of the higher multiplications $m_{n}$ are listed as follows.

The possible non-trivial actions of $m_{2}$ on $E^{\otimes 2}$ are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E_{-1}^{1} \otimes E_{-9}^{4} \rightarrow E_{-10}^{5}, & E_{-9}^{4} \otimes E_{-1}^{1} \rightarrow E_{-10}^{5} \\
E_{-4}^{2} \otimes E_{-6}^{3} \rightarrow E_{-10}^{5}, & E_{-6}^{3} \otimes E_{-4}^{2} \rightarrow E_{-10}^{5}
\end{array}
$$

The multiplication $m_{2}$ gives a Frobenius structure on $E$ if and only if that there exists a basis $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right\}$ of $E_{-1}^{1}$, a basis $\left\{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right\}$ of $E_{-4}^{2}$, a basis $\left\{\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \eta_{3}\right\}$ of $E_{-6}^{3}$, a basis $\left\{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right\}$ of $E_{-9}^{4}$ and a basis $\{\delta\}$ of $E_{-10}^{5}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha_{i} \gamma_{j}=\delta_{i j} \delta, & \gamma_{i} \alpha_{j}=r_{i j} \delta, & r_{i j} \in k \\
\beta_{k} \eta_{s}=\delta_{k s} \delta, & \eta_{k} \beta_{s}=t_{k s} \delta, & t_{k s} \in k \tag{3.1}
\end{array}
$$

with the matrices $\mathcal{R}=\left(r_{i j}\right)_{2 \times 2}$ and $\mathcal{T}=\left(t_{k s}\right)_{3 \times 3}$ non-singular.
3.2. Non-trivial actions of $m_{3}$ and $m_{4}$ on $E$

Possible non-trivial actions of $m_{3}$ on $E^{\otimes 3}$ are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E_{-1}^{1} \otimes E_{-1}^{1} \otimes E_{-4}^{2} \rightarrow E_{-6}^{3}, & E_{-1}^{1} \otimes E_{-4}^{2} \otimes E_{-1}^{1} \rightarrow E_{-6}^{3}, \\
E_{-4}^{1} \otimes E_{-4}^{2} \otimes E_{-4}^{2} \rightarrow E_{-9}^{4}, & E_{-4}^{2} \otimes E_{-1}^{1} \otimes E_{-4}^{2} \rightarrow E_{-9}^{4}, \\
E_{-4}^{2} \otimes E_{-4}^{2} \otimes E_{-1}^{1} \rightarrow E_{-6}^{3}
\end{array}
$$

Now, for $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant 2$ and $1 \leqslant k, s \leqslant 3$, we assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{3}\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}, \beta_{k}\right)=a_{13 i j k} \eta_{1}+a_{23 i j k} \eta_{2}+a_{33 i j k} \eta_{3}, \\
& m_{3}\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{k}, \alpha_{j}\right)=a_{12 i j k} \eta_{1}+a_{22 i j k} \eta_{2}+a_{32 i j k} \eta_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{3}\left(\beta_{k}, \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right)=a_{11 i j k} \eta_{1}+a_{21 i j k} \eta_{2}+a_{31 i j k} \eta_{3}, \\
& m_{3}\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{k}, \beta_{s}\right)=b_{11 i k s} \gamma_{1}+b_{21 i k s} \gamma_{2}, \\
& m_{3}\left(\beta_{k}, \alpha_{i}, \beta_{s}\right)=b_{12 i k s} \gamma_{1}+b_{22 i k s} \gamma_{2}, \\
& m_{3}\left(\beta_{k}, \beta_{s}, \alpha_{i}\right)=b_{13 i k s} \gamma_{1}+b_{23 i k s} \gamma_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the coefficients are scalars in $k$.
Possible non-trivial actions of $m_{4}$ on $E^{\otimes 4}$ are

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(E_{-1}^{1}\right)^{\otimes 4} \rightarrow E_{-4}^{2}, \\
\left(E_{-1}^{1}\right)^{\otimes 3} \otimes E_{-6}^{3} \rightarrow E_{-9}^{4}, \quad\left(E_{-1}^{1}\right)^{\otimes 2} \otimes E_{-6}^{3} \otimes E_{-1}^{1} \rightarrow E_{-9}^{4}, \\
E_{-6}^{3} \otimes\left(E_{-1}^{1}\right)^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow E_{-9}^{4}, \quad E_{-1}^{1} \otimes E_{-6}^{3} \otimes\left(E_{-1}^{1}\right)^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow E_{-9}^{4} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, for $1 \leqslant i, j, h, m \leqslant 2$ and $1 \leqslant s \leqslant 3$, we assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{4}\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}, \alpha_{h}, \alpha_{m}\right) & =x_{1 i j h m} \beta_{1}+x_{2 i j h m} \beta_{2}+x_{3 i j h m} \beta_{3} \\
m_{4}\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}, \alpha_{h}, \eta_{s}\right) & =y_{14 i j h s} \gamma_{1}+y_{24 i j h s} \gamma_{2} \\
m_{4}\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}, \eta_{s}, \alpha_{h}\right) & =y_{13 i j h s} \gamma_{1}+y_{23 i j h s} \gamma_{2} \\
m_{4}\left(\eta_{s}, \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}, \alpha_{h}\right) & =y_{11 i j h s} \gamma_{1}+y_{21 i j h s} \gamma_{2} \\
m_{4}\left(\alpha_{i}, \eta_{s}, \alpha_{j}, \alpha_{h}\right) & =y_{12 i j h s} \gamma_{1}+y_{22 i j h s} \gamma_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where all the coefficients are scalars in $k$.

### 3.3. Stasheff identities for the $A_{\infty}$-algebra $E$

We assume first that the structure matrices $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ given in (3.1) are diagonal for simplicity, and let

$$
\mathcal{R}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
g_{1} & \\
& g_{2}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{T}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
t_{1} & & \\
& t_{2} & \\
& & t_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is easy to see that $\operatorname{SI}(n)$ holds trivially for $n=1,2,3$ and $n \geqslant 7$. Now we look at $\operatorname{SI}(n)$ for $n=4,5$ and 6.
$\mathrm{SI}(4)$ is equivalent to

$$
m_{3}\left(m_{2} \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes 2}-\mathrm{id} \otimes m_{2} \otimes \mathrm{id}+\mathrm{id}^{\otimes 2} \otimes m_{2}\right)=m_{2}\left(m_{3} \otimes \mathrm{id}+\mathrm{id} \otimes m_{3}\right) .
$$

By applying to elements, it is easy to see that if one of the components is in $E^{0}=k$ then the formula holds trivially. If no component is in $E^{0}=k$, then the action of the left-hand side of the above formula is always zero. The possible non-trivial actions of the right-hand side of the above formula are on

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
E_{-1}^{1} \otimes E_{-1}^{1} \otimes E_{-4}^{2} \otimes E_{-4}^{2}, & E_{-1}^{1} \otimes E_{-4}^{2} \otimes E_{-1}^{1} \otimes E_{-4}^{2}, & E_{-1}^{1} \otimes E_{-4}^{2} \otimes E_{-4}^{2} \otimes E_{-1}^{1}, \\
E_{-4}^{2} \otimes E_{-1}^{1} \otimes E_{-1}^{1} \otimes E_{-4}^{2}, & E_{-4}^{2} \otimes E_{-1}^{1} \otimes E_{-4}^{2} \otimes E_{-1}^{1}, & E_{-4}^{2} \otimes E_{-4}^{2} \otimes E_{-1}^{1} \otimes E_{-1}^{1}
\end{array}
$$

By applying SI(4) to ( $\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}, \beta_{k}, \beta_{c}$ ), ( $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{k}, \alpha_{j}, \beta_{c}$ ), $\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{k}, \beta_{c}, \alpha_{j}\right),\left(\beta_{k}, \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}, \beta_{c}\right),\left(\beta_{k}, \alpha_{i}, \beta_{c}, \alpha_{j}\right)$ and ( $\beta_{k}, \beta_{c}, \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}$ ), it follows that SI(4) holds if and only if

$$
\begin{cases}b_{i 1 j k c}=a_{c 3 i j k} t_{c}, & b_{i 2 j k c}=a_{c 2 i j k} t_{c},  \tag{3.2}\\ b_{i 3 j k c}=g_{j} b_{j 1 i k c}, & a_{k 3 i j c}=-a_{c 1 i j k} t_{c}, \\ a_{k 2 i j c}=-g_{j} b_{j 2 i k c}, & a_{k 1 i j c}=-g_{j} b_{j 3 i k c} .\end{cases}
$$

It follows from (3.2) that for any $i, j \in\{1,2\}$ and $k, c \in\{1,2,3\}$ by eliminating the $b$ 's,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{k 1 i j c}+g_{i} g_{j} t_{c} a_{c 3 i j k}=0,  \tag{3.3}\\
a_{k 1 i j c} t_{k}+a_{c 3 i j k}=0, \\
g_{j} t_{c} a_{c 2 j i k}+a_{k 2 i j c}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\mathrm{SI}(5)$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{3}\left(m_{3} \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes 2}+\mathrm{id} \otimes m_{3} \otimes \mathrm{id}+\mathrm{id}^{\otimes 2} \otimes m_{3}\right) \\
& \quad=m_{2}\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes m_{4}-m_{4} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right)+m_{4}\left(\mathrm{id}^{\otimes 3} \otimes m_{2}-\mathrm{id}^{\otimes 2} \otimes m_{2} \otimes \mathrm{id}+\mathrm{id} \otimes m_{2} \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes 2}-m_{2} \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes 3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The left-hand side of the above formula is always zero. If one of the components is in $E^{0}=k$, the formula holds trivially by applying it to elements. The possible non-trivial actions of the right-hand side of the above formula are $m_{2}\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes m_{4}-m_{4} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right)$ acting on

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(E_{-1}^{1}\right)^{\otimes 4} \otimes E_{-6}^{3}, \quad\left(E_{-1}^{1}\right)^{\otimes 3} \otimes E_{-6}^{3} \otimes E_{-1}^{1}, \quad\left(E_{-1}^{1}\right)^{\otimes 2} \otimes E_{-6}^{3} \otimes\left(E_{-1}^{1}\right)^{\otimes 2} \\
E_{-1}^{1} \otimes E_{-6}^{3} \otimes\left(E_{-1}^{1}\right)^{\otimes 3}, \quad E_{-6}^{3} \otimes\left(E_{-1}^{1}\right)^{\otimes 4}
\end{gathered}
$$

By applying $m_{2}\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes m_{4}-m_{4} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right)$ to $\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}, \alpha_{h}, \alpha_{m}, \eta_{s}\right)$, $\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}, \alpha_{h}, \eta_{s}, \alpha_{m}\right),\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}, \eta_{s}, \alpha_{h}, \alpha_{m}\right)$, ( $\alpha_{i}, \eta_{s}, \alpha_{j}, \alpha_{h}, \alpha_{m}$ ) and ( $\eta_{s}, \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}, \alpha_{h}, \alpha_{m}$ ), it follows that SI(5) holds if and only if, for any $i, j, h, m \in$ $\{1,2\}$ and $s \in\{1,2,3\}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{s i j h m}=y_{i 4 j h m s}, \quad g_{m} y_{m 4 i j h s}=y_{i 3 j h m s}, \quad g_{m} y_{m 3 i j h s}=y_{i 2 j h m s}, \\
g_{m} y_{m 2 i j h s}=y_{i 1 j h m s}, \quad g_{m} y_{m 1 i j h s}=t_{s} x_{s i j h m} . \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

