

Development and Characterization of 68 Expressed Sequence Tag Derived Simple Sequence Repeat Markers in the Pacific Oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*

JIE BAI, QI LI¹, RIHAO CONG, WENJING SUN, JUN LIU, AND YANWEI FENG

Fisheries College, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266003, China

The Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*, which was widely distributed along the seashore of China, Korea, and Japan, has been introduced into many countries and become one of the most commercially important species. It has had the highest worldwide production of any cultured aquatic species since 1993; in 2006, world production of this species was 4.6 million metric tons (FAO 2008). Still, Pacific oysters are in an early stage of domestication. Genetic studies, which offer great potential to detect associations between allelic forms of a gene and phenotypes, will accelerate the development of the oyster industry.

For a long time, simple sequence repeats (SSRs) has been widely used for genetic studies (Liu and Cordes 2004). To date, about 214 SSRs were developed in *C. gigas* (Magoulas et al. 1998; Huvet et al. 2000; McGoldrick et al. 2000; Li et al. 2003; Sekino et al. 2003; Yu and Li 2007, 2008; Wang et al. 2008; Qiu et al. 2009a, 2009b; Li et al. 2009; Sauvage et al. 2009), including 119 genomic SSRs and 95 expressed sequence tag derived SSRs (EST-SSRs). These SSR markers provide sufficient resource in this species to evaluate wild and cultured genetic resources, but are still deficient for SSR-based mapping studies, which is necessary for the identification and mapping of quantitative trait loci, marker-assisted selection, positional cloning of genes, and contig assembly (Hubert and Hedgecock 2004). Besides, screening for many more loci will also open new possibilities to implement new approaches such as genome scans and population genomics, which require hundreds of markers to cover the

entire genome of a species under study (Wenne et al. 2007; Hauser and Seeb 2008).

As the isolation and characterization of SSR markers via traditional methods (i.e., the screening of size-fractionated genomic DNA libraries) are costly and time consuming (Squirrell et al. 2003), identification of EST-SSRs has been extensively used as an alternative strategy. ESTs are part of expressed genes, and the EST-SSRs can be considered as Type I markers and used to map genes of known functions, which are more valuable for comparative gene mapping. Moreover, rapid increase in the availability of EST collection provides abundant resources for large amount of SSR markers design.

In this study, we developed and characterized a set of 68 new EST-SSRs for *C. gigas*. Mendelian segregations were tested for 32 of the markers that were polymorphic in the family studied.

Materials and Methods

A total of 23,816 *C. gigas* ESTs from Genebank (July 8, 2008) were downloaded and assembled within contigs by DNASTAR LaserGene 7.0 program (DNASTAR Inc., Madison, WI, USA), which made it more convenient to identify SSRs with a bioinformatics pipeline. The SSRHUNTER program (Li and Wan 2005) was used to search for SSRs within this unigene set. The parameters were set for detection of di-, tri- and tetranucleotide motifs with a minimum of five repeats, respectively. ESTs containing SSRs were annotated using BLASTX and BLASTN tool (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST>). Sequence homology was accepted

¹ Corresponding author.

based on a cut-off E value of 1.0×10^{-8} . Primers flanking EST-SSRs units were designed using the Primer Premier 5.0 program (<http://www.premierbiosoft.com/>).

To screen for polymorphic EST-SSR markers, 30 wild individuals of *C. gigas* were collected from Weihai, Shandong province, China. Genomic DNA was extracted from adductor muscle by standard proteinase K digestion, phenol–chloroform extraction, and DNA precipitation. Polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) were performed in a total of 10 μ L volumes containing 0.25 U *Taq* DNA polymerase (Takara Bio Inc., Otsu, Shiga, Japan), $1\times$ PCR buffer, 0.2 mM dNTP mix, 1 μ M of each primer set, 1.5 mM $MgCl_2$, and about 100 ng template DNA. PCR was performed on a GeneAmp 9700 PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) as follows: 3 min at 94 C, 35 cycles of 1 min denaturation at 94 C, 1 min annealing (annealing temperatures for different primer pairs are described in Table 1) and 1 min extension at 72 C, and an additional 5 min extension at 72 C at the end of the 35 cycles. Allele information associated with each polymorphism was screened on 6% denatured polyacrylamide gels and visualized by silver staining (Bassam et al. 1991). A 10-bp DNA ladder (Invitrogen Co., Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used as a reference marker for allele size determination. The number of alleles, the levels of expected, observed heterozygosity (H_E , H_O), and tests of deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) in EST-SSRs markers were calculated using GENEPOP 4.0 (Rousset 2008). Significant levels were calculated per locus using sequential Bonferroni correction.

To verify the Mendelian inheritance, all polymorphic markers were tested in a full-sib family with two parents and sixty 1-yr-old progeny. Chi-square test was used to measure the goodness-of-fit for expected Mendelian segregation ratios.

Results and Discussion

Sequence assembly generated 14,883 potential unigenes that contain contigs and singletons from all EST sequences, 778 of the unigenes

were found containing SSRs fragments with a proportion of 3.27%. The distribution of the microsatellite unit size proportion was as follows: dinucleotide repeats were the most abundant within *C. gigas* ESTs, accounting for 632 loci (81.2%); tri and tetranucleotide repeats were found in 130 loci (16.7%) and 16 loci (2.1%), respectively. Two hundred of the 778 loci with good and sufficient flanking sequences were selected for primers design and optimization.

Of the 200 primer pairs, 108 (54%) produced single band of the expected size, comprising 68 primer pairs with polymorphic profiles and 40 primer pairs with monomorphic profiles (Table A1, Appendix). Twenty-six primer pairs generated unexpected large size, which was not suitable for genotyping, and 66 primer pairs failed to amplify any product or amplified noisy bands. All the polymorphic loci are different from the published EST-SSRs (Yu and Li 2007, 2008; Wang et al. 2008; Li et al. 2009; Sauvage et al. 2009; Qiu et al. 2009a, 2009b). The presence of introns may be the main reason resulting in failure of PCR amplification. It is not uncommon to find intron as EST is short subsequence of transcribed cDNA. The introns may exist in PCR products, causing it too large to be amplified, or in primer sequences, causing it unable to bind to the DNA template (Wang et al. 2009). The 68 EST sequences were BLAST searched against GenBank. Fifteen ESTs had homology to known genes and predicted proteins from other organisms.

