




Integral Transforms and Special Functions

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gitr20>

A function involving gamma function and having logarithmically absolute convexity

Feng Qi^{a b}, Bai-Ni Guo^c, Senlin Guo^d & Shou-Xin Chen^a

^a College of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan University, Kaifeng City, Henan Province, 475001, People's Republic of China

^b Research Institute of Mathematical Inequality Theory, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo City, Henan Province, 454010, People's Republic of China

^c School of Mathematics and Informatics, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo City, Henan Province, 454010, China

^d Department of Mathematics, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada, R3T 2N2

Published online: 22 Oct 2007.

To cite this article: Feng Qi, Bai-Ni Guo, Senlin Guo & Shou-Xin Chen (2007) A function involving gamma function and having logarithmically absolute convexity, Integral Transforms and Special Functions, 18:11, 837-843, DOI: [10.1080/10652460701528875](https://doi.org/10.1080/10652460701528875)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10652460701528875>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

A function involving gamma function and having logarithmically absolute convexity

FENG QI*†‡, BAI-NI GUO§, SENLIN GUO¶ and SHOU-XIN CHEN†

†College of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan University, Kaifeng City, Henan Province, 475001, People's Republic of China

‡Research Institute of Mathematical Inequality Theory, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo City, Henan Province, 454010, People's Republic of China

§School of Mathematics and Informatics, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo City, Henan Province, 454010, China

¶Department of Mathematics, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada R3T 2N2

(Submitted 12 February 2007)

In this paper, the logarithmically complete monotonicity, logarithmically absolute monotonicity and logarithmically absolute convexity of the function $[\Gamma(1+tx)]^s/[\Gamma(1+sx)]^t$ for $x, s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $1+sx > 0$ and $1+tx > 0$ with $s \neq t$ are verified, some known results are generalized.

Keywords: Logarithmically absolutely convex function; Logarithmically completely monotonic function; Logarithmically absolutely monotonic function; Gamma function; Generalization

2000 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 26A48; 26A51; 26D20; 33B15; 44A10; 65R10

1. Introduction

By using a geometrical method in ref. [1], the following double inequality was proved

$$\frac{1}{n!} \leq \frac{[\Gamma(1+x)]^n}{\Gamma(1+nx)} \leq 1, \quad (1)$$

for $x \in [0, 1]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\Gamma(x)$ stands for the classical Euler's gamma function defined for $x > 0$ by $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt$.

By analytical arguments in ref. [2], it was presented that the function

$$f(x, y) = \frac{[\Gamma(1+x)]^y}{\Gamma(1+xy)} \quad (2)$$

*Corresponding author. Email: qifeng618@hotmail.com; qifeng618@qq.com; qifeng618@gmail.com; URL: <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/qi.html>

for all $y \geq 1$ decreases in $x \geq 0$. From this, it is deduced that

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(1+y)} \leq \frac{[\Gamma(1+x)]^y}{\Gamma(1+xy)} \leq 1, \quad (3)$$

for all $y \geq 1$ and $x \in [0, 1]$, which is a generalization of inequality (1).

In ref. [3], It was shown that if f is a differentiable and logarithmically convex function in $[0, \infty)$, then the function $([f(x)]^a/f(ax))$ for $a \geq 1$ (or $0 < a \leq 1$ respectively) decreases (or increases respectively) in $[0, \infty)$. As one of applications to inequalities involving gamma function, Riemann's zeta function and the complete elliptic integrals of the first kind, inequalities (1) and (3) were deduced.

In ref. [4], an inequality involving a positive linear operator acting on the composition of two continuous functions is presented and, as applications of this inequality, some new inequalities involving the beta, gamma and Riemann's zeta functions and a large family of functions that are Mellin transforms are produced. In particular, for $\beta > \delta > 0$, $\alpha\beta > -1$ and $\alpha\delta > -1$, if either $\alpha < 0$ or $\alpha > 1$, then inequality

$$\frac{[\Gamma(1+\delta)]^\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha\delta)} > \frac{[\Gamma(1+\beta)]^\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha\beta)} \quad (4)$$

holds true; if $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, inequality (4) is reversed. It is not difficult to see that the left hand sides in inequalities (1) and (3) are special cases of inequality (4).