It follows that for any $i, j, h, m \in\{1,2\}$ and $s \in\{1,2,3\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{s i j h m}\left(t_{s}-g_{i} g_{j} g_{h} g_{m}\right)=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 2.4, for any fixed $s \in\{1,2,3\}$, there exist some $i, j, h$ and $m$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{s}=g_{i} g_{j} g_{h} g_{m} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{SI}(6)$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{4}\left(m_{3} \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes 3}+\mathrm{id} \otimes m_{3} \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes 2}+\mathrm{id}^{\otimes 2} \otimes m_{3} \otimes \mathrm{id}+\mathrm{id}^{\otimes 3} \otimes m_{3}\right) \\
& \quad=m_{3}\left(m_{4} \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes 2}-\mathrm{id} \otimes m_{4} \otimes \mathrm{id}+\mathrm{id}^{\otimes 2} \otimes m_{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The possible non-trivial actions of the above formula are on

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(E_{-1}^{1}\right)^{\otimes 6}, \quad\left(E_{-1}^{1}\right)^{\otimes 5} \otimes E_{-4}^{2}, \quad\left(E_{-1}^{1}\right)^{\otimes 4} \otimes E_{-4}^{2} \otimes E_{-1}^{1}, \quad\left(E_{-1}^{1}\right)^{\otimes 3} \otimes E_{-4}^{2} \otimes\left(E_{-1}^{1}\right)^{\otimes 2} \\
\left(E_{-1}^{1}\right)^{\otimes 2} \otimes E_{-4}^{2} \otimes\left(E_{-1}^{1}\right)^{\otimes 3}, \quad E_{-1}^{1} \otimes E_{-4}^{2} \otimes\left(E_{-1}^{1}\right)^{\otimes 4}, \quad E_{-4}^{2} \otimes\left(E_{-1}^{1}\right)^{\otimes 5}
\end{gathered}
$$

By applying $\operatorname{SI}(6)$ to $\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}, \alpha_{h}, \alpha_{m}, \alpha_{n}, \alpha_{l}\right),\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}, \alpha_{h}, \alpha_{m}, \alpha_{n}, \beta_{k}\right),\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}, \alpha_{h}, \alpha_{m}, \beta_{k}, \alpha_{n}\right),\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}, \alpha_{h}\right.$, $\left.\beta_{k}, \alpha_{m}, \alpha_{n}\right),\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}, \beta_{k}, \alpha_{h}, \alpha_{m}, \alpha_{n}\right),\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{k}, \alpha_{j}, \alpha_{h}, \alpha_{m}, \alpha_{n}\right),\left(\beta_{k}, \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}, \alpha_{h}, \alpha_{m}, \alpha_{n}\right)$, it follows that $\operatorname{SI}(6)$ holds if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{s=1}^{3} x_{s i j h m} a_{c 1 n l s}-\sum_{s=1}^{3} x_{s j h m n} a_{c 2 i l s}+\sum_{s=1}^{3} x_{s h m n l} a_{c 3 i j s}=0 \\
& \sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 3 m n k} y_{l 4 i j h s}+\sum_{s=1}^{3} x_{s i j h m} b_{l 2 n s k}-\sum_{s=1}^{3} x_{s j h m n} b_{l 1 i s k}=0 \\
& \sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 3 h m k} y_{l 3 i j n s}-\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 2 m n k} y_{l 4 i j h s}-\sum_{s=1}^{3} x_{s i j h m} b_{l 3 n s k}=0 \\
& \sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 3 j h k} y_{l 2 i m n s}-\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 2 h m k} y_{l 3 i j n s}+\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 1 m n k} y_{l 4 i j h s}=0 \\
& \sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 3 i j k} y_{l 1 h m n s}-\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 2 j h k} y_{l 2 i m n s}+\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 1 h m k} y_{l 3 i j n s}=0 \\
& \sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 2 i j k} y_{l 1 h m n s}-\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 1 j h k} y_{l 2 i m n s}-\sum_{s=1}^{3} x_{s j h m n} b_{l 1 i k s}=0 \\
& \sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 1 i j k} y_{l 1 h m n s}+\sum_{s=1}^{3} x_{s i j h m} b_{l 3 n k s}-\sum_{s=1}^{3} x_{s j h m n} b_{l 2 i k s}=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i, j, h, m, n, l \in\{1,2\}$ and $k, c \in\{1,2,3\}$.
Using (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4), plugging $b_{l c n s k}, a_{c 1 n l s}$ and $y_{l c i j n s}$ in (3.7), we obtain a system of equations with respect to $a_{c 2 n l s}, a_{c 3 n l s}$ and $x_{s j h m n}$ as in the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 3 n l c} g_{n} g_{l} t_{s} x_{s i j h m}+\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{c 2 i l s} x_{s j h m n}-\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{c 3 i j s} x_{s h m n l}=0 \\
& \sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 3 m n k} x_{s l i j h}+\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{k 2 l n s} t_{k} x_{s i j h m}-\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{k 3 l i s} t_{k} x_{s j h m n}=0 \\
& \sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 3 h m k} g_{n} x_{s n l i j}-\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 2 m n k} x_{s l i j h}-\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{k 3 n l s} g_{n} t_{k} x_{s i j h m}=0 \\
& \sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 3 j h k} g_{m} x_{s m n l i}-\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 2 h m k} x_{s n l i j}-\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{k 3 m n s} g_{m} t_{k} x_{s l i j h}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 3 i j k} g_{h} x_{s h m n l}-\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 2 j h k} x_{s m n l i}-\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{k 3 h m s} g_{h} t_{k} x_{s n l i j}=0 \\
\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 2 i j k} g_{m} g_{h} g_{n} x_{s h m n l}+\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{k 3 j h s} g_{h} g_{j} g_{m} g_{n} t_{k} x_{s m n l i}-\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 3 l i k} t_{s} x_{s j h m n}=0 \\
\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{k 3 i j s} g_{h} g_{i} g_{j} g_{m} g_{n} t_{k} x_{s h m n l}-\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 3 n l k} g_{n} t_{s} x_{s i j h m}+\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 2 l i k} t_{s} x_{s j h m n}=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $i, j, h, m, n, l \in\{1,2\}$ and $k, c \in\{1,2,3\}$.
In fact, the seven families of equations in (3.8) is just equivalent to one family by (3.3) and (3.5), say the first one:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{s 3 n l c} g_{n} g_{l} t_{s} x_{s i j h m}+\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{c 2 i l s} x_{s j h m n}-\sum_{s=1}^{3} a_{c 3 i j s} x_{s h m n l}=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i, j, h, m, n, l \in\{1,2\}$ and $c \in\{1,2,3\}$.

## 4. Classifications

4.1. A generic condition on the algebra structure of $E$

Let

$$
\mathcal{R}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
r_{11} & r_{12} \\
r_{21} & r_{22}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{T}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
t_{11} & t_{12} & t_{13} \\
t_{21} & t_{22} & t_{23} \\
t_{31} & t_{32} & t_{33}
\end{array}\right)
$$

be as given in (3.1) and let $g_{1}, g_{2}$ and $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}$ be the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{T}$, respectively.
Lemma 4.1. Let $E$ be the Yoneda Ext-algebra of $A$ as considered, $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ be the structure matrices as given in (3.1). If $\mathcal{R}$ is diagonal, then so is $\mathcal{T}$.

Proof. Let $\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right\}$ be a minimal generating relation of $A$. We may assume that, for any $1 \leqslant l \leqslant 3$, there exists a monomial in $x$ and $y$ appearing only in $f_{l}$ (that is, its coefficient is non-zero). Let

$$
\mathcal{R}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
g_{1} & \\
& g_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The first four identities in (3.4) still hold. By applying $\operatorname{SI}(5)$ to $E_{-6}^{3} \otimes\left(E_{-1}^{1}\right)^{\otimes 4}$ (see (3.4)), we get $\sum_{c=1}^{3} t_{s c} x_{c i j h m}=g_{m} y_{m 1 i j h s}$. It follows that $\sum_{c=1}^{3} t_{s c} x_{c i j h m}=g_{m} g_{h} g_{i} g_{j} x_{s i j h m}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t_{s s}-g_{m} g_{h} g_{i} g_{j}\right) x_{s i j h m}=\sum_{c \neq s} t_{s c} x_{c i j h m} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for any $1 \leqslant l \leqslant 3$, there exist some $i, j, h, m$ such that $x_{c i j h m}=0$ if and only if $c \neq l$, by Theorem 2.4 and the discussion in the first paragraph.

Taking some $i, j, h, m$ so that $x_{1 i j h m} \neq 0$ and $x_{2 i j h m}=x_{3 i j h m}=0$, it follows from (4.1) for $s=2$ (respectively, $s=3$ ) that $t_{21} x_{1 i j h m}=0$ (respectively, $t_{31} x_{1 i j h m}=0$ ). Hence $t_{21}=0$ (respectively, $t_{31}=0$ ). Similarly, we have $t_{12}=t_{32}=t_{13}=t_{23}=0$. So $\mathcal{T}$ is diagonal.

We introduce a generic condition (GM2) for $m_{2}$, which is suggested by (3.6).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{1} g_{2}^{-1}\right)^{i} \neq 1 \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4 \quad \text { and } \quad t_{s} \neq t_{j} \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant s \neq j \leqslant 3 \tag{GM2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From now on, we assume that the algebra structure on $E$ satisfies the condition (GM2). Then, without loss of generality, we may assume

$$
\mathcal{R}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
g_{1} & \\
& g_{2}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{T}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
t_{1} & & \\
& t_{2} & \\
& & t_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

If $E$ is the Yoneda Ext-algebra of some domain $A$, then (GM2) implies that $t_{s} \neq g_{i}^{4}$ for any $i$ and $s$. Again, by (GM2), without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}=g_{1}^{3} g_{2}, \quad t_{2}=g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{2}, \quad t_{3}=g_{1} g_{2}^{3} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.5), (4.2) and (GM2), all other $x_{\text {sijhm }}$ 's are zero except

$$
\begin{array}{rlllll}
x_{11112}, & x_{11121}, & x_{11211}, & x_{12111} ; & \\
x_{21122}, & x_{21212}, & x_{22121}, & x_{22211}, & x_{21221}, & x_{22112} ; \\
& x_{31222}, & x_{32122}, & x_{32212}, & x_{32221} &
\end{array}
$$

For convenience, let

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{11112}=a, & x_{11121}=p, \\
x_{21122}=l_{1}, & x_{11211}=q,
\end{align*} \quad x_{12111}=r ; ~ x_{21212}=l_{2}, \quad x_{22121}=l_{3}, \quad x_{22211}=l_{4}, \quad x_{21221}=l_{5}, \quad x_{22112}=l_{6} ;
$$

with $a, b, p, q, r, d, u, v, l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}, l_{4}, l_{5}, l_{6} \in k$.
So, by Theorem 2.4, the possible AS-regular algebras are of the form $A=k\langle x, y\rangle /\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$, with the generating relations $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ as in the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}=a x^{3} y+p x^{2} y x+q x y x^{2}+r y x^{3} \\
& f_{2}=l_{1} x^{2} y^{2}+l_{2} x y x y+l_{3} y x y x+l_{4} y^{2} x^{2}+l_{5} x y^{2} x+l_{6} y x^{2} y \\
& f_{3}=b x y^{3}+d y x y^{2}+u y^{2} x y+v y^{3} x \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

If $A$ is a domain, then $a b \neq 0, v r \neq 0$ and none of $\left(l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{5}\right),\left(l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{6}\right),\left(l_{3}, l_{4}, l_{5}\right)$ and $\left(l_{3}, l_{4}, l_{6}\right)$ equals $(0,0,0)$. We may assume that $a=b=1$.
4.2. Classification under the generic condition (GM2)

Proposition 4.2. Suppose that $E$ is the Yoneda Ext-algebra of some AS-regular algebra considered, satisfying the generic condition (GM2). Then, for some $2 \leqslant n \leqslant 8$,

$$
g_{1}^{n} g_{2}^{10-n}=1
$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.5, the Yoneda Ext-algebra $E$ should be $A_{\infty}$-generated by $E^{0}$ and $E^{1}$. It follows that $m_{3}$ is non-trivial. So, not all the parameters $a_{\text {scijk }}$ and $b_{l c i k s}$ are zero. By (3.2), not all the parameters $a_{s 2 i j k}$ and $a_{s 3 i j k}$ are zero for $i, j \in\{1,2\}$ and $s, k \in\{1,2,3\}$.

