

Molecular Characterization, Expression Patterns, and Association Analysis with Carcass Traits of Porcine *USF1* Gene

Huayu Wu · Mu Qiao · Xianwen Peng · Junjing Wu ·
Guisheng Liu · Hua Sun · Lianghua Li · Shuqi Mei

Received: 13 October 2012 / Accepted: 1 May 2013 /
Published online: 11 May 2013
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2013

Abstract The upstream stimulatory factor 1 (*USF1*) is a transcription factor controlling expression of several genes involved in lipid and glucose homeostasis. In this study, two isoforms of the porcine *USF1* gene were detected by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), termed *USF1* wild-type (wt) and *USF1/CD*, both of them contain a helix–loop–helix leucine zipper (HLH-LZ) conserved domain. Tissue distribution analysis showed that the two transcripts of porcine *USF1* gene were ubiquitously expressed in all tested tissues, except for heart. Moreover, we found that a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP, C/T) in intron 10 was significantly associated with ratio of lean to fat ($P<0.05$), dress percentage ($P<0.05$), average backfat thickness ($P<0.05$), loin eye width ($P<0.05$), lean meat percentage ($P<0.01$), loin eye height ($P<0.01$), and loin eye area ($P<0.01$). This result suggests that porcine *USF1* gene may be a candidate gene of meat production trait.

Keywords Alternative splicing · Association analysis · Porcine · SNP · *USF1*

Introduction

Upstream stimulatory factor 1 (*USF1*) is a member of the basic helix–loop–helix leucine zipper family of transcription factors [1]. It can form homodimers or heterodimers with *USF2*, which recognize E-box regulatory sequences and lead to transcription activation and/or enhanced gene expression [2]. The *USF1* gene located on human chromosome 1q22–q23 [3] encodes a ubiquitously expressed transcription factor with multiple roles in transcriptional regulation of several genes involved in glucose and lipid metabolism [4]. Polymorphisms of the *USF1* gene associate with familial combined hyperlipidemia [5], increased risk for cardiovascular disease in women [6], high plasma triglyceride and low-density

Huayu Wu and Mu Qiao contributed equally to this work.

H. Wu · M. Qiao · X. Peng · J. Wu · G. Liu · H. Sun · L. Li · S. Mei (✉)
Hubei Key Laboratory of Animal Embryo and Molecular Breeding, Institute of Animal Husbandry
and Veterinary, Hubei Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Wuhan 430064, China
e-mail: msqxms111@163.com

lipoprotein levels [7], adipocyte lipolysis [8], type 2 diabetes [9], and diabetic kidney disease [10]. *USF1* also represses the gene encoding ATP-binding cassette A1 (ABCA1) transporter protein, which has a key role in the cellular efflux of cholesterol and phospholipids [11]. Additionally, *USF1* modulates genes involved in the immune response and cell cycle control [12, 13]. Taken together, these genetic and biochemical data suggest that *USF1* may play an important role in regulating metabolic traits.

Hitherto, all studies about *USF1* are carried out in mice and human, relatively little is known concerning the porcine *USF1* gene. In this study, we cloned porcine *USF1* cDNA and its partial genomic sequence, detected its polymorphism and performed an association analysis in a Yorkshire×Meishan pig cross. We also analyzed its tissue expression pattern. These will contribute to the further investigation on the physiological function of porcine *USF1* gene.

Materials and Methods

Animals and Tissues Collection

All pigs in this study were derived from the Experimental Pig Station of Huazhong Agricultural University (Wuhan, China). Eleven different tissues including heart, liver, spleen, lung, kidney, stomach, small intestine, ovary, uterus, longissimus dorsi muscle, and backfat tissues were collected from a 4-month-old female Yorkshire pig, then immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C for spatial expression analysis.