Recall refs. [5–7] that a function f is called completely monotonic on an interval I if f has derivatives of all orders on I and $(-1)^k f^{(k)}(x) \geq 0$ for all $k \geq 0$ on I . The set of completely monotonic functions on I is denoted by $\mathcal{C}[I]$. Recall also refs. [8–10] that a positive function f is said to be logarithmically completely monotonic on an interval I if f has derivatives of all orders on I and its logarithm $\ln f$ satisfies $(-1)^k [\ln f(x)]^{(k)} \geq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ on I . The set of logarithmically completely monotonic functions on I is denoted by $\mathcal{L}[I]$. Recall ref. [11] that if $f^{(k)}(x)$ for some non-negative integer, k is completely monotonic on an interval I , but $f^{(k-1)}(x)$ is not completely monotonic on I , then $f(x)$ is called a completely monotonic function of k -th order on an interval I . Among other things, it was proved implicitly or explicitly in refs. [6, 8, 10, 12,–14] that a logarithmically completely monotonic function is always completely monotonic, but not conversely. Motivated or stimulated by the papers in refs. [8, 10], among other things, it is further revealed in ref. [12] that $\mathcal{S} \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathcal{L}[(0, \infty)] \subset \mathcal{C}[(0, \infty)]$, where $\mathcal{S}$ denotes the set of Stieltjes transforms. In ref. [12, Theorem 1.1] and refs. [9, 15], it is pointed out that the logarithmically completely monotonic functions on $(0, \infty)$ can be characterized as the infinitely divisible completely monotonic functions studied by Horn in ref. [16, Theorem 4.4]. For more information on the logarithmically completely monotonic functions, please refer to refs. [6, 8–12, 14, 15, 17–29] and the references therein.

DEFINITION 1.1 *Let f be a positive function, which has derivatives of all orders on an interval I . If $[\ln f(x)]^{(k)}$ for some non-negative integer k is completely monotonic on I , but $[\ln f(x)]^{(k-1)}$ is not completely monotonic on I , then f is said to be a logarithmically completely monotonic function of k th order on I .*

In refs. [28, 29], the following logarithmically complete monotonicities, as generalizations of the decreasingly monotonic property in [2], are presented

- (i) For given $y > 1$, the function $f(x, y)$ defined by equation (2) decreases and is logarithmically concave with respect to $x \in (0, \infty)$ and $(1/f(x, y))$ is a logarithmically completely monotonic function of second order in $x \in (0, \infty)$.

- (ii) For given $0 < y < 1$, the function $f(x, y)$ defined by equation (2) increases and is logarithmically convex with respect to $x \in (0, \infty)$ and $f(x, y)$ is a logarithmically completely monotonic function of second order in $x \in (0, \infty)$.
- (iii) For given $x \in (0, \infty)$, the function $f(x, y)$ defined by equation (2) is logarithmically concave with respect to $y \in (0, \infty)$, and $(1/f(x, y))$ is a logarithmically completely monotonic function of first order in $y \in (0, \infty)$.
- (iv) For given $x \in (0, \infty)$, let

$$F_x(y) = \frac{\Gamma(1+y)[\Gamma(1+x)]^y}{\Gamma(1+xy)} \quad (5)$$

in $(0, \infty)$. If $0 < x < 1$, then $F_x(y)$ is a logarithmically completely monotonic function of second order in $(0, \infty)$; if $x > 1$, then $1/F_x(y)$ is a logarithmically completely monotonic function of second order in $(0, \infty)$.

In ref. [30, Theorem 2.1], it was proved that the function

$$G_{s,t}(x) = \frac{[\Gamma(1+tx)]^s}{[\Gamma(1+sx)]^t} \quad (6)$$

decreases (or increases, respectively) in $x \in [0, \infty)$ if either $s \geq t > 0$ or $0 > s \geq t$ (or both $s > 0$ and $t < 0$ respectively) such that $1+sx > 0$ and $1+tx > 0$. This result generalized and extended the corresponding conclusions in refs. [1, 2, 4].