The observed number of alleles ranged from 3 to 13 with an average of 4.9 alleles per locus (Table 1). Observed heterozygosities varied from 0.0333 to 0.9667, whereas expected heterozygosities varied from 0.0655 to 0.9149. Twenty-two of the loci deviated significantly from HWE after a Bonferroni correction. Several factors including lack of random mating, sampling effect, and null alleles may explain the problem (Sekino et al. 2003). Significant linkage disequilibrium was detected among 12 loci (CgF23, CgF62, CgF78, CgF114, CgF142, CgF147, CgL14, CgL15, CgL26, CgL33, CgL40, and CgL51; $P < 0.01$) before sequential Bonferroni correction for

TABLE 1. Characterization of 68 polymorphic EST-derived SSRs for *C. gigas*.

Locus	Accession no.	Repeat sequence	Primer sequences (5' -3')	Size range (bp)	<i>T_a</i> (°C)	<i>N_a</i>	<i>P</i> value	<i>H_O</i>	<i>H_E</i>	Homology	Transferability	
											<i>C. angulata</i>	<i>C. aridensis</i>
CgF11	CU685132	(AT) ₅	F: TTGTTATTGTGACGAGAC R: TAGGATGTTGGATATTTTGC	162–168	52.0	4	0.033	0.9000	0.6780	Unknown	—	—
CgF12	AM865183	(TTG) ₇	F: GTGTTCAATGCAGTCCTTTC R: TATCTCACGGTTTCTCTGTCT	144–160	56.0	9	0.000*	0.0690	0.8336	Unknown	2	2
CgF15	AM865584	(CT) ₅	F: TACATTGCGTTGACTGCG R: TCTGGCTTTTAGGATATAGGAC	112–120	55.0	5	0.312	0.5455	0.6277	Unknown	2	—
CgF22	AM865298	(AT) ₇	F: GGTACGGAATCCAGAAAA R: GAAAATACACCCAGTAAACAG	140–146	53.4	4	0.076	0.6364	0.6753	Unknown	—	—
CgF23	AM863169	(TC) ₅	F: CATTGATACTCGAAAGCGTG R: CAAAGAGCGGAATCTAAGCA	170–227	56.0	4	0.000*	0.0333	0.3226	Unknown	1	1
CgF31	AM860954	(TG) ₅	F: AATACGGCGATACAAAGGG R: CACAATACCCGCTCATCCAAA	231–235	55.6	3	0.100	0.3636	0.5368	Unknown	2	2
CgF36	AM869532	(TA) ₅	F: TTCCTCCAAAGGATGAACAGTC R: AAACAGGATGGGGCAACG	160–166	52.0	4	0.000*	0.4667	0.6525	Unknown	2	3
CgF44	AM865858	(CT) ₅	F: ATGTCATCTAACGCTGTTATTG R: GTTTTGGCGGAAATCTGTA	134–146	54.0	5	0.000*	0.2759	0.5572	DHFR-TS	—	—
CgF49	AM859353	(TG) ₅	F: AGTGGAAAACCAAGTAGAAC R: CAGAGCACGCAAGGATAAT	235–239	55.4	3	0.001	0.2000	0.4994	Unknown	2	—
CgF58	AM858426	(CA) ₅	F: TATTTGTCGCTGCTTCTCC R: AATCGTTTGTAATGTCCACC	155–159	54.7	3	0.002	0.2000	0.4723	MGC69529 protein	3	2
CgF62	CU683493	(AG) ₅	F: GCAACAGTAAATGGGATAATAGA R: CAATGAACATCTAAACTGGCTT	185–205	54.5	4	0.701	0.5517	0.5330	Unknown	—	2
CgF63	AM856593	(AT) ₅	F: ATTTCACCGCTGCTCTTCAT R: AAGTCAGAAATCGTGTTTATG	173–179	53.7	3	0.051	0.6364	0.5411	Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2 precursor	2	2
CgF73	AM857677	(AT) ₅	F: CGTTTGTGATGGTGGAGAA R: GATGGTAGTGGGACTGGTG	202–208	56.2	4	0.117	0.3636	0.5584	Heat shock protein family B, member 11	2	2
CgF78	AM868167	(AC) ₆	F: TTCGCCAATGACGGTTTT R: GCTTCAAAAGGATTCACAGAG	253–277	54.5	3	0.631	0.5172	0.4241	Unknown	—	—
CgF80	EW777716	(AG) ₇	F: CAAGTGAGGGAGTTTTCATT R: GCACCCGACGTAGTCGTAA	131–135	55.5	3	0.072	0.5357	0.5578	Unknown	3	2