DNA Extraction and cDNA Synthesis

Genomic DNA was isolated according to the standard phenol–chloroform method and stored at -20°C . The RNA was extracted using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol, treated with RNase-free DNase I (Takara, Japan) to remove contaminating genomic DNA, incubate at 80°C with $2.5\ \mu\text{l}$ of $0.5\ \text{M}$ EDTA for 2 min to inactivate DNase I and stored at -80°C . The first strand cDNAs was synthesized as described previously [14], the corresponding cDNA was stored at -20°C until use.

cDNA and Genomic DNA Cloning of Porcine *USF1* Gene

The human *USF1* gene sequence (GenBank accession no. NM_007122) was applied to search available ESTs in porcine ESTs database with BLAST program (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/>). According to the assembled contig sequences, three overlapping primer pairs U1F/U1R, U2F/U2R and U3F/U3R (Table 1) were designed to amplify the cDNA sequence of porcine *USF1* gene. Six primer pairs (U4F/U4R, U5F/U5R, U6F/U6R, U7F/U7R, U8F/U8R and U9F/U9R) (Table 1) were designed to amplify introns of the porcine *USF1* gene according to the above cDNA sequences we had obtained. PCR reactions were performed in a total volume of $25\ \mu\text{l}$ containing $1\ \mu\text{l}$ ($50\ \text{ng}$) of cDNA or DNA as template, $0.5\ \mu\text{l}$ of each primer ($5\ \mu\text{M}$), $2.0\ \mu\text{l}$ of each dNTP ($2\ \text{mM}$), $2.5\ \mu\text{l}$ of $10\times$ PCR buffer, $2.0\ \mu\text{l}$ of ($25\ \text{mmol/l}$) Mg^{2+} and $1\ \mu\text{l}$ ($1\ \text{U}/\mu\text{l}$) of *Taq* DNA polymerase (Fermentas) and $15.5\ \mu\text{l}$ sterile water. The PCR amplification profiles were as follows: 94°C initial denaturation for 4 min, 35 cycles of 94°C denaturation for 40 s, annealing temperature for 40 s, and 72°C extension for 40–120 s (according the length of the target fragments), followed by a 10-min extension at 72°C . The PCR products were separated by 1.5 % agarose gel electrophoresis, and purified using a Gel Extraction Kit (Sangon,

Shanghai, China). The purified products were subcloned into the pMD-18T vector (Takara, Dalian, China) and sequenced by Beijing AuGCT Biotechnology Company.

Bioinformatic Analysis

We used NCBI's online open reading frame (ORF) Finder (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gorf/gorf.html>) to predict open reading frames for translated peptide products. We analyzed the physico-chemical parameters of the deduced protein sequence employing ProtParam (<http://cn.expasy.org/tools/protparam.html>). Bioinformatics domain searching analysis was performed using PROSITE (<http://au.expasy.org/prosite/>). Multiple sequence alignments were carried out using the CLUSTALW 1.83 program (<http://www.ebi.ac.uk/clustalw/>) and the unrooted phylogenetic tree was constructed by DNASTar's LaserGene software (DNASTar, Madison, USA). The 3-D structure of the putative protein conserved domain was analyzed using the 3-D Conserved Domain Architecture Retrieval Tool of Blast (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/>).

Tissue Expression Analysis of the Porcine *USF1* Gene

The specific primer pair U1F/U1R (Table 1) was designed to detect the expression of porcine *USF1* by semi-quantitative RT-PCR. House-keeping gene glyceraldehydes-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*GAPDH*) which amplification spans an intron was used as an internal control to prevent DNA contamination. The PCR reaction was carried out with the following cycling parameters: 95 °C initial denaturation for 4 min, 28 cycles of 95 °C denaturation for