Recall refs. [5–7, 24, 31, 32] that a function f is said to be absolutely monotonic on an interval I if it has derivatives of all orders and $f^{(k-1)}(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in I$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall also [13, 33, 34] that a positive function f is said to be logarithmically absolutely monotonic on an interval I if it has derivatives of all orders and $[\ln f(t)]^{(k)} \geq 0$ for $t \in I$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In [13, 33, 34] it was proved that a logarithmically absolutely monotonic function on an interval I is also absolutely monotonic on I , but not conversely.

DEFINITION 1.2 Let f be a positive function which has derivatives of all orders on an interval I . If $[\ln f(x)]^{(k)}$ for some non-negative integer k is absolutely monotonic on I , but $[\ln f(x)]^{(k-1)}$ is not absolutely monotonic on I , then f is said to be a logarithmically absolutely monotonic function of k th order on I .

Recall ref. [5, p. 375, Definition 3] and [6, 7, 31, 35, 36] that a function f which has derivatives of all orders on an interval I is said to be absolutely convex on I if $f^{(2k)}(x) \geq 0$ on I for any nonnegative integer k .

DEFINITION 1.3 A positive function f which has derivatives of all orders on an interval I is said to be logarithmically absolutely convex on I if $[\ln f(x)]^{(2k)} \geq 0$ on I for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The main aim of this paper is to generalize and extend some results obtained in refs. [1–4, 28–30], especially those in refs. [28, 29].

Our main result can be stated as the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.4 The function $G_{s,t}(x)$ defined by equation (6) for $x, s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $1+sx > 0$ and $1+tx > 0$ with $s \neq t$ has the following properties:

- (i) $G_{s,t}(x) = (1/G_{t,s}(x))$;
- (ii) For $t > s > 0$ and $x \in (0, \infty)$, $G_{s,t}(x)$ is an increasing function and a logarithmically completely monotonic function of second order in x ;

- (iii) For $t > s > 0$ and $x \in (-(1/t), 0)$, $G_{s,t}(x)$ is a logarithmically completely monotonic function in x ;
- (iv) For $s < t < 0$ and $x \in (-\infty, 0)$, $G_{s,t}(x)$ is a decreasing function and a logarithmically absolutely monotonic function of second order in x ;
- (v) For $s < t < 0$ and $x \in (0, -(1/s))$, $G_{s,t}(x)$ is a logarithmically completely monotonic function in x ;
- (vi) For $s < 0 < t$ and $x \in (-(1/t), 0)$, $G_{t,s}(x)$ is an increasing function and a logarithmically absolutely convex function in x ;
- (vii) For $s < 0 < t$ and $x \in (0, -(1/s))$, $G_{t,s}(x)$ is a decreasing function and a logarithmically absolutely convex function in x .

2. Proof of Theorem 1.4

It is clear that $G_{s,t}(x) = (1/G_{t,s}(x))$. Therefore, it is sufficient to show Theorem 1.4 only for $s < t$.

Taking logarithm of $G_{s,t}(x)$ yields

$$\ln G_{s,t}(x) = s \ln \Gamma(1 + tx) - t \ln \Gamma(1 + sx)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^k [\ln G_{s,t}(x)]}{\partial x^k} &= st^k \psi^{(k-1)}(1 + tx) - ts^k \psi^{(k-1)}(1 + sx) \\ &= \frac{st}{x^{k-1}} [(tx)^{k-1} \psi^{(k-1)}(1 + tx) - (sx)^{k-1} \psi^{(k-1)}(1 + sx)], \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