If all the $a_{s 3 i j k}$ 's are zero for $i, j \in\{1,2\}$ and $s, k \in\{1,2,3\}$, then there exists $a_{c 2 m n h} \neq 0$ for some $m, n \in\{1,2\}$ and $c, h \in\{1,2,3\}$. It follows from (3.3) that

$$
0=a_{c 2 m n h}+g_{n} t_{h} a_{h 2 n m c}=a_{c 2 m n h}+g_{n} t_{h}\left(-g_{m} t_{c} a_{c 2 m n h}\right)=\left(1-g_{n} g_{m} t_{h} t_{c}\right) a_{c 2 m n h} .
$$

So $1-g_{n} g_{m} t_{h} t_{c}=0$, which implies that $g_{n} g_{m} g_{1}^{8-h-c} g_{2}^{h+c}=1$ by (4.2).
If there exists $a_{s 3 i j k} \neq 0$ for some $i, j \in\{1,2\}$ and $k, s \in\{1,2,3\}$, then the first two equations in (3.3) have non-zero solutions. So

$$
1-g_{i} g_{j} t_{s} t_{k}=0
$$

It follows that $g_{i} g_{j} g_{1}^{8-k-s} g_{2}^{k+s}=1$ by (4.2).
Both of the two cases imply that there exists an integer $n$ with $2 \leqslant n \leqslant 8$ such that $g_{1}^{n} g_{2}^{10-n}=1$.
By Proposition 4.2, there are only four cases need to be considered, i.e.,
(i) $g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{8}=1$,
(ii) $g_{1}^{3} g_{2}^{7}=1$,
(iii) $g_{1}^{4} g_{2}^{6}=1$,
(iv) $g_{1}^{5} g_{2}^{5}=1$.

Proposition 4.3. Except the case (iv), any other case gives no AS-regular algebras.
Proof. Case (i): By (3.3), $\left(1-g_{n} g_{m} t_{h} t_{c}\right) a_{c 2 m n h}=\left(1-g_{n} g_{m} g_{1}^{8-h-c} g_{2}^{h+c}\right) a_{c 2 m n h}=0$. It follows from (GM2) that $g_{n} g_{m} g_{1}^{8-h-c} g_{2}^{h+c}=1$ if and only if $h=c=3$ and $n=m=2$. So, except $a_{32223}$, all other $a_{c 2 m n h}$ 's are zero.

By the first two equations in (3.3), $\left(1-g_{i} g_{j} t_{c} t_{k}\right) a_{c 3 i j k}=0$. So, except $a_{33223}$, all other $a_{c 3 i j k}$ 's are zero. Since $a_{c 3 i j k}=-t_{k} a_{k 1 i j c}$, all other $a_{k 1 i j c}$ 's are zero except $a_{31223}$.

In summary, except $a_{31223}, a_{32223}, a_{33223}$, all other $a_{\text {csijk' }}$ 's are zero.
It follows that $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} \in E_{-6}^{3}$ are not contained in $\operatorname{Im} m_{3}$. So, $E$ can not be $A_{\infty}$-generated by $E^{0}$ and $E^{1}$, and $E$ is not an Ext-algebra of some AS-regular algebra.

Case (ii): In this case, $g_{n} g_{m} g_{1}^{8-h-c} g_{2}^{h+c}=1$ if and only if that $h=c=n+m=3$ or $h+c=5$, $n=m=2$ by (GM2). It follows that except

$$
a_{32213}, \quad a_{32123}, \quad a_{32222}, \quad a_{22223}
$$

all other $a_{c 2 m n h}$ 's are zero. In particular, $a_{12 m n h}$ 's are zero.
Similarly, by (GM2) and ( $\left.1-g_{i} g_{j} t_{c} t_{k}\right) a_{c 3 i j k}=0$, except

$$
a_{33213}, \quad a_{33123}, \quad a_{33222}, \quad a_{23223}
$$

all other $a_{c 3 i j k}$ 's are zero. In particular, all $a_{13 i j k}$ 's are zero. Since $a_{c 3 i j k}=-t_{k} a_{k 1 i j c}$, all $a_{11 i j c}$ 's are zero.

So, $\eta_{1} \in E_{-6}^{3}$ is not contained in $\operatorname{Im} m_{3}$ and $E$ is not $A_{\infty}$-generated by $E^{0}$ and $E^{1}$. In this case, $E$ is not an Ext-algebra of some AS-regular algebra either.

Case (iii): By (GM2) and ( $\left.1-g_{n} g_{m} g_{1}^{8-h-c} g_{2}^{h+c}\right) a_{c 2 m n h}=0$, except

$$
a_{32113}, \quad a_{22123}, \quad a_{22213}, \quad a_{32122}, \quad a_{32212}, \quad a_{12223}, \quad a_{32221}, \quad a_{22222},
$$

all other $a_{c 2 m n h}$ 's are zero. In particular, except $a_{12223}$ all $a_{12 m n h}$ 's are zero.

Similarly, by (GM2) and $\left(1-g_{i} g_{j} t_{c} t_{k}\right) a_{c 3 i j k}=0$, except

```
a33113},\quad\mp@subsup{a}{23123}{},\mp@subsup{a}{23213}{},\quad\mp@subsup{a}{33122}{},\mp@subsup{a}{33212}{},\mp@subsup{a}{13223}{},\mp@subsup{a}{33221}{},\mp@subsup{a}{23222}{}
```

all other $a_{c 3 i j k}$ 's are zero. In particular, except $a_{13223}$ all $a_{13 i j k}$ 's are zero.
Since $a_{c 3 i j k}=-t_{k} a_{k 1 i j c}$, except $a_{11223}$ all other $a_{11 i j c}$ 's are zero.
Let $l=k=1$ and $i=j=h=m=n=2$ in the second, third and fourth equations of (3.8), we get the following equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{33221} x_{31222}=0 \\
a_{33221} x_{32122} g_{2}=a_{32221} x_{31222} \\
a_{33221} x_{32212} g_{2}=a_{32221} x_{32122}+a_{13223} x_{31222} g_{2} t_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

It follows from $x_{31222}=1$ that

$$
a_{33221}=a_{32221}=a_{13223}=0
$$

Since $a_{33221}=-t_{1} a_{11223}$ and $a_{12223}=-g_{2} t_{3} a_{32221}, a_{11223}=a_{12223}=a_{13223}=0$. Hence all $a_{1 s i j k}$ 's are zero.

So, in this case, $\eta_{1} \in E_{-6}^{3}$ is also not contained in $\operatorname{Im} m_{3}$ and $E$ is not $A_{\infty}$-generated by $E^{0}$ and $E^{1}$. Hence $E$ is not an Ext-algebra of some AS-regular algebra.

The only interesting case left is the case (iv) $g_{1}^{5} g_{2}^{5}=1$, which will be discussed in the next subsection.

### 4.3. Case $g_{1}^{5} g_{2}^{5}=1$

Using the third equation in (3.3) for $a_{k 2 i j c}$, we have $\left(1-g_{i} g_{j} t_{c} t_{k}\right) a_{k 2 i j c}=0$ with $i, j \in\{1,2\}$ and $k, c \in\{1,2,3\}$. Then we get the following equations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{22113}=-g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{3} a_{32112}, \quad a_{32121}=-g_{1}^{3} g_{2}^{2} a_{12213}, \quad a_{22122}=-g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{3} a_{22212} \\
a_{12123}=-g_{1} g_{2}^{4} a_{32211}, \quad a_{12222}=-g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{3} a_{22221}
\end{gathered}
$$

and all other $a_{k 2 i j c}$ 's are zero.
Solving the first and second equations in (3.3) for $a_{k 3 i j c}$ with $i, j \in\{1,2\}$ and $k, c \in\{1,2,3\}$, we get all $a_{k 3 i j c}$ 's are zero except
$a_{23113}, \quad a_{33112}, \quad a_{33121}, a_{13213}, \quad a_{23122}, a_{23212}, a_{13123}, a_{33211}, a_{13222}, a_{23221}$.
Plugging the $x_{s i j h m}$ 's with the parameters as listed in (4.3) in the family of Eqs. (3.9), we get the following 50 equations:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
g_{1}^{3} g_{2}^{2} a_{12213}+l_{1} a_{33112} & =0, & p g_{1}^{3} g_{2}^{2} a_{12213}-g_{1}^{4} g_{2}^{2} a_{13123}+l_{2} a_{33112}=0 \\
-g_{1}^{4} g_{2}^{2} a_{13213}-l_{1} a_{32112}+l_{5} a_{33112}=0, & -g_{1}^{3} g_{2}^{3} a_{13222}+g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{3} l_{1} a_{22212}+a_{23113}=0 \\
q g_{1}^{3} g_{2}^{2} a_{12213}-p g_{1}^{4} g_{2}^{2} a_{13123}+l_{6} a_{33112}=0, & -p g_{1}^{4} g_{2}^{2} a_{13213}-l_{2} a_{32112}+l_{3} a_{33112}=0, \\
-p g_{1}^{3} g_{2}^{3} a_{13222}+g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{3} l_{2} a_{22212}+d a_{23113}=0, & -g_{1}^{4} g_{2}^{2} l_{1} a_{23113}-l_{5} a_{32112}+l_{4} a_{33112}=0, \\
g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{3} l_{5} a_{22212}+u a_{23113}-g_{1}^{3} g_{2}^{3} l_{1} a_{23122}=0, & v a_{23113}-g_{1}^{3} g_{2}^{3} l_{1} a_{23212}+g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{3} a_{32112}=0, \\
-g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{4} l_{1} a_{23221}+g_{1} g_{2}^{4} a_{32211}=0, & r g_{1}^{3} g_{2}^{2} a_{12213}-q g_{1}^{4} g_{2}^{2} a_{13123}+a_{33121}=0,
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -q g_{1}^{4} g_{2}^{2} a_{13213}-l_{6} a_{32112}+p a_{33121}=0, \quad-q g_{1}^{3} g_{2}^{3} a_{13222}+g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{3} l_{6} a_{22212}+l_{1} a_{23122}=0, \\
& -g_{1}^{4} g_{2}^{2} l_{2} a_{23113}-l_{3} a_{32112}+q a_{33121}=0, \quad g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{3} l_{3} a_{22212}+l_{2} a_{23122}-g_{1}^{3} g_{2}^{3} l_{2} a_{23122}=0, \\
& l_{5} a_{23122}-g_{1}^{3} g_{2}^{3} l_{2} a_{23212}+d g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{3} a_{32112}=0, \\
& -g_{1}^{4} g_{2}^{2} l_{5} a_{23113}-l_{4} a_{32112}+r a_{33121}=0, \\
& l_{3} a_{23122}-g_{1}^{3} g_{2}^{3} l_{5} a_{23212}+u g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{3} a_{32112}=0, \\
& l_{4} a_{23122}+v g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{3} a_{32112}-g_{1}^{3} g_{2}^{3} a_{33112}=0, \\
& v a_{13123}-g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{4} a_{33211}=0, \\
& -r g_{1}^{4} g_{2}^{2} a_{13213}-a_{32211}+p a_{33211}=0, \\
& -g_{1}^{4} g_{2}^{2} l_{6} a_{23113}-p a_{32211}+q a_{33211}=0, \\
& -l_{1} a_{22212}+l_{5} a_{23212}-g_{1}^{3} g_{2}^{3} l_{6} a_{23212}=0, \\
& -g_{1}^{4} g_{2}^{2} l_{3} a_{23113}-q a_{32211}+r a_{33211}=0, \\
& -l_{2} a_{22212}+l_{3} a_{23212}-g_{1}^{3} g_{2}^{3} l_{3} a_{23212}=0, \\
& -l_{5} a_{22212}+l_{4} a_{23212}-d g_{1}^{3} g_{2}^{3} a_{33112}=0, \\
& -a_{12213}+v a_{13213}-d g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{4} a_{33211}=0, \\
& -r a_{22221}-g_{1}^{3} g_{2}^{3} l_{4} a_{23122}+a_{23221}=0, \\
& l_{1} a_{13222}+g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{3} l_{6} a_{22221}-g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{4} l_{4} a_{23221}=0, \\
& l_{2} a_{13222}+g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{3} l_{3} a_{22221}-u g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{4} a_{33121}=0, \\
& -l_{4} a_{22212}+r a_{23221}-v g_{1}^{3} g_{2}^{3} a_{33112}=0, \\
& -u a_{12213}+l_{3} a_{13222}-v g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{4} a_{33211}=0, \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

