



## Technical communiqué

An improved stability criterion for fixed-point state-space digital filters using two's complement arithmetic<sup>☆</sup>Tao Shen<sup>1</sup>, Zhugang Yuan, Xiaohong Wang

School of Control Science and Engineering, University of Jinan, Jinan 250022, China

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 18 April 2011

Received in revised form

8 August 2011

Accepted 29 September 2011

Available online 25 November 2011

## Keywords:

Stability

Digital filters

Nonlinear systems

Saturation nonlinearity

## ABSTRACT

A new stability criterion for fixed-point state-space digital filters using two's complement arithmetic is presented. The effectiveness of the results obtained is shown by using a numerical example.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The system under consideration is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x(r+1) &= f(y(r)) \\ &= [f_1(y_1(r)) \cdots f_n(y_n(r))]^T, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where

$$y(r) = [y_1(r) \cdots y_n(r)]^T = Ax(r), \quad (2)$$

$x(r)$  is an  $n$ -vector state,  $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , and  $A$  satisfies the following conditions:

$$k_i > 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad (3)$$

$$k_i \leq 1, \quad i = m+1, \dots, n, \quad (4)$$

where  $k_i = \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|$ , and  $m$  is an integer between 1 and  $n$ . The nonlinearities characterized by

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} f_i(y_i(r)) &= y_i(r), & \text{if } -1 \leq y_i(r) \leq 1 \\ -1 \leq f_i(y_i(r)) \leq 1, & & \text{if } |y_i(r)| > 1 \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (5)$$

are under consideration.

<sup>☆</sup> This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant number 61102113 and the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province, China under grant number ZR2010FL017. The material in this paper was not presented at any conference. This paper was recommended for publication in revised form by Associate Editor Keqin Gu under the direction of Editor André L. Tits.

E-mail addresses: [shentao28@163.com](mailto:shentao28@163.com), [cse\\_st@ujn.edu.cn](mailto:cse_st@ujn.edu.cn) (T. Shen), [cse\\_yzg@ujn.edu.cn](mailto:cse_yzg@ujn.edu.cn) (Z. Yuan), [cse\\_wxh@ujn.edu.cn](mailto:cse_wxh@ujn.edu.cn) (X. Wang).

<sup>1</sup> Tel.: +86 531 82765928; fax: +86 531 82765896.

In recent years, digital filters have been widely studied by many authors. Stability for digital filters using saturation arithmetic has drawn considerable attention (Ebert, Mazo, & Taylor, 1969; Hu & Lin, 2001; Johnson & Sandberg, 1995; Kar & Singh, 2004, 2005; Liu & Michel, 1992; Ooba, 2003, 2010; Singh, 1985, 1990, 2006, 2007, 2008a,b, 2011). It is well known that the hardware implementation of the saturation arithmetic adder is more expensive than that of two's complement arithmetic adder (Sandberg, 1979). So, it is worth investigating the stability of digital filters using two's complement arithmetic. In the literature, the stability problem of this class of digital filters has been studied (Kar, 2010; Mills, Mullis, & Roberts, 1978; Shen & Yuan, 2010; Shen, Yuan, & Wang, 2011; Singh, 1986, 1990, 2010a,b; Vaidyanathan & Liu, 1987). Now, we will recall some previously presented stability criteria.

The well-known Mills–Mullis–Roberts criterion takes the form

$$D - A^T D A > 0, \quad (6)$$

where  $D$  is a positive diagonal matrix.

The stability criterion (Vaidyanathan & Liu, 1987) takes the form

$$D - A^T D A \geq 0, \quad (7)$$

where  $D$  is a positive diagonal matrix and  $\rho(A) < 1$  is satisfied.

By using the results (Shen & Yuan, 2010), the stability criterion proposed by Singh (2010a) may be written as follows:

$$\tilde{P} - A^T \tilde{P} A > 0, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\tilde{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D} & 0_{m \times (n-m)} \\ 0_{(n-m) \times m} & \tilde{Q} \end{bmatrix},$$

$\tilde{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  is a positive-definite diagonal matrix, and  $\tilde{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-m) \times (n-m)}$  is a positive-definite symmetric matrix.