TABLE 1. Continued

Locus	Accession no.	Repeat sequence	Primer sequences (5'-3')	Size range (bp)	<i>T_a</i> (C)	<i>N_a</i>	<i>P</i> value	<i>H_O</i>	<i>H_E</i>	Homology	Transferability	
											<i>C. angulata</i>	<i>C. ariakensis</i>
CgF81	AM82918	(TGA) ₅	F: GGGATAGTAGGAGGTTCGC R: AGGGGTGTTCTCTCTCTTTGT	210–214	59.0	3	0.028	0.3333	0.5384	Unknown	—	3
CgF85	AM859465	(GA) ₇ /(AG) ₈	F: GCAGTCAATGTACTCCCTT R: TTCATCATTCACCCCTC	192–212	55.0	11	0.000*	0.1600	0.8939	Unknown	2	1
CgF95	AM859904	(TA) ₇	F: TCATTGTGTGTGGAGGG R: ATCTGGAGGGCTGCTTCA	289–293	56.4	3	1.000	0.3636	0.3247	Unknown	—	1
CgF103	AM861608	(TA) ₅	F: ACATTACTTGGGGGACA R: TGAACGCTGACAGAGGTG	122–128	56.3	4	1.000	0.3667	0.3260	Unknown	2	3
CgF114	AM854045	(TG) ₅	F: ATAAAGTTCCATATCAAAAGAA R: TCAGCACTACAAGGCAGA	191–215	54.5	13	0.006	0.6897	0.7979	Unknown	3	3
CgF116	AM854019	(GT) ₅	F: ATCTGATTTGGTGCCATAGTTG R: TGGAGGGAGTTGTCATTGTG	202–206	56.9	3	0.703	0.6364	0.5065	Unknown	2	2
CgF121	AM853915	(TGC) ₆ N(TGC) ₆	F: CACCAAGGACCATCTGTC R: AGTCCATTCTGAAGTCCAAAG	271–279	58.0	3	0.000*	0.2759	0.4017	Unknown	—	3
CgF132	AM857248	(AC) ₅ (CT) ₆	F: CACTCCGTTGTGTGTAAG R: CGGTGTAATAAGACTCCC	165–171	53.9	4	0.257	0.3636	0.5022	Unknown	1	2
CgF141	AM857850	(AG) ₅	F: CCACCAGAAAAGTAGTCCCC R: CATTTCATCAACCACATTCCCTA	143–147	58.1	3	1.000	0.5455	0.4545	Unknown	—	—
CgF142	AM857834	(TA) ₅	F: GACCAGACAATGCCAAAAG R: CTCCAAAGTCAACATACACCTC	124–146	57.0	10	0.000*	0.3077	0.8884	Unknown	2	4
CgF147	AM857105	(AT) ₅	F: ATGGTCATTGAAGTCCGTC R: GAAAGAATCGGGCTTGTGAA	220–240	57.0	10	0.000*	0.4333	0.7955	D-amino acid oxidase	—	2
CgF149	AM855942	(AGAC) ₅	F: ACGATCCTCTTATCTCT R: TCTTCTTCCGCTCTCTTAT	155–171	53.6	5	0.017	0.5333	0.5870	Unknown	2	3
CgF152	AM855877	(AG) ₅	F: TTTTCACTATCGAGGGTA R: CGCTGGTGTCTTTTATC	181–185	54.0	3	0.000*	0.0385	0.3401	Unknown	—	—
CgF154	AM856294	(AT) ₅	F: GCAACAGCCAATAATGAACAA R: CAAACAACTAAATAAGTCCCAA	272–278	53.8	4	1.000	0.9000	0.6503	Unknown	1	2
CgF157	AM856195	(AAC) ₇	F: CCAATTTCTAAACCCGATGAT R: ATAGTCCGCCGATTCCCT	223–233	56.0	6	0.198	0.6667	0.6658	Unknown	2	—
CgL6	CU681600	(TG) ₅	F: GACGATGCCATTGAAGAT R: TGGTGTGGGGTCTGTAT	196–208	53.8	7	0.006	0.5667	0.8226	Unknown	2	2

TABLE 1. Continued

Locus	Accession no.	Repeat sequence	Primer sequences (5'-3')	Size range (bp)	T_a (C)	N_a	P value	H_0	H_E	Homology	Transferability	
											<i>C. angulata</i>	<i>C. aridensis</i>
CgL10	AM861996	(GT) ₅	F: GCTGTGATTCTGGCAATT R: AGTGGAGTCCCTTCCTTTA	279–285	54.1	4	1.000	0.9667	0.6068	Eukaryotic translation initiation factor	1	1
CgL11	AM862282	(TA) ₅	F: ATGCCCATAAAAACAAGAA R: GCAACAGCAATCCCTAAA	214–226	51.0	7	0.000*	0.5000	0.7559	Unknown	2	2
CgL12	AM859900	(TA) ₇	F: TCCTTCTCCCGCTCTTT R: AGCAATACTACAAATCCAATCT	181–191	54.0	7	0.000*	0.6667	0.7288	Unknown	—	—
CgL13	CU683312	(GA) ₅	F: TCCACATTCATTCCAG R: TTCGTAAAGTTTCCGTCCT	339–347	54.3	5	0.754	0.4667	0.4503	Unknown	—	—
CgL14	CU684615	(AT) ₅	F: ATTAGGAAAGGAAACCA R: TCTGAAATAACGGAAGCA	160–168	50.5	3	0.000*	0.8667	0.5492	Unknown	2	1
CgL15	AM859269	(TG) ₆	F: ATGTAATCGCAATGCTCCT R: CAACCTGTAAACCCATAAAC	199–205	53.2	4	0.040	0.2667	0.5435	Unknown	2	1
CgL17	AM855244	(AT) ₆	F: GCATTGGACCGAGTGAT R: ATTGTAGCGAGGTATTGTTG	390–394	54.6	3	1.000	0.5333	0.4249	Unknown	3	2
CgL18	AM860081	(AT) ₆	F: GGTGTGATTTTAGCCCA R: GCGTCCTAATAAATACCAGTT	110–120	53.4	6	0.001	0.2667	0.4734	Unknown	—	—
CgL21	CU681592	(AC) ₅ A(AC) ₅	F: TGGCTGTCAATCAATAAAT R: CTCCTGCTACTGAGTCTTC	154–158	54.7	3	0.020	0.4333	0.6266	Unknown	—	3
CgL23	CU684689	(AC) ₅	F: AAACATGGGTAGGGAGG R: CGTGGAGAAAGGGAAACT	316–330	57.5	3	0.764	0.4286	0.3604	Unknown	2	1
CgL26	AM857700	(TG) ₅	F: TGATGATTATTCCTGGTCG R: ATAGAGTAAAGTCAATGTCGC	343–351	52.0	5	0.717	0.7241	0.6636	Unknown	1	—
CgL27	EE677439	(TA) ₅	F: TACAAGGTGAATGAGAAAGA R: GAGCTGACAATAACAATAAGA	311–315	54.3	3	0.133	0.4545	0.4978	Unknown	—	—
CgL29	AM853963	(TA) ₆	F: AGGGTTGAGCAAGCAAAAT R: GCCGATAACACTGGAAGC	361–365	55.0	3	0.307	0.7273	0.5152	Unknown	—	3
CgL30	AM863302	(TCC) ₅	F: CCCAGATACGGACAGCAG R: TTATCGGTCTGGGAACAGG	324–330	58.5	3	0.578	0.6667	0.6672	ETS-family transcription factor	—	1
CgL33	EW778247	(TA) ₅	F: GGAAAGCCAACTGACATAG R: CTCCAAATCTGAACGAAAA	195–203	55.0	5	0.000*	0.0667	0.5446	Unknown	2	2