To find all the possible generating relations, it suffices to find all the solutions of the system of equations (4.5) for $p, q, r, d, u, v, l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}, l_{4}, l_{5}, l_{6}$ as defined in (4.3). It follows from the middle two equations in (4.5) that if $a_{13123} \neq 0$ then $v=g_{1} g_{2} r$.

Now we start to solve (4.5) in the following four subcases:

- Subcase $a_{13123} \neq 0, l_{1}=0$.
- Subcase $a_{13123}=0, l_{1}=0$.
- Subcase $a_{13123}=0, l_{1} \neq 0$.
- Subcase $a_{13123} \neq 0, l_{1} \neq 0$.

To save the tedious work, we will just list the relations $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ in the form as in (4.4).
4.4. Subcase $a_{13123} \neq 0, l_{1}=0$

In this case, the system of equations (4.5) gives only one solution:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
p=0, & q=0, & r \neq 0, & d=0, & u=0, \\
l_{1}=0, & l_{2} \neq 0, & l_{3} \neq 0, & l_{4}=0, & l_{5}=0,
\end{array}
$$

with $v^{2}+\left(l_{3} / l_{2}\right)^{3}=0$, which gives the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=x^{3} y-c^{3} y x^{3}, \\
& f_{2}=x y x y-c^{2} y x y x, \\
& f_{3}=x y^{3}-c^{3} y^{3} x, \quad c \in k \backslash\{0\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

There are four overlap ambiguities $x y x y^{3}, x^{3} y x y, x^{3} y^{3}$ and $x y x y x y$ if one uses the diamond lemma [Be]. The first three are resolvable. Resolving xyxyxy gives a relation $y x y x^{2} y=x y^{2} x y x$. It follows that

$$
\left(y x y x^{2} y\right) y=\left(x y^{2} x y x\right) y=x y^{2}(x y x y)=c^{2} x y^{3} x y x=c^{5} y^{3} x^{2} y x
$$

Then $y\left(x y x^{2} y^{2}-c^{5} y^{2} x^{2} y x\right)=0$ while $x y x^{2} y^{2}-c^{5} y^{2} x^{2} y x \neq 0$. So the given algebra is not a domain.
4.5. Subcase $a_{13123}=0, l_{1}=0$

In this case, except $a_{23122}$ and $a_{23212}$, all other $a_{c 3 i j k}$ 's and all $a_{c 2 i j k}$ 's are zero by solving (4.5). In particular, all $a_{1 \text { sijk's }}$ and $a_{3 s i j k}$ 's are zero. So, in this case, neither $\eta_{1}$ nor $\eta_{3}$ is contained in $\operatorname{Im} m_{3}$ and $E$ can not be $A_{\infty}$-generated by $E^{0}$ and $E^{1}$. So this case gives no AS-regular algebras.

In fact, if neither $a_{23122}$ nor $a_{23212}$ is zero, then we have $l_{4}=0, l_{5}=l_{6}$ and $l_{2} l_{3}=l_{5}^{2}$. In this case, $f_{2}=l_{2} x y x y+l_{3} y x y x+l_{5} x y^{2} x+l_{5} y x^{2} y=l_{2}^{-1}\left(l_{2} x y+l_{5} y x\right)^{2}$ and this case gives no AS-regular algebras which are domains.
4.6. Subcase $a_{13123}=0, l_{1} \neq 0$

Then $a_{33211}=0$ and we may assume $l_{1}=1$.
If $a_{32211}=0$, then all the $a_{\text {csijk' }}$ 's are zero by (4.5) and no desired algebra arises in this sub-subcase. If $a_{32211} \neq 0$ and $l_{2}=0$, there is one solution

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
p=0, & q=0, & r \neq 0, & d=0, & u=0, \\
l_{1}=1, & l_{2}=0, & l_{3}=0, & l_{4} \neq 0, & l_{5}=0,
\end{array}
$$

with $r^{4}+l_{4}^{3}=0$, which gives the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=x y^{3}+r y^{3} x, \\
& f_{2}=x^{2} y^{2}+l y^{2} x^{2}, \\
& f_{3}=x^{3} y+r y x^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r, l \in k \backslash\{0\}$ such that $r^{4}+l^{3}=0$. The given algebra is not a domain because

$$
y^{2}\left(r^{2} y x^{2}+l x^{2} y\right)=x^{2} y^{3}+\left(-x^{2} y^{3}\right)=0
$$

If $a_{32211} \neq 0$ and $l_{2} \neq 0$, there is one solution

$$
\begin{array}{rrrrr}
p \neq 0, & q=p^{2}, \quad r=p^{3}, \quad d=p, & u=q, \quad v=r ; \\
l_{1}=1, \quad l_{2}=p, \quad l_{3}=p^{3}, \quad l_{4}=p^{4}, & l_{5}=p^{2}, & l_{6}=p^{2}
\end{array}
$$

which gives the relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=x^{3} y+p x^{2} y x+p^{2} x y x^{2}+p^{3} y x^{3} \\
& f_{2}=x^{2} y^{2}+p x y x y+p^{3} y x y x+p^{4} y^{2} x^{2}+p^{2} x y^{2} x+p^{2} y x^{2} y, \\
& f_{3}=x y^{3}+p y x y^{2}+p^{2} y^{2} x y+p^{3} y^{3} x, \quad p \in k \backslash\{0\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the diamond lemma [Be], a monomial is irreducible if and only if it does not contain $x^{3} y, x^{2} y^{2}$ or $x y^{3}$ as a sub-word. Such monomials are of the form

$$
y^{i}\left(x y^{2}\right)^{j_{1}}(x y)^{k_{1}}\left(x^{2} y\right)^{l_{1}} \cdots\left(x y^{2}\right)^{j_{n}}(x y)^{k_{n}}\left(x^{2} y\right)^{l_{n}} x^{m}
$$

where all the power indices are non-negative integers. It follows that the subalgebra generated by $x y^{2}, x y$ and $x^{2} y$ is a free algebra in three variables. So this solution gives an algebra with infinite GK dimension.

In fact, the Hilbert series of the given algebra is $1+2 t+4 t^{2}+8 t^{3}+13 t^{4}+22 t^{5}+36 t^{6}+\cdots$, which is different from the standard Hilbert series $1+2 t+4 t^{2}+8 t^{3}+13 t^{4}+20 t^{5}+31 t^{6}+\cdots$ of the 5 -dimensional AS-regular algebras considered. So, we can also get that the given algebra is not AS-regular.
4.7. Subcase $a_{13123} \neq 0, l_{1} \neq 0$

Without loss of generality we assume that $l_{1}=1$. As we noted before that if $a_{13123} \neq 0$ then $v=g_{1} g_{2} r$. By using the first two equations and the last one in (4.5), we know $l_{4} \neq 0$. It follows also from the first two equations in (4.5) that $p \neq l_{2}$. If further $d=p$, then $a_{22212}=0$ by using the fourth and seventh equations in (4.5). The discussion in this subcase is divided into the following five sub-subcases:

- Sub-subcase $a_{23212}=0$.
- Sub-subcase $a_{23212} \neq 0, d=p, q=0$.
- Sub-subcase $a_{23212} \neq 0, d=p, q \neq 0, l_{2}=0$.
- Sub-subcase $a_{23212} \neq 0, d=p, q l_{2} \neq 0$.
- Sub-subcase $a_{23212} \neq 0, d \neq p$.


### 4.7.1. Sub-subcase $a_{23212}=0$

There is one solution

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
p=0, & q=0, & r \neq 0, & d=0, & u=0, \\
l_{1}=1, & l_{2} \neq 0, & l_{3} \neq 0, & l_{4} \neq 0, & l_{5}=0, \\
l_{6}=0
\end{array}
$$

with $l_{3}=-r^{4} g_{2}^{2} l_{2}, l_{4} g_{1}=r^{3}$ and $l_{4} g_{2}^{2} r^{2}=-1$ where $g_{1} g_{2}=1$. Then $r^{5}=-g_{1}^{3}$. Let $r=t^{3}$ for some $t \in k \backslash\{0\}$. Then $r=v=t^{3}, l_{3}=-t^{2} l_{2}$ and $l_{4}=-t^{4}$. This gives an algebra:

## Algebra A:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=x^{3} y+t^{3} y x^{3}, \\
& f_{2}=x^{2} y^{2}+l_{2} x y x y-t^{2} l_{2} y x y x-t^{4} y^{2} x^{2}, \\
& f_{3}=x y^{3}+t^{3} y^{3} x, \quad t, l_{2} \in k \backslash\{0\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the diamond lemma [Be], we have that $\left\{y^{i}\left(x y^{2}\right)^{j}(x y)^{k}\left(x^{2} y\right)^{l} x^{m} \mid i, j, k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a $k$-linear basis. Algebra $\mathbf{A}$ is indeed an AS-regular algebra and enjoys many good homological properties as proved in Theorems 5.2 and 5.4.
4.7.2. Sub-subcase $a_{23212} \neq 0, d=p, q=0$

There is no solution.
4.7.3. Sub-subcase $a_{23212} \neq 0, d=p, q \neq 0, l_{2}=0$

If $l_{3}=0$, then (4.5) has one solution

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
p \neq 0, & q=p^{2}, & r=p^{2}, & d=p, & u=q, \\
l_{1}=1, & l_{2}=0, & l_{3}=0, & l_{4} \neq 0, & l_{5}=0,
\end{array}
$$

with $l_{4}^{2}=p^{8}$ and $p^{5}=-g_{1}$, which gives two algebras:
Algebra B:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=x^{3} y+p x^{2} y x+p^{2} x y x^{2}+p^{3} y x^{3} \\
& f_{2}=x^{2} y^{2}+p^{4} y^{2} x^{2} \\
& f_{3}=x y^{3}+p y x y^{2}+p^{2} y^{2} x y+p^{3} y^{3} x, \quad p \in k \backslash\{0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Algebra C:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=x^{3} y+p x^{2} y x+p^{2} x y x^{2}+p^{3} y x^{3} \\
& f_{2}=x^{2} y^{2}-p^{4} y^{2} x^{2} \\
& f_{3}=x y^{3}+p y x y^{2}+p^{2} y^{2} x y+p^{3} y^{3} x, \quad p \in k \backslash\{0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the diamond lemma [Be], $\left\{y^{i}\left(x y^{2}\right)^{j}(x y)^{k}\left(x^{2} y\right)^{l} x^{m} \mid i, j, k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a $k$-linear basis of algebra $\mathbf{B}$ and algebra $\mathbf{C}$. The algebra $\mathbf{C}$ has a normal regular element of degree 3 , but the algebra $\mathbf{B}$ does not have any normal element of degree 3. Both algebra B and algebra $\mathbf{C}$ are strongly noetherian, AS-regular, Auslander regular and Cohen-Macaulay (see Theorems 5.2, 5.5 and 5.9).