In ref. [37, Lemma 2.2], it was obtained that the function $x^\alpha |\psi^{(i)}(1 + x)|$ strictly increases in $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $\alpha \geq i$, where $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. This was generalized in ref. [38, Theorem 1] as follows: For $\beta \geq (1/2)$, the function $x^\alpha |\psi^{(i)}(x + \beta)|$ strictly increases in $[0, \infty)$ if and only if $\alpha \geq i \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, the functions $x^{2i} \psi^{(2i)}(1 + x)$ decrease and the functions $x^{2i-1} \psi^{(2i-1)}(1 + x)$ increase in $[0, \infty)$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. From this, it is readily obtained for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $t > s > 0$ and $x > 0$ that

$$\frac{\partial^{2i} [\ln G_{s,t}(x)]}{\partial x^{2i}} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^{2i+1} [\ln G_{s,t}(x)]}{\partial x^{2i+1}} < 0.$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial [\ln G_{s,t}(x)]}{\partial x} = st[\psi(1 + tx) - \psi(1 + sx)] \quad (8)$$

and the psi function ψ increases in $(0, \infty)$, it is easy to see $(\partial [\ln G_{s,t}(x)] / \partial x) > 0$. Consequently, for $t > s > 0$ and $x > 0$, $G_{s,t}(x)$ is an increasing function in $x \in (0, \infty)$ and a logarithmically completely monotonic function of second order in $x \in (0, \infty)$.

If $t > s > 0$ and $0 > x > -(1/t)$, then

$$(-1)^{k-1} \frac{\partial^k [\ln G_{s,t}(x)]}{\partial x^k} = \frac{st}{(-x)^{k-1}} [(tx)^{k-1} \psi^{(k-1)}(1 + tx) - (sx)^{k-1} \psi^{(k-1)}(1 + sx)],$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $h_k(u) = u^k \psi^{(k)}(1 + u)$ for $u \in (-1, 0)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. A straightforward computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} h'_k(u) &= u^{k-1} [k \psi^{(k)}(1 + u) + u \psi^{(k+1)}(1 + u)] \\ &= (-u)^{k-1} \{k [(-1)^{k+1} \psi^{(k)}(1 + u)] + (-u) [(-1)^{k+2} \psi^{(k+1)}(1 + u)]\} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This means that the function $h_k(u)$ for $u \in (-1, 0)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ increases. Then, it is concluded that $(-1)^{k-1}(\partial^k[\ln G_{s,t}(x)]/\partial x^k) < 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. As a result, the function $G_{s,t}(x)$ is logarithmically completely monotonic in $x \in (-(1/t), 0)$ for $t > s > 0$.

If $s < t < 0$ and $x < 0$, then

$$(-1)^k \frac{\partial^k [\ln G_{s,t}(x)]}{\partial x^k} = \frac{st}{(-x)^{k-1}} [(sx)^{k-1} \psi^{(k-1)}(1+sx) - (tx)^{k-1} \psi^{(k-1)}(1+tx)],$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, accordingly

$$(-1)^{2i} \frac{\partial^{2i} [\ln G_{s,t}(x)]}{\partial x^{2i}} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (-1)^{2i+1} \frac{\partial^{2i+1} [\ln G_{s,t}(x)]}{\partial x^{2i+1}} < 0$$

for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. From equation (8), it follows that $(\partial[\ln G_{s,t}(x)]/\partial x) < 0$. Hence, $G_{s,t}(x)$ is a logarithmically absolutely monotonic function of second order in $x \in (-\infty, 0)$, for $s < t < 0$.

If $s < t < 0$ and $0 < x < -(1/s)$, then formula (7) is valid. In virtue of the increasingly monotonic property of the function $h_k(u)$ for $u \in (-1, 0)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, it is deduced that $(\partial^k[\ln G_{s,t}(x)]/\partial x^k) > 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This means that the function $G_{s,t}(x)$ for $s < t < 0$ is logarithmically completely monotonic in $x \in (0, -(1/s))$.

If $s < 0 < t$ and $-1/t < x < 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^k [\ln G_{s,t}(x)]}{\partial x^k} &= \frac{-st}{(-x)^{k-1}} \{(-1)^{k-1}(-tx)^{k-1} [(-1)^k \psi^{(k-1)}(1+tx)] \\ &\quad - (sx)^{k-1} [(-1)^k \psi^{(k-1)}(1+sx)]\}, \end{aligned}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Accordingly, it is obtained readily that $(\partial^{2i}[\ln G_{s,t}(x)]/\partial x^{2i}) < 0$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that the function $(1/G_{s,t}(x))$ is logarithmically absolutely convex in $x \in (-(1/t), 0)$ for $s < 0 < t$. Formula (8) implies that the function $G_{s,t}(x)$ increases in $x \in (-(1/t), 0)$ for $s < 0 < t$.