Table 1 Primers used in this study

Primer name	Primer sequence (5' to 3')	Annealing temperature (°C)	Product size (bp)
U1F	GTGAGGCAGGAGATACGAA	58	613 and 430
U1R	GGTCCTGGGAGCAATAGA		
U2F	GCGCTCTATTGCTCCAG	57	312
U2R	CGAAGCACATCATTATCCAAC		
U3F	CAGGGGCTTGACCAACTG	62	520
U3R	TGCTTGTCAGCAGACCCT		
U4F	TCCAGGGAATAGGAGCC	57	2094
U4R	CCTTCTTTGGAAGTCTTCGTAT		
U5F	GTGAGGCAGGAGATACGAA	55	740
U5R	GAAGACGTACTTGACGTTGG		
U6F	TGACCCCAACGTCAAGTA	60	783
U6R	TGCAGTGCTGGGGAAGTA		
U7F	ACCACATCGGGGAGTACA	59	600
U7R	AGCCCTGCGTTTCTCATC		
U8F	ACTCGGGATGAGAAACGC	59	656
U8R	CGAAGCACATCATTATCCAAC		
U9F	AGGGGCTTGACCAACTGC	62	690
U9R	TGCTTGTCAGCAGACCCT		
GAPDHF	ACCACAGTCCATGCCATCAC	58	480
GAPDHR	TCCACCACCTGTTGCTGTA		

40 s, 58 °C annealing for 40 s, and 72 °C extension for 50 s. A final extension was performed at 72 °C for 7 min.

SNP Identification, Allele Frequencies, and Association Analyses

After comparing the obtained sequences from Yorkshire and Chinese Meishan pigs, a C130T mutation was found in intron 10. Primer pair U9F/U9R was designed to distinguish the SNP well. The PCR restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) method was used to genotype the polymorphic sites. A total of 142 DNA samples from unrelated animals representing four breeds (Yorkshire, Landrace, and Chinese indigenous pig breed Meishan, Tongcheng) were genotyped and allele frequencies were determined. The population used in the association analysis consisted of an F₂ population involving Yorkshire and Meishan cross pigs [15]. All F₂ pigs were given twice daily diets formulated according to age under a standardized feeding regimen and free access to water. The F₂ pigs were slaughtered in 2003 and 2004 following a common protocol [16].

Statistical Analysis

The association between different genotypes and carcass traits was performed with the least-squares method (GLM procedure, SAS version 8.0, SAS Institute, Inc.). Both additive and dominance effects were estimated using the REG procedure. The additive effect was defined as -1, 0, and 1 for CC, CT, and TT, respectively, and the dominance effect represented as 1, -1, and 1 for CC, CT, and TT, respectively [17]. The statistical model was assumed to be: $Y_{ijk} = \mu + S_i + Y_j + G_k + b_{ijk}X_{ijk} + e_{ijk}$, where Y_{ijk} is the observed values of traits; μ is the least-square mean; S_i is effect of sex ($i=1$ for male or 2 for female), Y_j is the effect of year ($j=1$ for year 2003 or 2 for year 2004), G_k is the effect of genotype ($k=CC, CT, \text{ and } TT$), b_{ijk} is the regression coefficient of the slaughter weight, X_{ijk} is the slaughter weight, and e_{ijk} is the random residual.

Results

Cloning and Sequence Analysis of the Porcine *USF1* Gene

The cDNA sequences amplified by primer pairs U1F/U1R, U2F/U2R, and U3F/U3R were 613 bp/430 bp, 312 bp, and 520 bp, respectively. After assembling the sequences of RT-PCR products, two different transcript variants of porcine *USF1* were detected and designated as *USF1* (wt) and *USF1/CD*. The cDNA of *USF1* (wt) was 1382 bp, including an ORF of 954 bp encoding a polypeptide of 317 amino acids with an estimated molecular mass of 34.3 kDa and an estimated isoelectric point of 5.26. Compared with *USF1* (wt), *USF1/CD* lacks the exon 6. The cDNA of *USF1/CD* was 1,199 bp, including an ORF of 771 bp encoding a polypeptide of 256 amino acids with an estimated molecular mass of 28.5 kDa and an estimated isoelectric point of 6.24. These sequence data have been submitted to the GenBank database under GenBank accession no. EF 219407 for *USF1* (wt) and GenBank accession no. EF 208923 for *USF1/CD*.