If $l_{3} \neq 0$, then (4.5) has one solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad p \neq 0, \quad q \neq 0, \quad r=-p\left(2 p^{2}+q\right), \quad d=p, \quad u=q, \quad v=r \\
& l_{1}=1, \quad l_{2}=0, \quad l_{3}=-p\left(p^{2}+q\right), \quad l_{4}=-q^{2}, \quad l_{5}=q-p^{2}, \quad l_{6}=q-p^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p, q \in k \backslash\{0\}$ satisfy $2 p^{4}-p^{2} q+q^{2}=0$, which gives an algebra:
Algebra D:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=x^{3} y+p x^{2} y x+q x y x^{2}-p\left(2 p^{2}+q\right) y x^{3} \\
& f_{2}=x^{2} y^{2}-p\left(p^{2}+q\right) y x y x-q^{2} y^{2} x^{2}+\left(q-p^{2}\right) x y^{2} x+\left(q-p^{2}\right) y x^{2} y \\
& f_{3}=x y^{3}+p y x y^{2}+q y^{2} x y-p\left(2 p^{2}+q\right) y^{3} x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p, q \in k \backslash\{0\}$ satisfy $2 p^{4}-p^{2} q+q^{2}=0$.
By the diamond lemma [Be], $\left\{y^{i}\left(x y^{2}\right)^{j}(x y)^{k}\left(x^{2} y\right)^{l} x^{m} \mid i, j, k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a $k$-linear basis of $\mathbf{D}$, and $\mathbf{D}$ does not have any normal element of degree 3. Algebra $\mathbf{D}$ is an iterated Ore extension of a polynomial ring, so it is strongly noetherian, AS-regular, Auslander regular and Cohen-Macaulay (see Theorem 5.8).
4.7.4. Sub-subcase $a_{23212} \neq 0, d=p, q l_{2} \neq 0$

By the first, second and fifth equations in (4.5), $q-l_{6}=p\left(p-l_{2}\right)$. By the seventh, eighteenth and twenty-second equations in (4.5), $u-l_{5}=d\left(d-l_{2}\right)$. It follows from the seventh and eighteenth equations in (4.5) that

$$
a_{13123}+g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{4}\left(d-l_{2}\right) a_{23221}=0
$$

Since $a_{13123} \neq 0, d \neq l_{2}$. By the fourth and seventh equations in (4.5) and $d=p,\left(p-l_{2}\right) a_{22212}=0$. So $a_{22212}=0$. Then it is easy to see that $a_{23122} \neq 0, g_{1} g_{2}=1$ and $l_{5}=l_{6}$. Hence $v=r$ and $u=q$.

If $l_{5}=l_{6}=0$, then (4.5) has one solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p \neq 0, \quad q=p\left(p-l_{2}\right), \quad r=\left(p-l_{2}\right)^{3}, \quad d=p, \quad u=q, \quad v=r ; \\
& l_{1}=1, \quad l_{2} \neq 0, \quad l_{3}=-l_{2}\left(p-l_{2}\right)^{2}, \quad l_{4}=-\left(p-l_{2}\right)^{4}, \quad l_{5}=0, \quad l_{6}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\left(p-l_{2}\right)^{5}=-g_{1}$, which gives an algebra:
Algebra $\mathbf{E}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=x^{3} y+p x^{2} y x+p t x y x^{2}+t^{3} y x^{3}, \\
& f_{2}=x^{2} y^{2}+(p-t) x y x y+t^{2}(t-p) y x y x-t^{4} y^{2} x^{2}, \\
& f_{3}=x y^{3}+p y x y^{2}+p t y^{2} x y+t^{3} y^{3} x, \quad p, t \in k \backslash\{0\}, p \neq t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Algebra $\mathbf{E}$ is a normal extension of a 4-dimensional AS-regular algebra (see Theorem 5.9), so $\mathbf{E}$ is AS-regular of dimension 5, strongly noetherian, Auslander regular and Cohen-Macaulay.

If $l_{5}=l_{6} \neq 0$, and $q=p^{2}$ (which is equivalent to that $l_{5}=p l_{2}$ or $a_{22221}=0$ ), then (4.5) has one solution:

$$
\begin{gathered}
p \neq 0, \quad q=p^{2}, \quad r=p^{3}, \quad d=p, \quad u=q, \quad v=r ; \\
l_{1}=1, \quad l_{2} \neq 0, \quad l_{3}=p^{2} l_{2}, \quad l_{4}=p^{4}, \quad l_{5}=p l_{2}, \quad l_{6}=p l_{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

which gives an algebra:
Algebra $\mathbf{F}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=x^{3} y+p x^{2} y x+p^{2} x y x^{2}+p^{3} y x^{3}, \\
& f_{2}=x^{2} y^{2}+l_{2} x y x y+l_{2} p^{2} y x y x+p^{4} y^{2} x^{2}+l_{2} p x y^{2} x+l_{2} p y x^{2} y, \\
& f_{3}=x y^{3}+p y x y^{2}+p^{2} y^{2} x y+p^{3} y^{3} x, \quad p, l_{2} \in k \backslash\{0\}, p \neq l_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the diamond lemma [Be], $\left\{y^{i}\left(x y^{2}\right)^{j}(x y)^{k}\left(x^{2} y\right)^{l} x^{m} \mid i, j, k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a $k$-linear basis of $\mathbf{F}$. Algebra $\mathbf{F}$ is strongly noetherian, AS-regular, Auslander regular and Cohen-Macaulay (see Theorem 5.5).

If $l_{5}=l_{6} \neq 0, q \neq p^{2}$, then the solution gives the following:
Algebra G:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=x^{3} y+p x^{2} y x+q x y x^{2}+r y x^{3} \\
& f_{2}=x^{2} y^{2}+l_{2} x y x y+l_{3} y x y x+l_{4} y^{2} x^{2}+l_{5} x y^{2} x+l_{5} y x^{2} y \\
& f_{3}=x y^{3}+p y x y^{2}+q y^{2} x y+r y^{3} x
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
p=-\frac{r^{5}+q r g^{2}+g^{3}}{r^{3} g}, \quad l_{2}=\frac{r^{2}(g-q r)}{g(g+q r)}, \quad l_{3}=r-\frac{p g\left(p r-q^{2}\right)}{q(q r+g)}, \\
l_{4}=-\frac{g^{2}}{r^{2}}, \quad l_{5}=\frac{p r^{2}+q g}{q r+g},
\end{gathered}
$$

$g \neq 0, q$ satisfies the equation $q^{3} r^{8} g^{3}+\left(r^{5}+q r g^{2}+g^{3}\right)^{3}=0, q^{2} r^{2} \neq g^{2}, r^{5}+q r g^{2}+g^{3}+q^{2} r^{2} g \neq 0$ and $r^{5}+g^{3} \neq 0$.

Algebra $\mathbf{G}$ is an iterated Ore extension of a polynomial ring, so it is strongly noetherian, AS-regular, Auslander regular and Cohen-Macaulay (see Theorem 5.8).
4.7.5. Sub-subcase $a_{23212} \neq 0, d \neq p$

If $l_{2}=0$, then it follows from $d \neq p$ that $a_{22212} \neq 0$ and $l_{3}=0$. Since $a_{23212} \neq 0$, both $l_{5}$ and $l_{6}$ are not zero.

Suppose $l_{2}=0$ and $l_{5} \neq 0$, then $l_{6}=0$ and (4.5) has one solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad p \neq 0, \quad q=p^{2}, \quad r=p^{3}, \quad d=i p, \quad u=-i q, \quad v=r \\
& l_{1}=1, \quad l_{2}=0, \quad l_{3}=0, \quad l_{4}=-i p^{4}, \quad l_{5}=p^{2}(1-i), \quad l_{6}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p \in k \backslash\{0\}$ and $i \in k$ satisfies $i^{2}+1=0$ which gives an algebra:
Algebra $\mathbf{H}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=x^{3} y+p x^{2} y x+p^{2} x y x^{2}+p^{3} y x^{3}, \\
& f_{2}=x^{2} y^{2}-i p^{4} y^{2} x^{2}+p^{2}(1-i) x y^{2} x, \\
& f_{3}=x y^{3}+i p y x y^{2}-i p^{2} y^{2} x y+p^{3} y^{3} x, \quad p \in k \backslash\{0\}, i^{2}+1=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Algebra $\mathbf{H}$ is a normal extension of a 4-dimensional AS-regular algebra (see Theorem 5.9), so $\mathbf{H}$ is AS-regular of dimension 5, strongly noetherian, Auslander regular and Cohen-Macaulay.

Suppose $l_{2}=0$ and $l_{5}=0$, then $l_{6} \neq 0$ and (4.5) has one solution

$$
\begin{gathered}
p=d i, \quad q=-i u, \quad r=v, \quad d \neq 0, \quad u=d^{2}, \quad v=d^{3} \\
l_{1}=1, \quad l_{2}=0, \quad l_{3}=0, \quad l_{4}=-d^{4} i, \quad l_{5}=0, \quad l_{6}=d^{2}(1-i)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $d \in k \backslash\{0\}$ and $i \in k$ satisfies $i^{2}+1=0$ which gives an algebra:
Algebra $\mathbf{H}^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=x^{3} y+d i x^{2} y x-d^{2} i x y x^{2}+d^{3} y x^{3}, \\
& f_{2}=x^{2} y^{2}-d^{4} i y^{2} x^{2}+d^{2}(1-i) y x^{2} y, \\
& f_{3}=x y^{3}+d y x y^{2}+d^{2} y^{2} x y+d^{3} y^{3} x, \quad d \in k \backslash\{0\}, i^{2}+1=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

After changing $x$ and $y$, algebra $\mathbf{H}^{\prime}$ is in fact isomorphic to algebra $\mathbf{H}$ with $p=d^{-1}$.
Suppose $l_{2} \neq 0$. If neither $l_{5}$ nor $l_{6}$ is zero, then (4.5) has no solution.
If $l_{2} \neq 0$ and $l_{6}=0$, then $l_{5} \neq 0$ and (4.5) has one solution:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
p=-c\left(1+g^{3}\right), & q=-c^{2} g^{2}\left(1+g^{2}\right), & r=c^{3} g^{2} \\
d=-c g^{3}(1+g), & u=-c^{2} g^{4}(1+g), & v=c^{3} g^{3}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
l_{1}=1, \quad l_{2}=c g(1+g), \quad l_{3}=-c^{3} g(1+g), \\
l_{4}=-c^{4} g^{3}, \quad l_{5}=-c^{2}\left(1-g^{3}\right), \quad l_{6}=0,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $c \in k \backslash\{0\}$ and $g=g_{1} g_{2}$, which gives an algebra:
Algebra I:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=x^{3} y-c\left(1+g^{3}\right) x^{2} y x-c^{2} g^{2}\left(1+g^{2}\right) x y x^{2}+c^{3} g^{2} y x^{3}, \\
& f_{2}=x^{2} y^{2}+c g(1+g) x y x y-c^{3} g(1+g) y x y x-c^{4} g^{3} y^{2} x^{2}-c^{2}\left(1-g^{3}\right) x y^{2} x, \\
& f_{3}=x y^{3}-c g^{3}(1+g) y x y^{2}-c^{2} g^{4}(1+g) y^{2} x y+c^{3} g^{3} y^{3} x,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c \in k \backslash\{0\}$ and $g \in k$ satisfies the equation $1+g+g^{2}+g^{3}+g^{4}=0$.
Algebra I is a normal extension of a 4-dimensional AS-regular algebra (see Theorem 5.9), so I is AS-regular of dimension 5, strongly noetherian, Auslander regular and Cohen-Macaulay.