TABLE 1. Continued

Locus	Accession no.	Repeat sequence	Primer sequences (5'-3')	Size range (bp)	T _a (C)	N _a	P value	H _O	H _E	Homology	Transferability	
											<i>C. angulata</i>	<i>C. ariakensis</i>
CgL35	EW778969	(TA) ₅	F: ATTTTCCTGCCTACCAAGC R: GCAAGTCATTTTCCACC	164–174	55.0	5	0.000*	0.2500	0.7367	Unknown	—	—
CgL40	EW777557	(TC) ₁₃ C(CT) ₇	F: CAAATAATGGGATAAAGG R: TTGATTTCCCAAAACTGC	207–219	52.5	7	0.000*	0.8621	0.8125	Unknown	2	2
CgL43	EW778377	(CT) ₂₄ (CA) ₈	F: ACTGATGCCGACTTCCTC R: ACCGCTAAATACATACAAACTG	181–227	55.0	14	0.000*	0.5000	0.9149	Unknown	—	2
CgL44	EW779405	(CAT) ₅	F: AAACCCATTACCAACAGCC R: GAAGCATAGACAAGAAAGACG	245–257	55.5	5	1.000	0.5455	0.4719	cAMP responsive element binding protein	—	—
CgL45	EW779289	(TGGA) ₆	F: TCAATGAAGCGACACCTG R: AAGTCAGCGAATATTACACC	175–197	54.6	4	0.000*	0.2333	0.6175	Unknown	—	—
CgL46	EW779136	(AG) ₁₄	F: TGTCAATCCCATTTGCTT R: AGAGCTTTTGCTGCTTTCC	230–242	53.1	7	0.111	0.6667	0.8057	Unknown	—	—
CgL50	CU684921	(AAG) ₁₄ (GAT) ₅	F: TGTCTTTACCTTCTCCTC R: TTTCTGTGTCCTTTTGT	219–231	55.3	5	0.597	0.6364	0.6883	Hypothetical protein	—	—
CgL51	CU684705	(GA) ₇	F: GCTTCAGCACAGAAATCGC R: AAAGGAGGACAGGGGACT	149–159	57.0	6	0.770	0.6333	0.5492	Unknown	2	3
CgL52	CU684064	(GA) ₅	F: CGTATCCCGAGTTTGCT R: GAGCCCGTTCATTCCTTG	153–159	57.0	4	0.000*	0.2000	0.6653	FAT tumor suppressor homolog 4 precursor	2	1
CgL54	CU682812	(CG) ₅	F: TCATTGGCAACATGGTTA R: TGATAGAGGAAAGACGGATA	210–218	52.0	4	0.000*	0.1111	0.5332	GTPase RHO1	1	1
CgL58	CU682999	(ACT) ₅	F: GAGAACTGCTCTGAATC R: TGCCTGGTAAAAGTGAGC	201–267	55.0	7	0.000*	0.4828	0.7744	Unknown	1	1
CgL60	CU683624	(AT) ₅	F: TGATAAACGGCATCAACCT R: TCCGCTTCCTTTTCCATA	175–183	54.0	5	0.000*	0.2333	0.6435	Unknown	—	—
CgL61	CU682210	(CAC) ₅	F: CGACACCTGCCATGACCA R: ATAATCCCATCCTGACCGT	178–183	57.5	3	1.000	0.0667	0.0655	Unknown	3	3
CgL64	CU681748	(GTA) ₆	F: TCTGGCTGAAACAGGTAAA R: TCCGCTAGAACATAGGAATA	283–298	53.5	6	1.000	0.4333	0.3774	Rhodopsin kinase	1	2
CgL70	AM868574	(GT) ₅	F: TTCCAAAGTTCTTAGCCACA R: AACCCCGTCCAAAGTAGTC	202–208	55.0	4	0.016	0.3793	0.5729	DNA ligase I	3	3

TABLE 1. Continued

Locus	Accession no.	Repeat sequence	Primer sequences (5'-3')	Size range (bp)	T_a (C)	N_a	P value	H_O	H_E	Homology	Transferability	
											<i>C. angulata</i>	<i>C. aridensis</i>
CgL73	AM868143	(GA) ₅ N(ATG) ₆	F: TTTCGTTTTCGTTGCTTG R: TTCATTGTCGTCCTGCTGGT	273–277	53.0	3	0.392	0.3000	0.3203	Unknown	—	1
CgL76	AM866440	(TG) ₅	F: CCCGCTATTTCATCATCT R: ACACAAATTTCACCTCTGGT	274–284	53.0	6	0.021	0.6667	0.6486	Unknown	—	—
CgL78	AM865011	(AT) ₅	F: CGAAATCTATGAGAACAGGTA R: AGGTTTATGAAAGGCAGA	112–118	52.6	4	0.211	0.6333	0.6593	Reverse transcriptase	2	—
CgL80	AM867943	(AG) ₁₆	F: TGTCGTTTACACCTCTCGTT R: CTGTCTTGTGTAAGCCCAT	331–341	55.4	6	0.521	0.5455	0.7229	Unknown	4	2
CgL91	AM862985	(AG) ₅	F: GGACTGGTGGCAGAAGATG R: CGATAGCAGGGAGGATGAT	140–146	58.5	4	0.572	0.4483	0.4259	Unknown	3	3
CgL93	AM863848	(TG) ₅	F: TACCCCGACGGTTACTCC R: GCCTTCGTGATGTTTCCTG	131–137	58.6	3	0.604	0.4545	0.4502	Hypothetical protein	—	—
CgL95	AM863517	(TG) ₅	F: CAAATACGCCACCTCAAT R: GCATCCGTGTTATCCAAAC	235–247	53.9	7	0.000*	0.3333	0.8407	Unknown	2	1

EST-SSR = expressed sequence tag derived simple sequence repeat; T_a = annealing temperature; N_a = number of alleles; H_O = observed heterozygosity; H_E = expected heterozygosity.