Recently, a new stability criterion has been proposed (Shen et al., 2011), by estimating the region which the system trajectory will enter and remain in.

However, for system (1)–(5), the criteria (Shen et al., 2011; Singh, 2010a) are equivalent to the Mills–Mullis–Roberts criterion when  $m = n$ . Hence, the main purpose of this note is to present a new stability criterion, which may be less conservative than these previous results when  $m = n$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $m$  is an integer, with  $1 \leq m \leq n$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

In view of (1) and (5), one has

$$|x_i(r)| \leq 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (9)$$

Note that even if the system trajectory lies out of the region (9) initially, (1) and (5) ensure that the trajectory will enter region (9) and will not leave this region once it enters it. Then, we assume that the system trajectory lies in region (9) initially.

In the following, for real symmetric matrices  $X$  and  $Y$ , the notation  $X > Y$  means that the matrix  $X - Y$  is positive definite.

**Lemma 1.** For a given system (1)–(5), it holds that

$$[d_i y_i(r) + \alpha_i][y_i(r) - f_i(y_i(r))] \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (10)$$

where  $d_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ , are positive constants, and  $\alpha_i$  are arbitrary constants satisfying  $-d_i \leq \alpha_i \leq d_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Proof.** If  $y_i(r) = 0$ , then we can obtain that (10) holds. Hence, we only need to consider the case when  $y_i(r) \neq 0$ . It follows that

$$[d_i y_i(r) + \alpha_i][y_i(r) - f_i(y_i(r))] = d_i y_i^2(r)[1 + \beta_i(r)][1 - \gamma_i(r)], \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (11)$$

where  $\beta_i(r) = \alpha_i/[d_i y_i(r)]$  and  $\gamma_i(r) = f_i(y_i(r))/y_i(r)$ .

When  $|y_i(r)| \leq 1$ , it can be obtained by (5) that  $f_i(y_i(r)) = y_i(r)$  and  $\gamma_i(r) = 1$ . Thus, we have  $[d_i y_i(r) + \alpha_i][y_i(r) - f_i(y_i(r))] = 0$ .

When  $|y_i(r)| > 1$ , it follows from (5) that  $|\beta_i(r)| < 1$  and  $|\gamma_i(r)| < 1$ . Then, we can get that  $d_i y_i^2(r)[1 + \beta_i(r)][1 - \gamma_i(r)] > 0$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 1.  $\square$

## 3. Main results

**Theorem 1.** The null solution of system (1)–(5) is globally asymptotically stable, if there are a positive-definite symmetric matrix  $P = [p_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , a diagonal matrix  $L = \text{diag}[l_1, \dots, l_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , and two matrices  $M = [m_{ij}], N = [n_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that

$$\Pi_1 + \Pi_2 < 0 \quad (12)$$

and

$$l_i \geq \sum_{j=1}^n |m_{ji}| + \sum_{j=1}^n |n_{ji}|, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \quad (13)$$

hold, where

$$\Pi_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -P & 0_{n \times n} \\ 0_{n \times n} & P \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\Pi_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2A^T L A + M A + A^T M^T & A^T N^T - M - A^T L \\ N A - M^T - L A & -N - N^T \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Proof.** Construct the Lyapunov function

$$V(x(r)) = x^T(r) P x(r), \quad (14)$$

and let  $\Delta V(x(r)) = V(x(r+1)) - V(x(r))$ . Then, for system (1)–(5), it follows that

$$\Delta V(x(r)) = \zeta^T(r) \Pi_1 \zeta(r), \quad (15)$$

where  $\zeta(r) = [x^T(r), x^T(r+1)]^T$ .

In the following, we will show that  $\zeta^T(r) \Pi_2 \zeta(r) \geq 0$  holds.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^T(r) \Pi_2 \zeta(r) &= 2y^T(r) L y(r) + 2x^T(r) M y(r) + 2f^T(y(r)) N y(r) \\ &\quad - 2y^T(r) L f(y(r)) - 2x^T(r) M f(y(r)) \\ &\quad - 2f^T(y(r)) N f(y(r)). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Then, we can write the above equation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^T(r) \Pi_2 \zeta(r) &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n [l_i y_i(r) + x^T(r) M_i \\ &\quad + f^T(y(r)) N_i][y_i(r) - f_i(y_i(r))], \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where  $M_i$  and  $N_i$  denote the  $i$ th column of matrices  $M$  and  $N$ , respectively.