If $l_{2} \neq 0$ and $l_{5}=0$, then $l_{6} \neq 0$ and (4.5) has one solution:

$$
\begin{gathered}
p=-c(1+g), \quad q=-c^{2} g^{3}(1+g), \quad r=c^{3} g^{4}, \\
d=-c g\left(1+g^{3}\right), \quad u=-c^{2} g\left(1+g^{3}\right), \quad v=c^{3} ; \\
l_{1}=1, \quad l_{2}=c g^{2}(1+g), \quad l_{3}=-c^{3}(1+g), \\
l_{4}=-c^{4} g^{3}, \quad l_{5}=0, \quad l_{6}=c^{2}\left(1-g^{3}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $c \in k \backslash\{0\}$ and $g=g_{1} g_{2}$, which gives an algebra:
Algebra $\mathbf{I}^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=x^{3} y-c(1+g) x^{2} y x-c^{2} g^{3}(1+g) x y x^{2}+c^{3} g^{4} y x^{3} \\
& f_{2}=x^{2} y^{2}+c g^{2}(1+g) x y x y-c^{3}(1+g) y x y x-c^{4} g^{3} y^{2} x^{2}+c^{2}\left(1-g^{3}\right) y x^{2} y \\
& f_{3}=x y^{3}-c g\left(1+g^{3}\right) y x y^{2}-c^{2} g\left(1+g^{3}\right) y^{2} x y+c^{3} y^{3} x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c \in k \backslash\{0\}$ and $g \in k$ satisfies the equation $1+g+g^{2}+g^{3}+g^{4}=0$.
Algebra $\mathbf{I}^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to algebra $\mathbf{I}$ by exchanging $x$ and $y$.

## 5. Proof of the AS-regularity and other properties

In this section, we study homological properties of the algebras given in the previous section.

### 5.1. Algebras A, B and $\mathbf{F}$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the quotient algebra $k\langle x, y\rangle /\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$, where the generating relations $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=x^{3} y+p x^{2} y x+q x y x^{2}+r y x^{3}, \\
& f_{2}=x^{2} y^{2}+l_{2} x y x y+l_{3} y x y x+l_{4} y^{2} x^{2}+l_{5} x y^{2} x+l_{5} y x^{2} y, \\
& f_{3}=x y^{3}+p y x y^{2}+q y^{2} x y+r y^{3} x,
\end{aligned}
$$

with the parameters $p, q, r, l_{2}, l_{3}, l_{4}, l_{5} \in k, p \neq l_{2}$ and $r \neq 0$.
The algebras A, B and $\mathbf{F}$ are of this type, and $\left\{y^{i}\left(x y^{2}\right)^{j}(x y)^{k}\left(x^{2} y\right)^{l} x^{m} \mid i, j, k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a $k$-linear basis for each of them, as we have already seen by using the diamond lemma.

Lemma 5.1. Suppose that $\left\{y^{i}\left(x y^{2}\right)^{j}(x y)^{k}\left(x^{2} y\right)^{l} x^{m} \mid i, j, k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a $k$-linear basis of $\mathcal{A}$, and that there is a complex of right $\mathcal{A}$-modules of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(-10) \xrightarrow{d_{5}} \mathcal{A}(-9)^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{d_{4}} \mathcal{A}(-6)^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{d_{3}} \mathcal{A}(-4)^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{d_{2}} \mathcal{A}(-1)^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{d_{1}} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} k_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is the augmented map and each $d_{i}$ is the left multiplication of a matrix given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{1} & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & y
\end{array}\right), \\
d_{2} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x^{2} y+p x y x+q y x^{2} & x y^{2}+l_{2} y x y+l_{5} y^{2} x & y^{3} \\
r x^{3} & l_{3} x y x+l_{4} y x^{2}+l_{5} x^{2} y & p x y^{2}+q y x y+r y^{2} x
\end{array}\right) \\
d_{3} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & D y^{2} & H x y+K y x \\
A y^{2} & E x y+F y x & L x^{2} \\
B x y+C y x & G x^{2} & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
d_{4} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p x^{2} y+q x y x+r y x^{2} & x^{3} \\
l_{3} y x y+l_{4} y^{2} x+l_{5} x y^{2} & x^{2} y+l_{2} x y x+l_{5} y x^{2} \\
r y^{3} & x y^{2}+p y x y+q y^{2} x
\end{array}\right) \\
d_{5} & =\binom{x}{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, K, L \in k$ such that $A D G L \neq 0$ and $K \neq p H$. Then the complex (5.1) is exact and $\mathcal{A}$ is an $A S$-regular algebra of dimension 5.

Proof. Since $\left\{y^{i}\left(x y^{2}\right)^{j}(x y)^{k}\left(x^{2} y\right)^{l} x^{m} \mid i, j, k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a $k$-linear basis of $\mathcal{A}$, the Hilbert series of $\mathcal{A}$ is $(1-t)^{-2}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(1-t^{3}\right)^{-2}$ and GK-dim $\mathcal{A}=5$. Since $y$ is not a left zero-divisor, the complex (5.1) is exact at $\mathcal{A}(-10)$.

The composition $d_{1} \circ d_{2}$ is exactly the generating relations of $\mathcal{A}$. The complex (5.1) is exact at $\mathcal{A}(-1), \mathcal{A}$ and $k$ by [AS, (1.4)].

To show (5.1) is exact, it suffices to check the exactness at $\mathcal{A}(-9)^{\oplus 2}$ and $\mathcal{A}(-6)^{\oplus 3}$ by using the Hilbert series.

Suppose that $(f, g)^{T} \in \operatorname{Ker} d_{4}$. Writing $g$ in the standard form, by modulo Im $d_{5}$ we may assume that no monomial appearing in $g$ starts with $y$. Since $r y^{3} f+x y^{2} g+p y x y g+q y^{2} x g=0$, then $\left(x y^{2}\right) g=$ $-y\left(r y^{2} f+p x y g+q y x g\right)$. It follows that $g=0$. Hence $f=0\left(\bmod \operatorname{Im} d_{5}\right)$, and $\operatorname{Ker} d_{4}=\operatorname{Im} d_{5}$, that is, (5.1) is exact at $\mathcal{A}(-9)^{\oplus 2}$.

Notice that $H \neq 0$. In fact, if $H=0$, then $D y^{2}\left(x^{2} y+l_{2} x y x+l_{5} y x^{2}\right)+K y x\left(x y^{2}+p y x y+q y^{2} x\right)=0$, i.e., $\left(D-K l_{5}\right) y^{2}\left(x^{2} y\right)+\left(D l_{2}-K l_{3}\right) y^{2}(x y) x+\left(D l_{5}-K l_{4}\right) y^{3} x^{2}+K\left(p-l_{2}\right) y(x y)^{2}+K\left(q-l_{5}\right) y\left(x y^{2}\right) x=0$. It follows from $p-l_{2} \neq 0$ that $K=0$. Then $D y^{2}\left(x^{2} y+l_{2} x y x+l_{5} y x^{2}\right)=0$. This contradicts $D \neq 0$.

Suppose that $(f, g, h)^{T} \in \operatorname{Ker} d_{3}$. Writing $h$ in the standard form, by modulo Im $d_{4}$ we may assume that no monomial appearing in $h$ starts with $x y^{2}$ or $y^{3}$. Then $h=y h_{1}+y^{2} h_{2}+(x y)^{l} h_{3}(l \geqslant 0)$, with no monomial appearing in $h_{1}$ or $h_{2}$ starts with $y$, and no monomial appearing in $h_{3}$ starts with $y$ or $x y^{2}$. Since $D y^{2} g+(H x y+K y x) h=0, H x y^{2} h_{1}+H(x y)^{l+1} h_{3}=y z$ for some $z \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows that $h_{1}=h_{3}=0$. So $h=y^{2} h_{2}$. Then

$$
0=D y^{2} g+(H x y+K y x) h=D y^{2} g+H x y^{3} h_{2}+K y x y^{2} h_{2}
$$

which implies

$$
D y^{2} g+(K-p H) y x y^{2} h_{2}=H q y^{2} x y h_{2}+H r y^{3} x h_{2}
$$

Writing the terms in the above equation in the standard form, it follows from $K-p H \neq 0$ that $h_{2}=0$. So $h=0$. It follows from $D y^{2} g=0$ and $D \neq 0$ that $g=0$. Then $A y^{2} f=0$, which implies that $f=0$ as $A \neq 0$. So $(f, g, h)^{T} \in \operatorname{Im} d_{4}$ and $\operatorname{Ker} d_{3}=\operatorname{Im} d_{4}$, i.e., (5.1) is exact at $\mathcal{A}(-6)^{\oplus 3}$.

So the complex (5.1) is a minimal projective resolution of the trivial module $k$.
Applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, \mathcal{A})$ to this projective resolution, we get a complex of left $\mathcal{A}$-modules

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{d_{1}^{*}} \mathcal{A}(1)^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{d_{2}^{*}} \mathcal{A}(4)^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{d_{3}^{*}} \mathcal{A}(6)^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{d_{4}^{*}} \mathcal{A}(9)^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{d_{5}^{*}} \mathcal{A}(10) \rightarrow 0, \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $d_{i}^{*}$ is given by the right multiplication of the corresponding matrix. The complex (5.2) is exact at $\mathcal{A}$ since $x$ is not a right zero-divisor. It is also exact at $\mathcal{A}(9)^{\oplus 2}$ again by [AS, (1.4)] and the dimension of the homology group at $\mathcal{A}(10)$ is 1 . Similarly, to show the exactness of (5.2) at all other positions, it suffices to check the exactness of (5.2) at $\mathcal{A}(1)^{\oplus 2}$ and $\mathcal{A}(4)^{\oplus 3}$.

Suppose $(f, g) \in \operatorname{Ker} d_{2}^{*}$. By modulo $\operatorname{Im} d_{1}^{*}$ we may assume that no monomial appearing in $f$ ends with $x$. Since $f\left(x^{2} y+p x y x+q y x^{2}\right)+r g x^{3}=0$, which implies that the monomials in $f x^{2} y$ would end with $x$, then $f=0$. Since $r \neq 0, g=0$. So $\operatorname{Ker} d_{2}^{*}=\operatorname{Im} d_{1}^{*}$, i.e., (5.2) is exact at $\mathcal{A}(1)^{\oplus 2}$.