*Indicates significant departure ($P < 0.05$) from expected Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium conditions after correction for multiple tests ($k = 68$).

TABLE 2. Segregation analysis of 32 *C. gigas* EST-SSRs in a full-sib family.

Locus	Sire	Dam	Genotypes of progeny	Expected ratio	Observed ratio	<i>P</i> value
CgF12	BN	AN	AB:AN:BN:NN	1:1:1:1	26:12:20:2	0.0000*
CgF23	AA	BN	AB:AN	1:1	23:32	0.2249
CgF31	BB	AB	AB:BB	1:1	42:18	0.0019
CgF49	AB	AB	AA:AB:BB	1:2:1	0:60:0	0.0000*
CgF62	AA	AB	AA:AB	1:1	29:28	0.8946
CgF78	AB	AA	AB:AA	1:1	30:32	0.7995
CgF81	AB	BB	AB:BB	1:1	20:35	0.0431
CgF85	AB	AC	AA:AB:AC:BC	1:1:1:1	7:20:16:17	0.0993
CgF121	AB	AB	AA:AB:BB	1:2:1	0:60:0	0.0000*
CgF141	AN	BN	AN:BN:AB:NN	1:1:1:1	19:8:29:4	0.0000*
CgF142	AN	BN	AN:BN:AB:NN	1:1:1:1	14:20:26:0	0.0000*
CgF147	AA	AB	AA:AB	1:1	24:36	0.1213
CgF149	AB	AB	AA:AB:BB	1:2:1	15:34:11	0.4493
CgL11	AB	AB	AA:AB:BB	1:2:1	11:34:15	0.4493
CgL15	AB	AA	AB:AA	1:1	20:40	0.0098
CgL17	BB	AB	AB:BB	1:1	26:34	0.3017
CgL18	AB	BN	AB:AN:BB+BN	1:1:2	15:15:30	1.0000
CgL21	AB	AB	AA:AB:BB	1:2:1	0:60:0	0.0000*
CgL30	AN	AN	AA+AN:NN	3:1	54:6	0.0073
CgL33	AB	AB	AA:AB:BB	1:2:1	3:41:17	0.0011
CgL35	AB	BB	AB:BB	1:1	41:19	0.0045
CgL40	BN	AN	AN:BN:AB:NN	1:1:1:1	14:21:18:7	0.0620
CgL43	AC	BC	AB:AC:BC:CC	1:1:1:1	23:17:15:5	0.0107
CgL45	AN	AN	AA+AN:NN	3:1	35:25	0.0029
CgL46	AN	BN	AN:BN:AB:NN	1:1:1:1	8:11:6:35	0.0000*
CgL58	AB	AB	AA:AB:BB	1:2:1	0:60:0	0.0000*
CgL60	BN	AB	AB:BB+BN:AN	1:2:1	21:37:3	0.0016
CgL61	AB	AB	AA:AB:BB	1:2:1	0:60:0	0.0000*
CgL78	AB	AA	AB:AA	1:1	35:25	0.1967
CgL91	AB	AB	AA:AB:BB	1:2:1	0:30:0	0.0000*
CgL93	AB	AB	AA:AB:BB	1:2:1	8:40:12	0.0273
CgL95	AB	BN	AB:AN:BB+BN	1:1:2	31:9:20	0.0000*

*Significant deviation ($P < 0.05$) from expected Mendelian ratios after Bonferroni correction ($k = 32$). *N* represents inferred null alleles.

multiple tests (Rice 1989), however, only two pairwise combinations of four loci (CgF114 and CgF147, CgL40, and CgL51) were significant after correction. Cross-species amplification was examined in two other *Crassostrea* species, including *C. angulata* and *Crassostrea ariakensis*. *C. angulata* was collected from Fujian province in China, whereas *C. ariakensis* was sampled from Shandong province in China. Six individuals of each species were used for the examination of the transferability of the 68 EST-SSRs. Fifty primer sets amplified successfully at least one species, with 40 *C. angulata* and 45 *C. ariakensis*.

All the 68 polymorphic EST-SSRs were analyzed in one *C. gigas* family with 60 progeny

produced by single-pair mating in 2008. Thirty-six loci were monomorphic (AA $\times$ AA genotype) and thus resulted in offspring identical to the parents. The remaining 32 loci were polymorphic and segregated in the family. Genotypic frequencies in the parents and offspring at each of 32 loci were shown in Table 2. Eleven loci showed unexpected progeny phenotypes that were best explained by null alleles (16.2%). The frequency of null alleles is lower compared to genomic SSRs in the *C. gigas* (McGoldrick et al. 2000; Li et al. 2003). Null alleles are in high frequency in SSRs because of the extremely high level of polymorphism likely located just in flanking region to which PCR primers are designed to anneal (Pemberton

et al. 1995). Presence of null alleles may lead to compound results in population genetic studies, but they would be useful for mapping studies and pedigree analysis when controlled crosses were performed (Li and Kijima 2005). In this study, 32.4% of the 68 loci significantly deviating from HWE might result from the existence of null alleles.

Although null alleles were accounted for, 11 loci showed significant deviation from Mendelian ratios after Bonferroni correction. Similar phenomenon was reported in this species in previous literature (McGoldrick et al. 2000). Zygotic viability selection appears to result in departures from Mendelian ratios. Launey and Hedgecock (2001) demonstrated experimentally that high genetic load with resulting strong zygotic selection during the larval stage was the cause of segregation distortion in the Pacific oyster. By genotyping progeny at 6 h after fertilization and then 2–3 mo later,

they confirmed that segregation distortion was minimal at the early zygote stage and increased during development, supporting the theory that some of the microsatellite alleles were selected against because of their linkage to highly deleterious fitness gene alleles (Reece et al. 2004).