A multiple alignment of deduced amino acid sequences of *USF1* (wt) is shown in Fig. 1. The predicted porcine *USF1* (wt) amino acid sequence had 97 % identity with that of human, macaca, and cow, 95 % identity with that of mouse and rat, 86 % identity with that of gallus, respectively. The predicted porcine *USF1* (wt) amino acid sequence contains two active domains, a helix–loop–helix motif and a leucine repeat. The leucine repeat of *USF1* was required for efficient DNA-binding and *USF1* dimerization [1].

orthologs, sequence analysis revealed that porcine *USF1* (wt) gene consists of 11 exons and 10 introns and the locations of splice donor/acceptor sites in all introns conform to the “GT/AG” rule (Table 2).

Phylogenetic Analysis of *USF1*

In an effort to determine the evolutionary relationship between the different *USF1* proteins, a phylogenetic tree was generated using the software DNASTar. Six different *USF1* proteins were used for the phylogenetic analysis. These can be seen to separate into different clades, which reflect their evolution (Fig. 2). The results revealed that the porcine *USF1* had the closest genetic relationship with the *USF1* of cattle. The length of each pair of branches represents the distance between sequence pairs, while the units at the bottom of the tree indicate the number of substitution events.

Tissue Expression Pattern of Porcine *USF1* (wt) and *USF1/CD*

Semi-quantitative RT-PCR was carried out to study the relative gene expression level of *USF1* (wt) and *USF1/CD* in 11 various tissues. As shown in Fig. 3, *USF1* (wt) displayed a significantly high expression in kidney, followed by spleen, backfat, lung, uterus, ovary, stomach and longissimus dorsi muscle, and extremely low in small intestine and liver, but no expression was detected in heart. *USF1/CD* was expressed in all tissues examined with abundant transcript in kidney.

Polymorphism Detection and Association Analysis

Sequence comparisons between Yorkshire and Meishan pigs revealed one SNP (C130T) in intron 10 of the porcine *USF1* gene. The SNP could be detected by PCR-*AluI*-RFLP, three genotypes were presented as: CC (413 and 277 bp), TT (413, 176, and 101 bp) and CT (413, 277, 176, and 101 bp) (Fig. 4). We analyzed this polymorphism in 142 unrelated pigs including indigenous (Meishan and Tongcheng) and foreign breeds (Yorkshire and Landrace) by means of the PCR-RFLP using primer pair U9F/U9R (Table 1). Genetic variation analysis revealed that allele frequencies were significantly different between Chinese

Table 2 Exon–intron junctions of the porcine *USF1* gene

Exon	Exon size (bp)	5' Splice donor	Intron	Intron size (bp)	3' Splice acceptor
1	35	TGGCTG/gtgagt	1	2007	taccag/GACTTA
2	127	GAAGGG/gtgagt	2	363	aaacag/GCAGCA
3	50	ATTCAG/gtcggg	3	157	attcag/GTGCGG
4	116	GGCCAG/gtaagg	4	301	ctctag/GTGATG
5	102	ACCCAG/gtacag	5	241	tcccag/GCCGTG
6	196	GCACTG/gtgaga	6	249	tcacag/GTCAGT
7	88	TTCCCC/gtgagt	7	135	tgtag/GAAGTC
8	59	ATGAAG/gtgggt	8	153	ccacag/TGGAAC
9	95	GGCCAG/gtcatg	9	249	ttacag/AGTAAA
10	150	CAGCAG/gttaga	10	192	tgtag/GTGGAA
11	90				




Fig. 2 The *USF1* phylogenetic tree with amino acid sequences. The sequences used for analysis are derived from GenBank, and their accession numbers are shown on the *right hand side*. The horizontal branch lengths are proportional to the estimated divergence of the sequence from the branch point

indigenous breeds and western commercial pig breeds. The Chinese indigenous breeds had higher frequencies of the T allele (Table 3).