Suppose ( $f, g, h$ ) $\in \operatorname{Ker} d_{3}^{*}$. By modulo $\operatorname{Im} d_{2}^{*}$ we may assume that no monomial appearing in $f$ ends with $x^{2} y$ or $x^{3}$. Writing $f$ as $f=f_{1} x+f_{2} x^{2}+f_{3}(x y)^{s}(s \geqslant 0)$ with that no monomial appearing in $f_{1}$ or $f_{2}$ ends with $x$, and no monomial appearing in $f_{3}$ ends with $x$ or $x^{2} y$. Since $f(H x y+K y x)+\operatorname{Lg} x^{2}=$ $\left(f_{1} x+f_{2} x^{2}+f_{3}(x y)^{s}\right)(H x y+K y x)+L g x^{2}=0$,

$$
H f_{1} x^{2} y+H f_{3}(x y)^{s+1}=H f_{2}\left(p x^{2} y x+q x y x^{2}+r y x^{3}\right)-K\left(f_{1} x+f_{2} x^{2}+f_{3}(x y)^{s}\right) y x-L g x^{2} .
$$

Writing the right-hand side in standard form, it follows from $H \neq 0$ that $f_{1}=f_{3}=0$. So $f=f_{2} x^{2}$ and

$$
0=f_{2} x^{2}(H x y+K y x)+L g x^{2}=(K-p H) f_{2} x^{2} y x-H f_{2}\left(q x y x^{2}+r y x^{3}\right)+L g x^{2} .
$$

Since $K-p H \neq 0, f_{2}=0$. So $f=0$. Then $L g x^{2}=0$, which implies $g=0$ as $L \neq 0$. It follows from $G h x^{2}=0$ that $h=0$ as $G \neq 0$. Hence $\operatorname{Ker} d_{3}^{*}=\operatorname{Im} d_{2}^{*}$, i.e. (5.2) is exact at $\mathcal{A}(4)^{\oplus 3}$.

Therefore $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the Gorenstein condition with $\operatorname{gldim} \mathcal{A}=\operatorname{GK}-\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}=5$, i.e., $\mathcal{A}$ is a 5-dimensional AS-regular algebra.

Now we can prove the regularity for the algebras $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{F}$.

## Theorem 5.2. Algebras A, B and $\mathbf{F}$ are all AS-regular.

Proof. It suffices to list the suitable parameters satisfying the conditions of Lemma 5.1.
For algebra A, take

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=-t^{6}, \quad B=-t^{9}, \quad C=-t^{9} l_{2}, \quad D=1, \quad E=F=0, \\
G=-t^{6}, \quad H=-l_{2} t^{-4}, \quad K=-t^{-2}, \quad L=1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

For algebra B, take

$$
\begin{array}{lllr}
A=p^{6}, & B=0, & C=-p^{10}, & D=1, \\
F=p^{3}, & G=p^{6}, & H=-p^{2}, \\
& K=0, & L=1 .
\end{array}
$$

For algebra $\mathbf{F}$, take

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A=p^{6}, & B=l_{2} p^{8}, \quad C=\left(l_{2}-p\right) p^{9}, \quad D=1, \\
E=p^{2}, & F=p^{3}, \quad G=p^{6}, \quad H=\left(l_{2}-p\right) p^{-4}
\end{array}
$$

and $K=l_{2} p^{-3}, L=1$.

To prove other homological properties, let $A(l, t)=\mathbf{A}=k\langle x, y\rangle /\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=x^{3} y+t^{3} y x^{3}, \\
& f_{2}=x^{2} y^{2}+l x y x y-t^{2} l y x y x-t^{4} y^{2} x^{2}, \\
& f_{3}=x y^{3}+t^{3} y^{3} x, \quad t, l \in k \text { and } t l \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 5.3. The algebra $A(l, t)$ is graded twist-equivalent [Zh1] to $A\left(l^{2} / t^{2}, l / t\right)$.
Proof. Let $\sigma: A(l, t) \rightarrow A(l, t), \sigma(x)=t^{2} x, \sigma(y)=l y$. Then $A^{\sigma} \cong A\left(l^{2} / t^{2}, l / t\right)$.
Theorem 5.4. Algebra A is strongly noetherian, Auslander regular and Cohen-Macaulay.
Proof. It suffices to prove the properties for $A\left(t^{2}, t\right)$ for some $t \neq 0$ by [Zh1, Theorem 1.3] under the condition that $A\left(t^{2}, t\right)$ is noetherian. Now

$$
A\left(t^{2}, t\right)=k\langle x, y\rangle /\left(x^{3} y+t^{3} y x^{3}, x^{2} y^{2}+t^{2} x y x y-t^{4} y x y x-t^{4} y^{2} x^{2}, x y^{3}+t^{3} y^{3} x\right)
$$

Note that $\left\{x^{3}, y^{3}, x^{2} y^{2}-t^{4} y x y x\right\}$ is a sequence of normal regular elements of $A\left(t^{2}, t\right)$. By [ASZ, Proposition 4.9] and [Le, Theorem 5.10] it is enough to show that $A\left(t^{2}, t\right) /\left(x^{3}, y^{3}, x^{2} y^{2}-t^{4} y x y x\right)$ is strongly noetherian, Auslander-Gorenstein and Cohen-Macaulay.

Let $A_{1}=A\left(t^{2}, t\right) /\left(x^{3}, y^{3}, x^{2} y^{2}-t^{4} y x y x\right) \cong k\langle x, y\rangle /\left(x^{3}, y^{3}, x^{2} y^{2}-t^{4} y x y x, y^{2} x^{2}-t^{-2} x y x y\right)$.
Now twisting $A_{1}$ by the graded automorphism

$$
\sigma: A_{1} \rightarrow A_{1}, \quad \sigma(x)=x, \quad \sigma(y)=t^{-1} y
$$

we get a new algebra

$$
A_{2}=\left(A_{1}\right)^{\sigma}=k\langle x, y\rangle /\left(x^{3}, y^{3}, x^{2} y^{2}-t y x y x, x y x y-t^{-1} y^{2} x^{2}\right) .
$$

By [Zh1, Theorem 1.3] it suffices to show that $A_{2}$ is strongly noetherian, Auslander-Gorenstein and Cohen-Macaulay.

Let

$$
\Omega_{1}=x y^{2} x y x+y x y x^{2} y+t y^{2} x y x^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \Omega_{2}=x y^{2} x^{2} y+t^{-1} y x y^{2} x^{2}+t^{-1} y^{2} x^{2} y x .
$$

Then $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ are normal elements of $A_{2}$ such that $\Omega_{1} \Omega_{2}=\Omega_{2} \Omega_{1}=0$.
Let $A_{3}=A_{2} /\left(\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}\right)$, then

$$
A_{3} \cong k\langle x, y\rangle /\left(x^{3}, y^{3}, \Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}, x^{2} y^{2}-t y x y x, x y x y-t^{-1} y^{2} x^{2}\right) .
$$

Similarly, we can find two normal elements

$$
\omega_{1}=\left(x y^{2}\right)^{3}+y\left(x y^{2}\right)^{2} x y+y^{2}\left(x y^{2}\right)^{2} x \text { and } \omega_{2}=\left(x^{2} y\right)^{3}+x y\left(x^{2} y\right)^{2} x+y\left(x^{2} y\right)^{2} x^{2}
$$

of $A_{3}$ such that $\omega_{1} \omega_{2}=\omega_{2} \omega_{1}=0$.
Let $A_{4}=A_{3} /\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$. Then

$$
A_{4} \cong k\langle x, y\rangle /\left(x^{3}, y^{3}, \Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, x^{2} y^{2}-t y x y x, x y x y-t^{-1} y^{2} x^{2}\right)
$$

is a finite-dimensional algebra. So $A_{4}$ is strongly noetherian. It follows that $A_{2}$ is also strongly noetherian by [ASZ, Proposition 4.9].

Since $\left\{\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right\}$ is a sequence of normal elements of $A_{2}, A_{2}$ has enough normal elements. So it is Auslander-Gorenstein and Cohen-Macaulay by [Zh, Theorem 1] which ends the proof.

Theorem 5.5. The algebras $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{F}$ are strongly noetherian, Auslander regular and Cohen-Macaulay.
Proof. If we set $l_{2}=0$ in algebra $\mathbf{F}$, then $\mathbf{F}$ reduces to $\mathbf{B}$. By [Zh1, Theorem 1.3], it suffices to prove the conclusion for the twisted algebra $\mathbf{F}^{\sigma}$ where $\sigma$ is the automorphism defined by $\sigma(x)=x$ and $\sigma(y)=p^{-1} y$. Or equivalently, we may assume $p=1$ in $\mathbf{F}$. Then $x^{4}, y^{4}, \Omega_{1}=\left(x^{2} y-y x^{2}\right)^{2}, \Omega_{2}=$ $\left(x y^{2}-y^{2} x\right)^{2}$ and $\Omega_{3}=(x y+y x)^{4}$ are central regular elements of $\mathbf{F}$.

Let $F^{\prime}=\mathbf{F} /\left(x^{4}, y^{4}, \Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}, \Omega_{3}\right)$ be the quotient algebra. Then $F^{\prime}$ is a finite-dimensional algebra with a basis $\left\{y^{i}\left(x y^{2}\right)^{j}(x y)^{k}\left(x^{2} y\right)^{l} x^{m} \mid 0 \leqslant i, k, m \leqslant 3,0 \leqslant j, l \leqslant 1\right\}$. Since $F^{\prime}$ is strongly noetherian, Cohen-Macaulay and has an Auslander dualizing complex, by [YZ, Theorem 5.1] $\mathbf{F}$ is strongly noetherian, Auslander regular and Cohen-Macaulay.

### 5.2. Algebras $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{G}$

Recall that a ring $B$ is an Ore extension $A[z ; \sigma, \delta]$ of a ring $A$, for some endomorphism $\sigma$ of $A$ and $\sigma$-derivation $\delta$, if and only if that $B=\bigoplus_{i \geqslant 0} A z^{i}$ as a free $A$-module with $z A \subseteq A z+A$ [GW,MR]. Graded version of Ore extensions is defined accordingly. We show in this subsection that the algebras $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{G}$ are given by iterated Ore extensions.

Let $A$ be the graded polynomial ring $k[y]$ over $k$ with $\operatorname{deg} y=1$. We proceed to construct an algebra $A_{4}$ from $A$ by an iterated Ore extension in the following four steps.