Owing to (4), (5) and (9), we have

$$[l_i y_i(r) + x^T(r) M_i + f^T(y(r)) N_i][y_i(r) - f_i(y_i(r))] = 0, \quad i = m+1, \dots, n. \quad (18)$$

It can be obtained by (5), (9) and (13) that

$$|x^T(r) M_i + f^T(y(r)) N_i| \leq l_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \quad (19)$$

By using Lemma 1, we have

$$[l_i y_i(r) + x^T(r) M_i + f^T(y(r)) N_i][y_i(r) - f_i(y_i(r))] \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \quad (20)$$

Thus, it can be obtained that  $\zeta^T(r) \Pi_2 \zeta(r) \geq 0$  holds, by (17), (18) and (20). Then, we can get that

$$\Delta V(x(r)) \leq \zeta^T(r) (\Pi_1 + \Pi_2) \zeta(r). \quad (21)$$

It follows from (12) and (21) that

$$\Delta V(x(r)) < 0 \quad \forall x^T(r) x(r) \neq 0. \quad (22)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

## 4. Comparative evaluation and numerical example

It is worth comparing the present approach with previously presented criteria.

First, consider the Mills–Mullis–Roberts criterion. Assume that, for system (1)–(5), there is a positive-definite diagonal matrix  $D$  such that (6) holds. Then, there can be ensured the existence of  $\epsilon > 0$  satisfying

$$D - A^T D A + \epsilon A^T A > 0. \quad (23)$$

Thus, we can obtain there exist  $L = D + \epsilon I_n$ ,  $M = 0_{n \times n}$ ,  $N = D + \epsilon I_n$  and  $P = 2D$  such that (12) and (13) hold, where  $I_n$  denotes the  $n \times n$  identity matrix.

Next, consider Singh's criterion. Assume that, for system (1)–(5), there are a positive-definite diagonal matrix  $\tilde{D}$  and a positive-definite symmetric matrix  $\tilde{Q}$  such that (8) holds. Then, there can be ensured the existence of  $\epsilon > 0$  satisfying

$$\tilde{P} - A^T \tilde{P} A + \epsilon A^T A > 0, \quad (24)$$

where  $\tilde{P}$  is defined as that in (8). Thus, we can obtain there is a solution to (12) and (13), i.e.,  $P = 2\tilde{P}$ ,  $N = \tilde{P} + \epsilon I_n$ ,  $M = A^T \tilde{M}$ ,

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D} + \epsilon I_m & 0_{m \times (n-m)} \\ 0_{(n-m) \times m} & 0_{(n-m) \times (n-m)} \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$\tilde{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{m \times m} & 0_{m \times (n-m)} \\ 0_{(n-m) \times m} & \tilde{Q} + \epsilon I_{(n-m)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the following, we will use a numerical example to show the effectiveness of our results.

Consider the third-order system (1)–(5) with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -0.02 & -0.73 & -0.35 \\ -0.07 & -0.85 & 0.17 \\ 0.21 & -0.71 & 0.18 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For this example, we can obtain that  $m = n$  and the criteria (Shen & Yuan, 2010; Shen et al., 2011; Singh, 2010a) are equivalent to the Mills–Mullis–Roberts criterion. It can be verified that each of the stability criteria of (6)–(7) fails in this example.

Now, we will use the results of this note to analyze the stability of this example. For this example, there is a solution to (12) and (13), i.e.,  $L = \text{diag}[71.884, 133.582, 53.929]$ ,

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 15.249 & 9.314 & 5.358 \\ 9.314 & 256.555 & -10.192 \\ 5.358 & -10.192 & 50.288 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} -1.105 & 0.02 & -0.154 \\ 31.358 & 1.64 & 19.128 \\ 0.765 & -0.36 & -0.448 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} 17.096 & -0.042 & -0.069 \\ 5.084 & 130.899 & -3.981 \\ -0.003 & -0.236 & 29.534 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So, the method proposed by this note can ensure its stability.

It can be seen that for this example the results of this paper are less conservative than these criteria presented previously.