We investigated the effect of the C130T polymorphism on carcass traits in the Yorkshire \times Meishan F_2 population and the experimental populations described above. The results showed that the porcine *USF1* *AluI* polymorphism had significant associations with ratio of lean to fat ($P < 0.05$), dress percentage ($P < 0.05$), average backfat thickness ($P < 0.05$), loin eye width ($P < 0.05$), lean meat percentage ($P < 0.01$), loin eye height ($P < 0.01$) and loin eye area ($P < 0.01$). The lean meat percentage, ratio of lean to fat, loin eye height, loin eye width and loin eye area of pigs with CC genotype were significantly higher than those of pigs with TT genotype, and the average backfat thickness of pigs with CC genotype was significantly thinner than that of pigs with TT genotype (Table 4).

Discussion

In this study, using molecular biology techniques and *in silico* cloning, two isoforms of porcine *USF1* were cloned. This is the first report on the *USF1* gene in pig. Sequence analysis showed that porcine *USF1* gene shares the high sequence identity with its




Fig. 3 Expression of porcine *USF1* (wt) and *USF1/CD* gene in different tissues in Yorkshire by RT-PCR. Marker, DL2000




Fig. 4 PCR-*AluI*-RFLP analysis of porcine *USF1* gene. Lane *M* is marker DL2000. Lane *CC*, *CT*, and *TT* represent different genotypes

mammalian counterparts at both the nucleotide level and the amino acid level, which suggests the conservation of their biological functions during evolution. The predicted porcine *USF1* (wt) amino acid sequence contains two active domains, a helix–loop–helix motif and a leucine repeat. Compared with *USF1* (wt), *USF1/CD* lacks the exon 6, and lost the second activation domain. Transcriptional regulation seems to work in different ways. When some factors possessing the DNA-binding domains without the activation domains, heterodimerize with activators, either their DNA-binding affinity or their ability to activate transcription is reduced [18]. For example, a splice variant of human *USF1* gene, *USF1/BD*, lacks the first activation domain, but retains the DNA-binding activity as a homodimer and a heterodimer with *USF1* (wt), and acts as a modulator for *USF1* [19]. In this study, the porcine *USF1/CD* has the first transactivation domain and bHLH domain of *USF1*, suggesting that *USF1/CD* may play a role as a modulator of *USF1* to control the expression of target genes.

Previous reports have indicated that *USF1* gene was ubiquitously expressed in all tissues examined of human [1] and mouse [20]. In our study, we found that porcine *USF1/CD* was expressed in all tissues studied. In contrast, the porcine *USF1* (wt) was not expressed in heart tissue. These showed that the two different transcripts may have distinct functions in heart tissue. The tissue expression patterns of genes can somehow imply their corresponding functions. The high expression of *USF1* in kidney suggested that the gene might have important functions related to diabetic kidney disease [10]. The expression in backfat indicated that it may be related to lipid metabolism. The expression in ovary and uterus implied that the gene might have

Table 3 Alleles frequencies of the polymorphic site located within intron 10 in different pig breeds

Breed	Number of pigs	Genotype frequencies			Allele frequencies	
		CC	CT	TT	C	T
Yorkshire	37	37	0	0	1	0
Landrace	43	35	3	5	0.8488	0.1512
Meishan	39	7	2	30	0.2051	0.7949
Tongcheng	23	3	2	18	0.1739	0.8261

Table 4 Association analysis of porcine *USFI* genotypes with carcass traits

Traits	Genotype ($\mu \pm SE$)			Genetic effect ($\mu \pm SE$)	
	CC ($n=88$)	CT ($n=208$)	TT($n=125$)	Additive	Dominance
LMP(%)	55.380 \pm 0.460 ^A	56.138 \pm 0.357 ^B	54.595 \pm 0.343 ^A	-0.355 \pm 0.292	-0.568 \pm 0.233**
RLP	2.579 \pm 0.089 ^a	2.762 \pm 0.069 ^b	2.569 \pm 0.066 ^a	0.006 \pm 0.058	-0.092 \pm 0.046*
DP	71.441 \pm 0.595 ^a	72.026 \pm 0.460 ^b	72.855 \pm 0.442 ^b	0.689 \pm 0.374*	0.044 \pm 0.298
ABT	2.448 \pm 0.045 ^a	2.491 \pm 0.047 ^{ab}	2.508 \pm 0.061 ^b	0.046 \pm 0.027*	0.017 \pm 0.030
LEH	8.472 \pm 0.094 ^A	8.365 \pm 0.130 ^B	8.401 \pm 0.168 ^B	-0.399 \pm 0.155**	-0.208 \pm 0.123*
LEW	4.779 \pm 0.108 ^a	4.761 \pm 0.140 ^a	4.696 \pm 0.078 ^b	-0.314 \pm 0.132**	0.107 \pm 0.105
LEA	31.295 \pm 0.397 ^A	30.765 \pm 0.414 ^A	29.295 \pm 0.533 ^B	-0.746 \pm 0.332*	-0.614 \pm 0.265*

