

A Note on Clean Rings*

Zhou Wang Jianlong Chen

Department of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China

E-mail: fylwangz@126.com jlchen@seu.edu.cn

Received 25 July 2006

Revised 18 December 2006

Communicated by Nanqing Ding

Abstract. Let R be a ring and $g(x)$ a polynomial in $C[x]$, where $C = C(R)$ denotes the center of R . Camillo and Simón called the ring $g(x)$ -clean if every element of R can be written as the sum of a unit and a root of $g(x)$. In this paper, we prove that for $a, b \in C$, the ring R is clean and $b - a$ is invertible in R if and only if R is $g_1(x)$ -clean, where $g_1(x) = (x - a)(x - b)$. This implies that in some sense the notion of $g(x)$ -clean rings in the Nicholson–Zhou Theorem and in the Camillo–Simón Theorem is indeed equivalent to the notion of clean rings.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16U99, 16S50

Keywords: clean rings, $g(x)$ -clean rings, group rings

1 Introduction

A ring R is called clean if every element of R is the sum of a unit and an idempotent. This notion was introduced by Nicholson in [4] as a sufficient condition for a ring to have the exchange property. Later, Camillo and Yu proved in [2] that all semiperfect rings and unit-regular rings are clean.

Generally, if $C = C(R)$ denotes the center of a ring R , and if $g(x)$ is a polynomial in $C[x]$, Camillo and Simón [1] called the ring $g(x)$ -clean if every element of R can be written as the sum of a unit and a root of $g(x)$. If V is a vector space of countable dimension over a division ring D , they proved that $\text{End}({}_D V)$ is $g(x)$ -clean provided that $g(x)$ has two roots in $C(D)$. Nicholson and Zhou [6] took a fixed polynomial $g(x) \in (x - a)(x - b)C[x]$, where $a, b \in C(R)$ such that b and $b - a$ are both units in R , and proved that $\text{End}({}_R M)$ is $g(x)$ -clean if ${}_R M$ is a semisimple module over R .

Let $g_1(x) = (x - a)(x - b)$ with $a, b \in C$. In this paper, we prove that R is $g_1(x)$ -clean if and only if R is clean and $b - a$ is invertible. Furthermore, for

*This work was supported by the Foundation for Excellent Doctoral Dissertation of Southeast University (YBJJ0507), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10571026) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK2005207).

$g_2(x) \in (x-a)(x-b)C[x]$, where $a, b \in C$ and $b-a$ is a unit in R , if R is clean, then it is $g_2(x)$ -clean; and if R is $g_2(x)$ -clean for any $g_2(x) \in (x-a)(x-b)C[x]$, then it is clean. Finally, we construct an example which is $g(x)$ -clean but not clean.

Throughout this paper, rings are associative with identity and modules are unitary. Let $J(R)$ and $U(R)$ denote the Jacobson radical and the group of units of R , respectively. We write C_n and RC_n for the cyclic group of order n and its group ring over R , respectively.

2 $g(x)$ -Clean Rings and Clean Rings

In this section, we will investigate the relations between $g(x)$ -clean rings and clean rings. The following result is simple but useful.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $g_1(x) = (x-a)(x-b)$ with $a, b \in C$. Then R is $g_1(x)$ -clean if and only if R is clean and $b-a$ is invertible.*

Proof. Suppose $r \in R$. Since R is $g_1(x)$ -clean, there exist a unit u_1 and a root s_1 of $g_1(x)$ such that $b = s_1 + u_1$. Since $g_1(s_1) = (s_1-a)(s_1-b) = 0$, we have $s_1 = a$. This implies that $b-a$ is invertible. Again by hypothesis, there exist a unit u_2 and a root s_2 of $g_1(x)$ such that $(b-a)r + a = s_2 + u_2$. Set $e = (b-a)^{-1}(s_2-a)$, i.e., $s_2 = (b-a)e + a$. Then we get $r = e + (b-a)^{-1}u_2$. Note that $g_1(s_2) = (s_2-a)(s_2-b) = (b-a)e[(b-a)e + a - b] = (b-a)^2(e^2 - e) = 0$ by $b-a \in C(R)$. Since $b-a \in U(R)$, we have $e^2 = e$, as required.