In conclusion, 68 new SSRs were successfully developed from EST in the Pacific oyster. Although some of the EST-SSRs have low levels of polymorphism, most of them are moderately polymorphic and segregate in Mendelian ratios. They should be useful for genome mapping and population genetics studies.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the grants from National High Technology Research and Development Program (2006AA10A409), 973 Program (2010CB126406) and Chinese Ministry of Education (707041).

Appendix

TABLE A1. *Primer sequences, repeat types and annealing temperatures for the EST-SSRs generating monomorphic profiles and larger than expected PCR products.*

Locus	Accession no.	Repeat sequence	Primer sequences (5'–3')	T _a (C)	Amplification
CgF1	AM855712	(AC) ₅	F: TTTCGTATTCGGGGTGTA R: CCTTTTCTTCTTTTCGCTTT	51.9	Monomorphic
CgF10	AM865741	(TG) ₅	F: CTGTGGCTGTCGTGTCTC R: CCTTTGGTCAGTTGAAGTATCT	58.0	Monomorphic
CgF16	AM865592	(GA) ₅	F: CAGAGGCATTATTCTTGGAC R: CTTGTGGGTGTATGAGGTG	56.8	Monomorphic
CgF18	AM867733	(AT) ₅	F: CGCCAGGTTTTAATGTGATA R: GCCAGTGAAGAACGGGAC	56.6	Monomorphic
CgF19	AM869565	(AG) ₆	F: TTTTGTATGATGTTAGTGATTGC R: GGTAAGGTGGGCTGGTCT	56.3	Monomorphic
CgF20	EW779017	(GA) ₅	F: GAGCAAGAGGAAGCAGAGA R: CTTTGAGCTAAGTCGGTGTC	57.7	Monomorphic
CgF21	EE677618	(TA) ₆	F: TGTGAGGAGGTATGTGGACTG R: TATCTTTGGCGACTTTCTTTT	56.1	Monomorphic
CgF28	AM863328	(TA) ₅	F: CTAAACAGTCGGAAGTCGT R: TGATTACCACAGCAACCAT	54.5	Monomorphic
CgF38	EW779419	(TA) ₇	F: TGATTGCTTGAAGGTTTA R: ACATTTTGCCATCCAGTT	49.7	Monomorphic
CgF43	AM863801	(TG) ₅	F: GAAACCGTGGAAGGTAGG R: ACAGGTATTTGGTGAGTTGAA	55.9	Monomorphic
CgF48	AM857705	(TA) ₅	F: TACAATGTTTACGACCCAA R: ATGAAGTGACTGTGATAGAAAGA	53.2	Monomorphic
CgF51	AM853440	(AT) ₅	F: TAAGAGCATTTATCAGTTTCACC R: AAACATACTCAAGCAATAGGAAG	54.8	Monomorphic

TABLE A1. *Continued*

Locus	Accession no.	Repeat sequence	Primer sequences (5'–3')	<i>T_a</i> (C)	Amplification
CgF53	AM858587	(AT) ₅	F: AACTACATTCCAATACAGCAA R: AGTCTCCGTAAACACTCAAAC	54.1	Monomorphic
CgF66	AM861741	(AT) ₅	F: AGAATGACCGAAGCAAAA R: TTCCCCATAATCTAACGAG	51.9	Monomorphic
CgF68	AM858504	(TA) ₅	F: ATGGATACGGATACAAGAAGGA R: AAATGAGGCATCACAAAATAAA	53.6	Monomorphic
CgF74	AM857638	(TG) ₅	F: AAATGTTGTCAAGGGGTAGTA R: TTCAGCATAGATAGATTAGTTCAG	54.6	Monomorphic
CgF87	AM865354	(AT) ₅	F: AAAACTTCGCTGTCTCCG R: TAGCATTCAGTAGGTGGGC	56.3	Monomorphic
CgF88	AM859618	(AG) ₆ (AG) ₅	F: CCTCCATCTAAAGTGAGCAAA R: CTGTCTGTCTAAGTCCCTGAA	57.0	Monomorphic
CgF90	AM869426	(AG) ₇ (AG) ₁₀	F: GTCAGGAAAAGAAACCAACA R: AAACGCAATCCGTATCAAC	53.5	Monomorphic
CgF92	EW778818	(AAG) ₁₁ (GAT) ₅	F: CCTTTACCTTCCTGCTCG R: TCATTTTCGTGGTCCCCTTT	55.0	Monomorphic
CgF93	CU685019	(CT) ₅	F: TTTTACTGATGGCTGGGAC R: CCGCTTTATTATTGAAACTGA	53.8	Monomorphic
CgF98	AM860611	(GT) ₅	F: CAAGTTGTGAGTGCCTGTAT R: CGTATGTATGATTCTGTGGC	55.6	Monomorphic
CgF99	CU684663	(TA) ₅	F: ACTTTTGAGGGTGGACTTG R: GCCTAATGCTGGTTCCTATCT	55.6	Monomorphic
CgF101	AM857068	(GA) ₂₇	F: ACATAGGGGACCAACAAAACC R: ATTGAACATTTTAGCAAGCACTG	56.4	Monomorphic
CgF106	AM861541	(TA) ₅	F: CCAGCAACACTGATGAGGA R: CTTCTTACTGACACCAAAACCC	57.8	Monomorphic
CgL4	AM857547	(GA) ₅	F: GTGATGTGGGAGGTGGAT R: CCTGCTCGTGGTAGATTTT	56.3	Monomorphic
CgL7	AM866818	(GAT) ₅ N(GAT) ₅	F: AGCCCAGACAGCAACAGC R: CGTAATCCCCAGGGTCATA	58.6	Monomorphic
CgL8	AM860740	(TG) ₆	F: ATGTAAGCCCCTTCCTATC R: ATTCTCAAGCCAAACAGATAC	54.7	Monomorphic
CgL16	AM864202	(TG) ₅	F: TGGCGTCTACAAGGAGGT R: CTGTCCACTCGCTCAGATT	57.4	Monomorphic
CgL42	EW779482	(AT) ₆	F: GCGTGTCTCGTGGTGTAGT R: GCAACCAAGCAGTAGCCT	58.4	Monomorphic
CgL48	EW778589	(AG) ₆ N(TAC) ₆	F: TTTGGACCCCATACAGAA R: CGTTACCACCAGACAGGA	55.0	Monomorphic
CgL71	AM866066	(GT) ₅	F: ATGAATGCGGGAAGGTGT R: GTAGCCATAGTGTCTGGTCTG	57.5	Monomorphic
CgL77	AM867538	(TA) ₅	F: TGCTGATGGCTGTAGTGAT R: CCGACCGTAATTTCTCAA	54.3	Monomorphic
CgL79	AM867965	(TGA) ₅	F: AAAACTGGCGATTCTAAAC R: CGATGACAGGATTGATGC	53.1	Monomorphic
CgL81	AM868226	(AT) ₅	F: GGCAGATTACTACGGCTT R: CGTCAGACGTTACAGTTTAT	54.8	Monomorphic
CgL83	AM864076	(TTA) ₅	F: TTGTTCCAGCAAGTCATT R: CTGGGAAAGTTGTTCTCAT	51.9	Monomorphic
CgL84	AM862311	(TTA) ₅	F: AGCAGCAGATAAGGGTGG R: CTTTTCAGTTCCTGTTTGG	55.5	Monomorphic
CgL88	AM859001	(AG) ₅	F: CCCCAGATTATGGACTTACT R: TGATGTTATCGTGGACTATGT	54.9	Monomorphic
CgL89	AM859691	(AT) ₅	F: AGTGCTGCCGATGTATTT R: TTCTGCTGTACGCTTTTCT	53.0	Monomorphic