LMP lean meat percentage, RLF ratio of lean to fat, DP dress percentage, ABT average backfat thickness, LEH, loin eye height, LEW, loin eye width, LEA loin eye area

Significant levels between the genotype classes a, b, and an asterisk (*) indicates significant difference at $P < 0.05$ level; A, B, and a double asterisk (**) indicates significant difference at $P < 0.01$ level

important functions related to reproductive capacity of pigs. To explain these tissue expression differences explicitly, further research based on these primary results is needed.

In humans, *USFI* gene was mapped to HSA1q22-q23 [3]. With the use of comparative mapping, the porcine *USFI* gene was mapped to SSC4q. The first quantitative trait locus (QTL) for fatness and growth, denoted *FAT1*, has previously been detected on pig SSC4q using a Large White–wild boar intercross [21, 22]. QTLs for fat deposition and growth located on SSC4 has also been found in other crosses, e.g., Chinese Meishan vs. Large White [23, 24], Iberian vs. Landrace [25, 26] as well as in crosses of commercial populations [27]. Our statistical analysis also indicated that the polymorphic site in this gene had a significant correlation with carcass traits. Allele T seemed to be associated with increase of fat deposition traits such as average backfat thickness, but decrease of traits related to muscle traits such as lean meat percentage and loin eye area. Therefore, allele C presented positive and desirable effects on muscle growth traits, but negative on the fat deposition traits. As allele C was predominant in western commercial pigs and present as the low frequency allele in Chinese indigenous pigs, the effects of allele were also consistent with the breed differences. Therefore, porcine *USFI* gene may be an important candidate gene of growth and carcass traits and the association results in our study indicated that the SNP may simply be used as genetic markers linking to quantitative trait loci with effects on carcass traits.

In summary, we have isolated and characterized the porcine *USFI*. Data presented here provide biochemical and structural bases for future studies on porcine *USFI* function and will potentially lead to a better understanding of porcine *USFI*.

Acknowledgments This study was supported financially by National Natural Science Foundation of China (31201791), the Science Foundation for Young Scholars of Hubei Academy of Agricultural Sciences (2012NKYJJ10), Hubei Key Laboratory of Animal Embryo and Molecular Breeding (2012ZD143), (2013ZD102), the Public Welfare Scientific Research Project of Hubei Province (2012DBA21), Hubei Innovation Center of Agricultural Sciences and Technology(2011-620-001-003), National Science and Technology Support Project (2011BAD28B01), National Live Pig Industry Technology System (CARS-36).