If $s < 0 < t$ and $0 < x < -(1/s)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^k [\ln G_{s,t}(x)]}{\partial x^k} &= \frac{-st}{x^{k-1}} (-1)^k \{(sx)^{k-1} [(-1)^k \psi^{(k-1)}(1+sx)] \\ &\quad - (tx)^{k-1} [(-1)^k \psi^{(k-1)}(1+tx)]\}, \end{aligned}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, it is deduced apparently that $(\partial^{2i}[\ln G_{s,t}(x)]/\partial x^{2i}) < 0$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that the function $(1/G_{s,t}(x))$ is logarithmically absolutely convex in $x \in (0, -(1/s))$ for $s < 0 < t$. Formula (8) implies that the function $G_{s,t}(x)$ decreases in $x \in (0, -(1/s))$, for $s < 0 < t$. The proof of Theorem 1.4 is complete.

References

- [1] Alsina, C. and Tomás, M.S., 2005, A geometrical proof of a new inequality for the gamma function. *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **6**(2), Art. 48. Available online at <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=517>.
- [2] Sándor, J., 2005, A note on certain inequalities for the gamma function. *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **6**(3), Art. 61. Available online at <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=534>.
- [3] Neuman, E., 2006, Inequalities involving a logarithmically convex function and their applications to special functions. *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **7**(1), Art. 16. Available online at <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=646>.
- [4] Mercer, A.McD., 2006, Some new inequalities for the gamma, beta and zeta functions. *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **7**(1), Art. 29. Available online at <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=636>.