## 5. Conclusion

A new stability criterion for global asymptotic stability of fixed-point state-space digital filters using two's complement arithmetic is presented in this note. The effectiveness of the obtained results is verified by using a numerical example.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the editors for their assistance.

## References

- Ebert, P. M., Mazo, J. E., & Taylor, M. G. (1969). Overflow oscillations in digital filters. *Bell Systems Technical Journal*, 48, 2999–3020.
- Hu, T., & Lin, Z. (2001). A complete stability analysis of planar discrete-time linear systems under saturation. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I*, 48, 710–725.
- Johnson, K. K., & Sandberg, I. W. (1995). A separation theorem for finite precision digital filters. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I*, 42, 541–545.
- Kar, H. (2010). Comments on “modified criterion for global asymptotic stability of fixed-point state-space digital filters using two's complement arithmetic” [Automatica 46 (2010) 475–478]. *Automatica*, 46, 1925–1927.
- Kar, H., & Singh, V. (2004). Elimination of overflow oscillations in fixed-point state-space digital filters with saturation arithmetic: an LMI approach. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II*, 51, 40–42.
- Kar, H., & Singh, V. (2005). Elimination of overflow oscillations in digital filters employing saturation arithmetic. *Digital Signal Processing*, 15, 536–544.
- Liu, D., & Michel, A. N. (1992). Asymptotic stability of discrete-time systems with saturation nonlinearities with applications to digital filters. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I*, 39, 798–807.
- Mills, W. L., Mullis, C. T., & Roberts, R. A. (1978). Digital filter realizations without overflow oscillations. *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, 26, 334–338.
- Ooba, T. (2003). Stability of linear discrete dynamics employing state saturation arithmetic. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 48, 626–630.
- Ooba, T. (2010). Stability of discrete-time systems joined with a saturation operator on the state-space. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 55, 2153–2155.
- Sandberg, I. W. (1979). The zero-input response of digital filters using saturation arithmetic. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems*, 26, 911–915.
- Shen, T., & Yuan, Z. (2010). Stability of fixed-point state-space digital filters using two's complement arithmetic: further insight. *Automatica*, 46, 2119–2121.
- Shen, T., Yuan, Z., & Wang, X. (2011). A new stability criterion for fixed-point state-space digital filters using two's complement arithmetic. *Automatica*, 47, 1538–1541.
- Singh, V. (1985). A new realizability condition for limit cycle-free state-space digital filters employing saturation arithmetic. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems*, 32, 1070–1071.
- Singh, V. (1986). Realization of two's complement overflow limit cycle free state-space digital filters: a frequency-domain viewpoint. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems*, 33, 1042–1044.
- Singh, V. (1990). Elimination of overflow oscillations in fixed-point state-space digital filters using saturation arithmetic. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems*, 37, 814–818.
- Singh, V. (2006). Modified form of Liu–Michel's criterion for global asymptotic stability of fixed-point state-space digital filters using saturation arithmetic. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II*, 53, 1423–1425.
- Singh, V. (2007). Improved state-space criterion for global asymptotic stability of fixed-point state-space digital filters with saturation arithmetic. *The Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 32, 317–326.
- Singh, V. (2008a). Stability analysis of a class of digital filters utilizing single saturation nonlinearity. *Automatica*, 44, 282–285.
- Singh, V. (2008b). Elimination of overflow oscillations in direct form digital filters using saturation arithmetic. *Automatica*, 44, 2989–2991.
- Singh, V. (2010a). Modified criterion for global asymptotic stability of fixed-point state-space digital filters using two's complement arithmetic. *Automatica*, 46, 475–478.
- Singh, V. (2010b). Author's reply to “comments on ‘modified criterion for global asymptotic stability of fixed-point state-space digital filters using two's complement arithmetic’ [Automatica 46 (2010) 475–478]”. *Automatica*, 46, 1928.
- Singh, V. (2011). Stability of discrete-time systems joined with a saturation operator on the state-space: generalized form of Liu–Michel's criterion. *Automatica*, 47, 634–637.
- Vaidyanathan, P. P., & Liu, V. (1987). An improved sufficient condition for absence of limit cycles in digital filters. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems*, 34, 319–322.