References

1. Gregor, P. D., Sawadogo, M., & Roeder, R. G. (1990). *Genes & Development*, *4*, 1730–1740.
2. Siritto, M., Walker, S., Lin, Q., Kozlowski, M., Klein, W., & Sawadogo, M. (1992). *Gene Expression*, *2*, 231–240.
3. Shieh, B. H., Sparkes, R. S., Gaynor, R. B., & Luskis, A. J. (1993). *Genomics*, *16*, 266–268.
4. Lee, J. C., Luskis, A. J., & Pajukanta, P. (2006). *Current Opinion in Lipidology*, *17*, 101–109.
5. Pajukanta, P., Lilja, H. E., Sinsheimer, J. S., Cantor, R. M., Luskis, A. J., Gentile, M., et al. (2004). *Nature Genetics*, *36*, 371–376.
6. Komulainen, K., Alanne, M., Auro, K., Kilpikari, R., Pajukanta, P., Saarela, J., et al. (2006). *PLoS Genetics*, *2*, e69.
7. Coon, H., Xin, Y., Hopkins, P. N., Cawthon, R. M., Hasstedt, S. J., & Hunt, S. C. (2005). *Human Genetics*, *117*, 444–451.
8. Hoffstedt, J., Rydén, M., Wahrenberg, H., van Harmelen, V., & Arner, P. (2005). *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, *90*, 5356–5360.
9. Meex, S. J. R., van Vliet-Ostaptchouk, J. V., Van Der Kallen, C. J. H., Van Greevenbroek, M. M. J., Schalkwijk, C. G., Feskens, E. J. M., et al. (2008). *Molecular Genetics and Metabolism*, *94*, 352–355.
10. Sanchez, A. P., Zhao, J. H., You, Y., Declèves, A. E., Diamond-Stanic, M., & Sharma, K. (2011). *American Journal of Physiology. Renal Physiology*, *301*, F271–F279.
11. Yang, X. P., Freeman, L. A., Knapper, C. L., Amar, M. J. A., Remaley, A., Brewer, H. B., Jr., et al. (2002). *Journal of Lipid Research*, *43*, 297–306.
12. Corre, S., & Galibert, M. D. (2005). *Pigment Cell Research*, *18*, 337–348.
13. Terragni, J., Nayak, G., Banerjee, S., Medrano, J. L., Graham, J. R., Brennan, J. F., et al. (2011). *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *286*, 36215–36227.
14. Qiao, M., Wu, H. Y., Li, F. E., Jiang, S. W., Xiong, Y. Z., & Deng, C. Y. (2010). *Molecular Biology Reports*, *37*, 2227–2234.
15. Zuo, B., Xiong, Y., Deng, C., Su, Y., Wang, J., Lei, M., et al. (2005). *Animal Genetics*, *36*, 254–257.
16. Xiong, Y., & Deng, C. (1999). *Principle and method of swine testing (in Chinese)*. Beijing: Agricultural Publishers of P. R. China.
17. Liu, B. H. (1998). *Statistical genomics: linkage, mapping and QTL analysis*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC.
18. Foulkes, N., & Sassone-Corsi, P. (1992). *Cell*, *68*, 411–414.
19. Saito, T., Oishi, T., Yanai, K., Shimamoto, Y., & Fukamizu, A. (2003). *International Journal of Molecular Medicine*, *12*, 161–167.
20. Siritto, M., Lin, Q., Maity, T., & Sawadogo, M. (1994). *Nucleic Acids Research*, *22*, 427–433.
21. Andersson, L., Haley, C. S., Ellegren, H., Knott, S. A., Johansson, M., Andersson, K., et al. (1994). *Science*, *263*, 1771–1774.
22. Knott, S. A., Marklund, L., Haley, C. S., Andersson, K., Davies, W., Ellegren, H., et al. (1998). *Genetics*, *149*, 1069–1080.
23. Bidanel, J. P., Milan, D., Iannuccelli, N., Amigues, Y., Boscher, M. Y., Bourgeois, F., et al. (2001). *Genetics Selection Evolution*, *33*, 289–310.
24. Walling, G., Archibald, A., Cattermole, J., Downing, A., Finlayson, H., Nicholson, D., et al. (1998). *Animal Genetics*, *29*, 415–424.
25. Mercadé, A., Estellé, J., Noguera, J. L., Folch, J. M., Varona, L., Silió, L., et al. (2005). *Mammalian Genome*, *16*, 374–382.
26. Pérez-Enciso, M., Clop, A., Noguera, J., Ovilo, C., Coll, A., Folch, J., et al. (2000). *Journal of Animal Science*, *78*, 2525–2531.
27. Sławińska, A., Siwek, M., Knol, E., Roelofs-Prins, D., Van Wijk, H., Dibbits, B., et al. (2009). *Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics*, *126*, 43–51.