- [5] Mitrinović, D.S., Pečarić, J.E., and Fink, A.M., 1993, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis* (Dordrecht, Boston, London: Kluwer Academic Publishers).
- [6] van Haeringen, H., 1993, Completely Monotonic and Related Functions, Report 93-108, Faculty of Technical Mathematics and Informatics, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands.
- [7] Widder, D.V., 1941, *The Laplace Transform* (Princeton: Princeton University Press).
- [8] Qi, F. and Guo, B.-N., 2004, Complete monotonicities of functions involving the gamma and digamma functions. *RGMIA Research Report Collection*, 7(1), Art. 8, 63–72. Available online at <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v7n1.html>.
- [9] Qi, F., Guo, B.-N. and Chen, Ch.-P., 2006, Some completely monotonic functions involving the gamma and polygamma functions. *Journal of Australian Mathematical Society*, 80(1), 81–88.
- [10] Qi, F., Guo, B.-N. and Chen, Ch.-P., 2004, Some completely monotonic functions involving the gamma and polygamma functions. *RGMIA Research Report Collection*, 7(1), Art. 5, 31–36. Available online at <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v7n1.html>.
- [11] Atanassov, R.D. and Tsoukrovski, U.V., 1988, Some properties of a class of logarithmically completely monotonic functions. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie Bulgare des Sciences*, 41(2), 21–23.
- [12] Berg, C., 2004, Integral representation of some functions related to the gamma function. *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, 1(4), 433–439.
- [13] Qi, F., 2007, A property of logarithmically absolutely monotonic functions and logarithmically complete monotonicities of $(1 + \alpha/x)^{x+\beta}$. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, in press.
- [14] Qi, F. and Chen, Ch.-P., 2004, A complete monotonicity property of the gamma function. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Application*, 296(2), 603–607.
- [15] Grinshpan, A.Z. and Ismail, M.E.H., 2006, Completely monotonic functions involving the gamma and q -gamma functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 134(4), 1153–1160.
- [16] Horn, R.A., 1967, On infinitely divisible matrices, kernels and functions. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Geb.*, 8, 219–230.
- [17] Chen, Ch.-P. and Qi, F., 2006, Logarithmically completely monotonic functions relating to the gamma function. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Application*, 321(1), 405–411.
- [18] Chen, Ch.-P. and Qi, F., 2005, Logarithmically completely monotonic ratios of mean values and an application. *Global Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 1(1), 71–76.
- [19] Chen, Ch.-P. and Qi, F., 2005, Logarithmically completely monotonic ratios of mean values and an application. *RGMIA Research Report Collection*, 8(1), Art. 18. Available online at <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v8n1.html>.
- [20] Chen, Ch.-P. and Qi, F., 2005, Logarithmically complete monotonicity properties for the gamma functions. *Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Application*, 2(2), Art. 8. Available online at <http://ajmaa.org/cgi-bin/paper.pl?string=v2n2/V2I2P8.tex>.
- [21] Guo, S., 2006, Monotonicity and concavity properties of some functions involving the gamma function with applications. *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 7(2), Art. 45. Available online at <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=662>.
- [22] Guo, S., 2007, On the composition of completely monotonic and related functions. *RGMIA Research Report Collection*, 10(1), Art. 8. Available online at <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v10n1.html>.
- [23] Guo, S., 2006, Some function classes connected to the class of completely monotonic functions. *RGMIA Research Report Collection*, 9(2), Art. 8. Available online at <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v9n2.html>.
- [24] Qi, F., 2005, Certain logarithmically N -alternating monotonic functions involving gamma and q -gamma functions. *RGMIA Research Report Collection*, 8(3), Art. 5, 413–422. Available online at <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v8n3.html>.
- [25] Qi, F., Chen, Sh.-X., and Cheung, W.-S., 2007, Logarithmically completely monotonic functions concerning gamma and digamma functions. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 18(6), 435–443.
- [26] Qi, F., Guo, B.-N. and Chen, Ch.-P., 2005, The best bounds in Gautschi-Kershaw inequalities. *RGMIA Research Report Collection*, 8(2), Art. 17, 311–320. Available online at <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v8n2.html>.
- [27] Qi, F., Guo, B.-N. and Chen, Ch.-P., 2006, The best bounds in Gautschi-Kershaw inequalities. *Mathematical Inequalities and Applications*, 9(3), 427–436.
- [28] Qi, F. and Li, W., 2005, Two logarithmically completely monotonic functions connected with the gamma function. *RGMIA Research Report Collection*, 8(3), Art. 13, 497–493. Available online at <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v8n3.html>.
- [29] Qi, F., Yang, Q. and Li, W., 2006, Two logarithmically completely monotonic functions connected with gamma function. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 17(7), 539–542.
- [30] Bougoffa, L., 2006, Some inequalities involving the gamma function. *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 7(5), Art. 179. Available online at <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=796>.
- [31] Qi, F., 1998, Generalized weighted mean values with two parameters. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A. Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 454(1978), 2723–2732.
- [32] Qi, F. and Xu, S.-L., 1998, The function $(b^x - a^x)/x$: Inequalities and properties. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 126(11), 3355–3359.
- [33] Qi, F., Li, W. and Guo, B.-N., 2006, Generalizations of a theorem of I. Schur. *RGMIA Research Report Collection*, 9(3), Art. 15. Available online at <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v9n3.html>.
- [34] Qi, F., Li, W. and Guo, B.-N., 2006, Generalizations of a theorem of I. Schur. *Bùdèngshì Yànjiū Tōngxùn (Communications in Studies on Inequalities)* 13(4), 355–364.
- [35] Qi, F., 2002, Logarithmic convexity of extended mean values, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 130(6), 1787–1796.

- [36] Qi, F., 1999, Logarithmic convexity of the extended mean values. *RGMA Research Report Collection*, **2**(5), Art. 5, 643–652 Available online at <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v2n5.html>.
- [37] Alzer, H., 2004, Sharp inequalities for the digamma and polygamma functions. *Forum Mathematicum*, **16** (2004), 1851–221.
- [38] Qi, F., Guo, S. and Guo, B.-N., 2006, Note on a class of completely monotonic functions involving the polygamma functions. *RGMA Research Report Collection*, **10**(1), Art. 5. Available online at <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v10n1.html>.