TABLE A1. *Continued*

Locus	Accession no.	Repeat sequence	Primer sequences (5′–3′)	T _a (C)	Amplification
CgL94	AM863555	(TA) ₅	F: TAATGGGAAAACCCGAAAC R: GACCCCTAACCCCTGGAACT	56.5	Monomorphic
CgF16	AM865592	(GA) ₅	F: CAGAGGCATTATTCTTGGAC R: CTTTGTGGGTGTATGAGGTG	56.5	Unexpected
CgF39	EW778358	(AG) ₅	F: ATACCATCCATAACAACCGA R: ACTACAAAATGCTCCTCACA	54.5	Unexpected
CgF61	AM856912	(GA) ₅	F: ACCAAGCCCTACAGTGAG R: TCTTCGGTTTTTGTTCAG	55.0	Unexpected
CgF115	AM854041	(GT) ₅	F: ACACITCAATCCAACCTCGTCA R: GGCTGCTCCTCCAATAACA	56.5	Unexpected
CgF117	AM858298	(TAA) ₅	F: TCACGATGGCTGAAAATC R: TTTACCCCTTTCTTTCTGATGG	54.5	Unexpected
CgF131	AM855797	(AG) ₅	F: CAGAGCCAGTTCCGTAGTGT R: CTTCCAGATCCTTGTTGAGC	59.0	Unexpected
CgF135	AM865095	(AG) ₅	F: TTGGATTGATAAATGTCGG R: AGTAGATGGGGACTTTGATGT	53.5	Unexpected
CgF136	AM860899	(GT) ₅	F: AAAACAAATATGGCGACG R: GAACAAGGGCTGCTGAAC	52.5	Unexpected
CgL1	AM866018	(GA) ₅	F: GAAACCAAGACAATACCGTG R: CCCGACCCCTAACTCCTA	54.0	Unexpected
CgL5	AM858438	(AC) ₅	F: TAAAGACATACGGAGACACAACA R: GGACCAGAAACGATGAAACA	55.5	Unexpected
CgL9	AM858527	(GA) ₆	F: GTCAGGAGTCGGCAATCA R: CTGTCTCGGCTGTCTTCAA	54.0	Unexpected
CgL19	AM855565	(AC) ₅	F: GCTTCCGCATAACAACCTG R: CTCGCTTCATTTGGTCTC	51.0	Unexpected
CgL22	AM859098	(GAA) ₅ (GAT) ₅	F: TGAGGATGCTAAACCCAG R: TGCCATTGTTGATTGACT	51.0	Unexpected
CgL34	EW778058	(AAC) ₆	F: GGCGTAACTGTTGGTGGA R: CTGACCGCTTAGTCGTGC	54.0	Unexpected
CgL36	EW778928	(GGC) ₉	F: CGGTGACAATCAAAACAGG R: TTTCCAGCATTGGTAAGAGTA	53.5	Unexpected
CgL37	EW779216	(AGG) ₅	F: GTCCTATCTCCCTCGTCT R: TCCAGCCATCCTGTTGAA	53.5	Unexpected
CgL47	EW779077	(GA) ₅	F: GAGTATGGCTTGCTGTGTC R: GGCGATTCTCTTGTAGTA	50.0	Unexpected
CgL56	CU683135	(GCA) ₅	F: GAAAACGGCATCAACTACA R: CCTTCTCGTGGAGACCTG	52.0	Unexpected
CgL65	AM869154	(AG) ₅	F: ATTCGGTATTCGGGTGAC R: CTGGGATTTTCTTCTGTTT	53.0	Unexpected
CgL67	AM868743	(GAG) ₅	F: TTGGAGGACCGAAGTAAG R: CTGTTGGTGGTTGTAGGAG	53.0	Unexpected
CgL72	AM866491	(GAT) ₆	F: CACAAGTGGCTCTGAACAA R: CTTTTGAATCTGTATGGGTTT	51.5	Unexpected
CgL75	AM864601	(AAG) ₅	F: AAATGGGAAACCAGCAAG R: CATCTATTATCTCCTCCAGAGTG	53.0	Unexpected
CgL86	AM858654	(GA) ₅ N(CAT) ₅	F: AAATTCTACCCTTGCTTCA R: TTTTGTCTTTGGTCCTCTT	49.5	Unexpected
CgL87	AM859055	(TC) ₅	F: GTGCTCTTCGGTGATGGA R: TTGTGGCTTAATGGTGGAT	53.5	Unexpected
CgL90	AM862244	(ATG) ₅	F: TTTTAGGCGGAGATAGTGA R: CAATTTGGAGCAGCAGATA	52.0	Unexpected
CgL92	AM863863	(TG) ₅	F: ACTTGCGTGCGAGTTCTGT R: CGGCATGTTTCCCTCT	57.0	Unexpected

EST = expressed sequence tag derived simple sequence repeat; PCR = polymerase chain reaction.