Step 1: Let $z_{1}$ be a new variable of degree 3 and $A_{1}=A\left[z_{1} ; \sigma_{1}\right]$ be the graded Ore extension of $A$, where $\sigma_{1}$ is the automorphism of $A$ given by

$$
\sigma_{1}(y)=a y
$$

for a fixed $0 \neq a \in k$.
Step 2: Let $z_{2}$ be a new variable of degree 2 and let $0 \neq b \in k$ and

$$
A_{2}=k\left\langle y, z_{1}, z_{2}\right\rangle /\left(z_{1} y=a y z_{1}, z_{2} y=b y z_{2}+z_{1}, z_{2} z_{1}=a z_{1} z_{2}\right) .
$$

It follows from the diamond lemma [Be] that $A_{2}=\bigoplus_{i \geqslant 0} A_{1} z_{2}^{i}$ as a free $A_{1}$-module. Obviously, $z_{2} A_{1} \subseteq A_{1} z_{2}+A_{1}$. So $A_{2}=A_{1}\left[z_{2} ; \sigma_{2}, \delta_{2}\right]$ is a graded Ore extension of $A_{1}$, with $\sigma_{2}$ and $\delta_{2}$ defined by

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{2}(y)=b y, & \sigma_{2}\left(z_{1}\right)=a z_{1} \\
\delta_{2}(y)=z_{1}, & \delta_{2}\left(z_{1}\right)=0 .
\end{array}
$$

Step 3: Let $z_{3}$ be a new variable of degree 3 and let

$$
A_{3}=k\left\langle y, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right\rangle /\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z_{1} y=a y z_{1}, & z_{2} y=b y z_{2}+z_{1}, \\
z_{2} z_{1}=a z_{1} z_{2}, & z_{3} z_{1}=b_{2}=a z_{2} z_{3}, \\
z_{3} y=z_{3} a^{-1} y z_{3}+z_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Again by the diamond lemma, $A_{3}=\bigoplus_{i \geqslant 0} A_{2} z_{3}^{i}$ as a free $A_{2}$-module. It follows from $z_{3} A_{2} \subseteq$ $A_{2} z_{3}+A_{2}$ that $A_{3}=A_{2}\left[z_{3} ; \sigma_{3}, \delta_{3}\right]$ is a graded Ore extension of $A_{2}$, with $\sigma_{3}$ and $\delta_{3}$ defined by

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{3}(y)=b^{3} a^{-1} y, \quad \sigma_{3}\left(z_{1}\right)=b^{3} z_{1}, & \sigma_{3}\left(z_{2}\right)=a z_{2} \\
\delta_{3}(y)=z_{2}^{2}, & \delta_{3}\left(z_{1}\right)=(a-b) z_{2}^{3}, \\
\delta_{3}\left(z_{2}\right)=0 .
\end{array}
$$

Step 4: Let $x$ be a new variable of degree 1 . Suppose that $a \neq-b$. Let

$$
A_{4}=k\left\langle y, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, x\right\rangle /\left(\begin{array}{lll}
z_{1} y=a y z_{1}, & z_{2} z_{1}=a z_{1} z_{2}, & z_{3} z_{2}=a z_{2} z_{3}, \\
z_{2} y=b y z_{2}+z_{1}, & z_{3} z_{1}=b^{3} z_{1} z_{3}+(a-b) z_{2}^{3}, & x z_{3}=a z_{3} x, \\
z_{3} y=b^{3} a^{-1} y z_{3}+z_{2}^{2}, & x z_{1}=b^{3} a^{-1} z_{1} x+\left(a^{3}-b^{3}\right)\left(a^{2}+a b\right)^{-1} z_{2}^{2}, \\
x y=b^{2} a^{-1} y x+z_{2}, & x z_{2}=b z_{2} x+\left(a^{3}-b^{3}\right)\left(a^{2}+a b\right)^{-1} z_{3}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Similarly, $A_{4}=\bigoplus_{i \geqslant 0} A_{3} x^{i}$ as a free $A_{3}$-module and $x A_{3} \subseteq A_{3} x+A_{3}$ which implies that $A_{4}=$ $A_{3}\left[x ; \sigma_{4}, \delta_{4}\right]$ is a graded Ore extension of $A_{3}$, with $\sigma_{4}$ and $\delta_{4}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{4}(y)=b^{2} a^{-1} y, \quad \sigma_{4}\left(z_{1}\right)=b^{3} a^{-1} z_{1}, \quad \sigma_{4}\left(z_{2}\right)=b z_{2}, \quad \sigma_{4}\left(z_{3}\right)=a z_{3} \\
& \delta_{4}(y)=z_{2}, \quad \delta_{4}\left(z_{1}\right)=\frac{a^{3}-b^{3}}{a(a+b)} z_{2}^{2}, \quad \delta_{4}\left(z_{2}\right)=\frac{a^{3}-b^{3}}{a(a+b)} z_{3}, \quad \delta_{4}\left(z_{3}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 5.6. Given $a, b \in k$ such that $a b(a+b) \neq 0$. Then the algebra $A_{4}$ is an AS-regular algebra of dimension 5 with Hilbert series $(1-t)^{-2}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(1-t^{3}\right)^{-2}$.

Proof. By [ZZ2, Lemma 5.3], $A_{4}$ is 5 -dimensional AS-regular. By the definition of graded Ore extensions, $A_{4}$ is a free left $A$-module, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{A_{4}}(t) & =H_{A}(t) \cdot \frac{1}{\left(1-t^{\operatorname{deg} z_{1}}\right)\left(1-t^{\operatorname{deg} z_{2}}\right)\left(1-t^{\operatorname{deg} z_{3}}\right)\left(1-t^{\operatorname{deg} x}\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{(1-t)^{2}\left(1-t^{2}\right)\left(1-t^{3}\right)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, let $\mathcal{A}(a, b)=k\langle x, y\rangle /\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$, with the generating relations $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=x^{3} y+p x^{2} y x+q x y x^{2}+r y x^{3} \\
& f_{2}=x^{2} y^{2}+l_{2} x y x y+l_{3} y x y x+l_{4} y^{2} x^{2}+l_{5} x y^{2} x+l_{5} y x^{2} y \\
& f_{3}=x y^{3}+p y x y^{2}+q y^{2} x y+r y^{3} x
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
p=-\frac{a b+b^{2}+a^{2}}{a}, \quad q=\frac{b\left(a b+b^{2}+a^{2}\right)}{a}, \quad r=-b^{3}, \\
l_{2}=-\frac{a^{2}+a b+2 b^{2}}{a+b}, \quad l_{3}=\frac{b^{5}\left(2 a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\right)}{a^{3}(a+b)}, \quad l_{4}=-\frac{b^{6}}{a^{2}}, \quad l_{5}=\frac{b^{2}\left(a^{3}-b^{3}\right)}{a^{2}(a+b)} . \tag{5.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then we have the following proposition.
Proposition 5.7. Given $a, b \in k$ such that $a b(a+b)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\left(a^{3}-b^{3}\right) \neq 0$. Then $\mathcal{A}(a, b)$ is isomorphic to $A_{4}$ as a graded algebra. So, $\mathcal{A}(a, b)$ is strongly noetherian, Auslander regular, AS-regular of dimension 5 and CohenMacaulay.

Proof. By the construction, $A_{4}=k\left\langle y, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, x\right\rangle / I$, where $I$ is generated by the following ten relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{1} y & =a y z_{1}  \tag{5.4}\\
z_{2} y & =b y z_{2}+z_{1}  \tag{5.5}\\
z_{2} z_{1} & =a z_{1} z_{2}  \tag{5.6}\\
z_{3} y & =b^{3} a^{-1} y z_{3}+z_{2}^{2}  \tag{5.7}\\
z_{3} z_{1} & =b^{3} z_{1} z_{3}+(a-b) z_{2}^{3}  \tag{5.8}\\
z_{3} z_{2} & =a z_{2} z_{3}  \tag{5.9}\\
x y & =b^{2} a^{-1} y x+z_{2}  \tag{5.10}\\
x z_{1} & =\frac{b^{3}}{a} z_{1} x+\frac{a^{3}-b^{3}}{a(a+b)} z_{2}^{2}  \tag{5.11}\\
x z_{2} & =b z_{2} x+\frac{a^{3}-b^{3}}{a(a+b)} z_{3}  \tag{5.12}\\
x z_{3} & =a z_{3} x \tag{5.13}
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.10) $z_{2}=x y-b^{2} a^{-1} y x$, by (5.5) $z_{1}=x y^{2}-\left(b^{2} a^{-1}+b\right) y x y+b^{3} a^{-1} y^{2} x$, and by (5.12)

$$
z_{3}=\frac{a(a+b) x^{2} y-(a+b)^{2} b x y x+(a+b) b^{3} y x^{2}}{a^{3}-b^{3}} .
$$

So $A_{4}$ is generated by $x$ and $y$ as a $k$-algebra. Moreover, replacing $z_{1}, z_{2}$ and $z_{3}$ with these expressions, the relations (5.4), (5.11) and (5.13) turn out to be the following three relations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
x y^{3}+p y x y^{2}+q y^{2} x y+r y^{3} x=0 \\
x^{2} y^{2}+l_{2} x y x y+l_{3} y x y x+l_{4} y^{2} x^{2}+l_{5} x y^{2} x+l_{5} y x^{2} y=0 \\
x^{3} y+p x^{2} y x+q x y x^{2}+r y x^{3}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

where the parameters are given in (5.3). The relations (5.6), (5.7), (5.8) and (5.9) can be derived from the above three relations by using $a^{2}+b^{2} \neq 0$. So, $A_{4}=\mathcal{A}(a, b)$.

It follows from [ASZ, Proposition 4.1] and [YZ, Theorem 5.1, Corollary 6.8] that $\mathcal{A}(a, b)$ is strongly noetherian, Auslander regular, AS-regular of dimension 5 and Cohen-Macaulay.

Theorem 5.8. Algebras D and G are strongly noetherian, Auslander regular, AS-regular of dimension 5 and Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. It is easy to check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{D} \cong \mathcal{A}(a, b) \quad \text { with } a=q^{2} / p^{3}, b=-q / p \\
& \mathbf{G} \cong \mathcal{A}(a, b) \quad \text { with } a=r^{2} / g, b=q r^{3} g /\left(r^{5}+q r g^{2}+g^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The conclusions follow from Proposition 5.7.

### 5.3. Algebras C, E, H and I

In this subsection, we show the algebras $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{I}$ are normal extensions of some 4-dimensional AS-regular algebras given in [LPWZ2].

Theorem 5.9. Algebras C, E, H and I are all AS-regular algebras of dimension 5, which are strongly noetherian, Auslander regular and Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. By the diamond lemma [Be], $x y^{2}+p^{2} y^{2} x$ is a normal regular element of $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{C} /\left(x y^{2}+p^{2} y^{2} x\right)$ is isomorphic to $D(0, p)$ [LPWZ2, Theorem A]. So $\mathbf{C}$ is a normal extension of $D(0, p)$.

Algebra $\mathbf{E}$ is a normal extension of $D(p-t, t)$ since $x y^{2}+(p-t) y x y+t^{2} y^{2} x$ is a normal regular element of $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{E} /\left(x y^{2}+(p-t) y x y+t^{2} y^{2} x\right)$ is isomorphic to $D(p-t, t)$ [LPWZ2, Theorem A].

Algebra $\mathbf{H}$ is a normal extension of $B(p)$ since $x y^{2}-i p^{2} y^{2} x$ is a normal regular element of $\mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{H} /\left(x y^{2}-i p^{2} y^{2} x\right)$ is isomorphic to $B(p)$ [LPWZ2, Theorem A].

Algebra I is a normal extension of $D\left(c g(1+g), c g^{4}\right)$ since $x y^{2}+c g(1+g) y x y+c^{2} g^{3} y^{2} x$ is a normal regular element of $\mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{I} /\left(x y^{2}+c g(1+g) y x y+c^{2} g^{3} y^{2} x\right)$ is isomorphic to $D\left(c g(1+g), c g^{4}\right)$.

These algebras $B(p), D(0, p), D(p-t, t)$ and $D\left(c g(1+g), c g^{4}\right)$ are strongly noetherian, Auslander regular, Cohen-Macaulay and AS-regular of dimension 4 as given in [LPWZ2, Theorem A].

So, all the algebras considered here are normal extensions of AS-regular algebras of dimension 4. They are all noetherian by [ATV, Lemma 8.2]. By [Le, Theorem 5.10] and [LPWZ2, Lemma 7.6], they are AS-regular of dimension 5.

It follows from [ASZ, Proposition 4.9] and [YZ, Theorem 5.1] that all these algebras are strongly noetherian, Auslander regular and Cohen-Macaulay.
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