Conversely, for any $r \in R$, by hypothesis we may write $(b-a)^{-1}(r-a) = e + u$, where $e^2 = e \in R$ and $u \in U(R)$. Thus, we have $r = [(b-a)e + a] + (b-a)u$. Note that $(b-a)u$ is a unit since $b-a \in U(R)$. Now we have $g_1((b-a)e + a) = (b-a)e[(b-a)e + a - b] = (b-a)^2e(e-1) = 0$. So $(b-a)e + a$ is a root of $g_1(x)$. This completes the proof. \square

In fact, the condition $a, b \in C(R)$ in Theorem 2.1 can be replaced by $b-a \in C(R)$.

In [2], Camillo and Yu showed that if $2 \in U(R)$, then R is clean if and only if every element of R is the sum of a unit and a square root of 1. In fact, the condition $2 \in U(R)$ is necessary.

Corollary 2.2. *A ring R is clean and $2 \in U(R)$ if and only if every element of R is the sum of a unit and a square root of 1.*

Proof. Let $g_1(x) = (x+1)(x-1) = x^2 - 1$. Note that the condition that every element of R is the sum of a unit and a square root of 1 is equivalent to R being $g_1(x)$ -clean. Hence, by Theorem 2.1, the proof is immediate. \square

Remark 2.3. Let $g_1(x) = (x-a)(x-b)$ and $g_2(x) \in (x-a)(x-b)C[x]$, where $a, b \in C$ and $b-a \in U(R)$. Then R is clean if and only if R is $g_1(x)$ -clean, and in this case, R is also $g_2(x)$ -clean. On the other hand, if R is $g_2(x)$ -clean for any $g_2(x) \in (x-a)(x-b)C[x]$, then R is clean.

Next we will construct an example to show that a $g(x)$ -clean ring is not necessarily clean. First, we need a lemma which extends [7, Proposition 3.2].

Lemma 2.4. *Let R be a commutative ring and let C_n be a cyclic group of order n generated by g . Then an element $x = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} k_i g^i \in RC_n$ is invertible if and only if $\det A \in R$ is invertible, where $k_i \in R$ and*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} k_0 & k_{n-1} & \cdots & k_1 \\ k_1 & k_0 & \cdots & k_2 \\ & & \ddots & \\ k_{n-1} & k_{n-2} & \cdots & k_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. The element x is invertible if and only if there exists $y = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} l_i g^i$ such that $xy = 1 = yx$, i.e.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} k_0 & k_{n-1} & \cdots & k_1 \\ k_1 & k_0 & \cdots & k_2 \\ & & \ddots & \\ k_{n-1} & k_{n-2} & \cdots & k_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_0 \\ l_1 \\ \vdots \\ l_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let B be the matrix just as A replaced k_i by l_i . Then $xy = 1 = yx$ if and only if $AB = I = BA$.

If $xy = 1 = yx$, then $\det A \cdot \det B = 1 = \det B \cdot \det A$ and so $\det A \in U(R)$.

Conversely, since R is a commutative ring, $\det A \in U(R)$ implies that A is an invertible matrix, i.e., the above matrix equation has a solution. Hence, $x \in U(RC_n)$. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let R be a commutative local ring with $2 \in U(R)$ and let C_3 be a cyclic group of order 3 generated by g . Then RC_3 is $(x^6 - 1)$ -clean.*

Proof. Let $\alpha = k + mg + lg^2$, where $k, m, l \in R$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= 1 + [(k-1) + mg + lg^2] = -1 + [(k+1) + mg + lg^2] \\ &= g + [k + (m-1)g + lg^2] = -g + [k + (m+1)g + lg^2] \\ &= g^2 + [k + mg + (l-1)g^2] = -g^2 + [k + mg + (l+1)g^2]. \end{aligned}$$

The elements ± 1 , $\pm g$ and $\pm g^2$ are the roots of the equation $x^6 = 1$. In order to show that α is $(x^6 - 1)$ -clean, by Lemma 2.4, we only need to show that at least one of the following six elements is a unit in R :

- (1) $(k-1)^3 + m^3 + l^3 - 3(k-1)ml$, (2) $(k+1)^3 + m^3 + l^3 - 3(k+1)ml$,
- (3) $k^3 + (m-1)^3 + l^3 - 3k(m-1)l$, (4) $k^3 + (m+1)^3 + l^3 - 3k(m+1)l$,
- (5) $k^3 + m^3 + (l-1)^3 - 3km(l-1)$, (6) $k^3 + m^3 + (l+1)^3 - 3km(l+1)$.