Literature Cited

- Bassam, B. J., G. Caetano-Anollés, and P. M. Gresshoff.** 1991. Fast and sensitive silver staining of DNA in polyacrylamide gels. *Analytical Biochemistry* 196: 80–83.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization).** 2008. World aquaculture production of fish, crustaceans, molluscs, etc., by principal species. Accessed October 20, 2009, at [ftp://ftp.fao.org/fi/stat/summary/default.htm](http://ftp.fao.org/fi/stat/summary/default.htm).
- Hauser, L. and J. E. Seeb.** 2008. Advances in molecular technology and their impact on fisheries genetics. *Fish and Fisheries* 9:473–486.
- Hubert, S. and D. Hedgecock.** 2004. Linkage maps of microsatellite DNA markers for the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. *Genetics* 168:351–362.
- Huvet, A., P. Boudry, M. Ohresser, C. Delsert, and F. Bonhomme.** 2000. Variable microsatellites in the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* and other cupped oyster species. *Animal Genetics* 31:71–72.
- Launey, S. and D. Hedgecock.** 2001. High genetic load in the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. *Genetics* 159:255–265.
- Li, Q. and A. Kijima.** 2005. Segregation of microsatellite alleles in gynogenetic diploid Pacific abalone (*Haliotis discus hannai*). *Marine Biotechnology* 7:669–676.
- Li, Q. and J. M. Wan.** 2005. SSRHUNTER: development of a local searching software for SSR sites. *Hereditas* 27:808–810.
- Li, G., S. Hubert, K. Bucklin, V. Ribes, and D. Hedgecock.** 2003. Characterization of 79 microsatellite DNA markers in the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 3:228–232.
- Li, Q., S. K. Liu, and L. F. Kong.** 2009. Microsatellites within genes and ESTs of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* and their transferability in five other *Crassostrea* species. *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology* 12:3.
- Liu, Z. J. and J. F. Cordes.** 2004. DNA marker technologies and their applications in aquaculture genetics. *Aquaculture* 238:1–37.
- Magoulas, A., B. Ghjetvaj, V. Terzoglou, and E. Zouros.** 1998. Three polymorphic microsatellites in the Japanese oyster, *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg). *Animal Genetics* 29:69–70.
- McGoldrick, D. J., D. Hedgecock, L. J. English, P. Baoprasertkul, and R. D. Ward.** 2000. The transmission of microsatellite alleles in Australian and north American stocks of the Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*): selection and null alleles. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 19:779–788.
- Pemberton, J. M., J. Slate, D. R. Bancroft, and J. A. Barrett.** 1995. Nonamplifying alleles at microsatellite loci: a caution for parentage and population studies. *Molecular Ecology* 4:249–252.
- Qiu, X. M., S. Z. Liu, X. L. Wang, and X. Y. Meng.** 2009a. Eight SSR loci from oyster *Crassostrea gigas* EST database and cross-species amplification in *C. plicatula*. *Conservation Genetics* 10:1013–1015.
- Qiu, X. M., X. Li, S. Z. Liu, X. L. Wang, and X. Y. Meng.** 2009b. Eleven polymorphic simple sequence repeat markers from expressed sequence tags of Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* EST database. *Conservation Genetics* 10:1773–1775.
- Reece, K. S., W. L. Ribeiro, P. M. Gaffney, R. B. Carnegie, and S. K. Allen.** 2004. Microsatellite marker development and analysis in the eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*): Confirmation of null alleles and non-Mendelian segregation ratios. *Journal of Heredity* 95:346–352.
- Rice, W. R.** 1989. Analyzing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution* 43:223–225.
- Rousset, F.** 2008. GENEPOP'007: a complete re-implementation of the GENEPOP software for Windows and Linux. *Molecular Ecology Resource* 8:103–106.
- Sauvage, C., P. Boudry, and S. Lapègue.** 2009. Identification and characterization of 18 novel polymorphic microsatellite makers derived from expressed sequence tags in the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. *Molecular Ecology Resource* 9:853–855.
- Sekino, M., M. Hamaguchi, F. Aranishi, and K. Okoshi.** 2003. Development of novel microsatellite DNA markers from the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. *Marine Biotechnology* 5:227–233.
- Squirrell, J., P. M. Hollingsworth, M. Woodhead, J. Russell, A. J. Lowe, M. Gibby, and W. Powell.** 2003. How much effort is required to isolate nuclear microsatellites from plants? *Molecular Ecology* 12: 1339–1348.
- Wang, Y., R. Ren, and Z. Yu.** 2008. Bioinformatic mining of EST-SSR loci in the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*. *Animal genetics* 39:287–289.
- Wang, Y. P., Y. H. Shi, and X. M. Guo.** 2009. Identification and characterization of 66 EST-SSR markers in the eastern oyster *Crassostrea virginica* (Gmelin). *Journal of Shellfish Research* 28:227–234.
- Wenne, R., P. Boudry, J. Hemmer-Hansen, K. P. Lubieniecki, A. Was, and A. Kause.** 2007. What role for genomics in fisheries management and aquaculture? *Aquatic Living Resources* 20:241–255.
- Yu, H. and Q. Li.** 2007. EST-SSR markers from the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 7:860–862.
- Yu, H. and Q. Li.** 2008. Exploiting EST databases for the development and characterization of EST-SSRs in the Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*). *Journal of Heredity* 99:208–214.