Suppose it is not true, i.e., all (1)–(6) belong to $J(R)$ since R is a commutative local ring.

By (1) and (2), we have $6k^2 + 2 - 6ml \in J(R)$. Since 2 is a unit in R , we have

$$3k^2 - 3ml + 1 \in J(R). \quad (*)$$

If $3 \in J(R)$, then $1 \in J(R)$ by (*), a contradiction. So $3 \in U(R)$. From (*), we have $3k^3 - 3kml + k \in J(R)$. Similarly, $3m^3 - 3kml + m$, $3l^3 - 3kml + l \in J(R)$.

Thus, we obtain $3(k^3 + m^3 + l^3 - 3kml) + (k + m + l) \in J(R)$. Since $3 \in U(R)$,

$$k^3 + m^3 + l^3 - 3kml + 3^{-1}(k + m + l) \in J(R). \quad (**)$$

By (1), (2) and (**), we have $3k - 3^{-1}(k + m + l) \in J(R)$. Similarly, we have $3m - 3^{-1}(k + m + l), 3l - 3^{-1}(k + m + l) \in J(R)$. So $3(k + m + l) - (k + m + l) = 2(k + m + l) \in J(R)$. From $2 \in U(R)$, it is true that $k + m + l \in J(R)$. Hence, $3k \in J(R)$, this implies $k \in J(R)$. Similarly, $m, l \in J(R)$. By (*), $1 \in J(R)$, a contradiction. Thus, α is $(x^6 - 1)$ -clean, as required. \square

Corollary 2.6. *Let R be a commutative semiperfect ring with $2 \in U(R)$ and let C_3 be a cyclic group of order 3. Then RC_3 is $(x^6 - 1)$ -clean.*

Proof. Since R is semiperfect, there exist orthogonal local idempotents e_1, \dots, e_m such that $1 = e_1 + \dots + e_m$. So $R = e_1Re_1 \times \dots \times e_mRe_m$ is a direct product of commutative local rings. Note that $RC_3 \cong (e_1Re_1)C_3 \times \dots \times (e_mRe_m)C_3$, so RC_3 is $(x^6 - 1)$ -clean by Theorem 2.5. \square

Example 2.7. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{(7)} = \{m/n \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \gcd(7, n) = 1\}$ (which is a commutative local ring) and let C_3 be a cyclic group of order 3. Note that $2 \in U(\mathbb{Z}_{(7)})$. Let $g_2(x) = x^6 - 1 = (x - 1)(x + 1)(x^4 + x^2 + 1)$. Then by Theorem 2.5, $\mathbb{Z}_{(7)}C_3$ is $g_2(x)$ -clean. However, Han and Nicholson [3] showed that $\mathbb{Z}_{(7)}C_3$ is not clean. Thus, we obtain an example which is $g(x)$ -clean but not clean.

Remark 2.8. Let $g(x) = (x - a)h(x) \in C[x]$. If the equation $h(x) = 0$ has no solution in R , then R cannot be $g(x)$ -clean. In fact, suppose R is $g(x)$ -clean, then there exist a unit u and a root s of $g(x)$ such that $a = s + u$. Since $g(s) = (s - a)h(s) = 0$ and $s - a \in U(R)$, we get $h(s) = 0$. This is a contradiction.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to express their gratitude to the referee for valuable suggestions and helpful comments.

References

- [1] V.P. Camillo, J.J. Simón, The Nicholson-Varadarajan theorem on clean linear transformations, *Glasgow Math. J.* **44** (2002) 365–369.
- [2] V.P. Camillo, H.P. Yu, Exchange rings, units and idempotents, *Comm. Algebra* **22** (1994) 4337–4749.
- [3] J. Han, W.K. Nicholson, Extensions of clean rings, *Comm. Algebra* **29** (2001) 2589–2595.
- [4] W.K. Nicholson, Lifting idempotents and exchange rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **229** (1977) 269–278.
- [5] W.K. Nicholson, K. Varadarajan, Countable linear transformations are clean, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (1998) 61–64.
- [6] W.K. Nicholson, Y. Zhou, Endomorphisms that are the sum of a unit and a root of a fixed polynomial, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **49** (2006) 265–269.
- [7] Y.Q. Ye, Semiclean rings, *Comm. Algebra* **31** (2003) 5609–5625.