

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

A two-step method for spatial circle orientation with a structured light vision sensor and error analysis

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2010 Meas. Sci. Technol. 21 075105 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0957-0233/21/7/075105) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 117.8.189.232 The article was downloaded on 15/06/2010 at 10:22

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

Meas. Sci. Technol. 21 (2010) 075105 (7pp)

A two-step method for spatial circle orientation with a structured light vision sensor and error analysis

Bin Wu¹, Ting Xue² and Shenghua Ye¹

 ¹ Tianjin University, State Key Laboratory of Precision Measuring Technology and Instruments, Tianjin 300072, People's Republic of China
 ² Tianjin University, College of Electrical Engineering and Automation, Tianjin 300072, People's Republic of China

E-mail: wubin@tju.edu.cn

Received 27 December 2009, in final form 15 April 2010 Published 15 June 2010 Online at stacks.iop.org/MST/21/075105

Abstract

A novel two-step method for spatial circle orientation with a structured light vision sensor is proposed for a 3D flexible visual inspection system guided by an industrial robot. Firstly the z coordinate of a spatial circle center is estimated, secondly the x and y coordinates are estimated with the center orientation relative to the camera optic center, and then its radius is computed. Simultaneously, the x, y and z coordinate orientation errors are analyzed in detail. It shows that the method is feasible and valid, and the orientation accuracy for the spatial circle exceeds 0.15 mm by experiment. It eliminates the bottleneck of the traditional orientation method with a stereovision sensor, and greatly expands the application of the structured light visual inspection system.

Keywords: structured light vision sensor, spatial circle, orientation, two-step method, error analysis

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

1. Introduction

A spatial circle is an elemental geometrical shape on measured objects, such as the location hole of workpieces or parts. So spatial circle orientation is very important for automatic inspection and field assembly [1].

Computer vision has developed rapidly in recent years with advantages of non-contact, higher precision and efficiency, on-line measurement and so on, and corresponding inspection technologies are applied widely for three-dimensional measurements on product lines. In a typical inspection system, a binocular stereo measurement model is generally adopted to inspect the spatial edge, round hole or similar round hole with a stereo image pair [2, 3]. But a stereovision sensor is composed of two cameras, and it is relatively larger, heavier and has a high cost, so there are many restrictions for industrial field applications, especially for a 3D flexible visual inspection system guided by an industrial robot due to the limitation of the snatched load and movement flexibility of the robot arm.

A structured light vision sensor contains only one camera and a line-structured laser. Compared to the stereovision sensor, the structured light vision sensor is much lighter and smaller, has a lower cost and more flexibility, and avoids the socalled correspondence problem [4–9]. Since the early 1970s [10] there has been active research on shape reconstruction and object recognition by projecting structured light stripes onto objects, especially for flexible visual inspection systems guided by industrial robots [11, 12]. A structured light vision sensor can inspect the spatial edge easily but not the spatial circle, because feature points on the spatial edge can be conveniently located on the structured light plane modulated by the measured surfaces, so their 3D coordinates can be inspected easily with the mathematical model of a structured light vision sensor. However, the measured spatial circle center cannot be laid on the structured light plane accurately, so the



Figure 1. Measurement model of a structured light vision sensor.

orientation technology of a spatial circle with a structured light vision sensor is much more complex, and severely restricts the application of the structured light visual inspection system.

In this paper, a two-step method for spatial circle orientation with a structured light vision sensor is proposed, and the x, y and z coordinate orientation errors are analyzed in detail. It eliminates the bottleneck of the traditional spatial circle inspection method with a stereovision sensor, and greatly expands the actual application of the structured light visual inspection system.

2. Mathematical model of a structured light vision sensor

Figure 1 shows the mathematical model of the structured light vision sensor. Note that O_n is the center of the camera image plane π_n , O_c is the projection center of the camera and the z_c axis is the optical axis of the camera lens. The relative coordinate frames are defined as follows: $O_w x_w y_w z_w$ is the 3D world coordinate frame, $O_c x_c y_c z_c$ is the 3D camera coordinate frame and $O_n x_n y_n$ is the 2D image plane coordinate frame. Let $O_c x_c y_c z_c$ be the sensor measurement coordinate frame, and the mathematical model of a structured light vision sensor can be represented by the equation of the light plane π_s in the 3D camera coordinate frame $O_c x_c y_c z_c$.

Given an arbitrary point P_s on the light plane π_s , its homogeneous coordinates in $O_c x_c y_c z_c$ are denoted by $\tilde{P}_c = (x_c \ y_c \ z_c \ 1)^T$. The light plane π_s in $O_c x_c y_c z_c$ can be expressed by

$$ax_{c} + by_{c} + cz_{c} + d = 0.$$
(1)

In (1), $\begin{bmatrix} a & b & c & d \end{bmatrix}$ are the equation coefficients of the light plane and should be pre-calibrated [13].

 P_n is the ideal projection of P_s in the camera image plane, while P_d is the real projection because of lens distortion. We use $\tilde{p}_n = (x_n \ y_n \ 1)^T$ to denote P_n 's homogeneous coordinates in $O_n x_n y_n$. The equation of the straight line $O_c P_n$ is given by

$$\frac{x_{\rm c}}{x_{\rm c} - x_{\rm n}} = \frac{y_{\rm c}}{y_{\rm c} - y_{\rm n}} = \frac{z_{\rm c}}{z_{\rm c} - 1}.$$
 (2)

From (1) and (2), we can acquire the 3D coordinates of an arbitrary point on the light plane π_s in $O_c x_c y_c z_c$, if its homogeneous image coordinates and the light plane equation in $O_c x_c y_c z_c$ are known exactly.



B Wu et al

Figure 2. Measurement model of spatial circle orientation.

3. Principle of the two-step method

Figure 2 shows the measurement model of the spatial circle with a structured light vision sensor. The camera views the spatial circle straightly and the laser projector lights slantwise in figure 2.

3.1. Notation

- (1) O_c is the projection center of the camera, O_1 is the center of the structured light source, and the measurement coordinate frame is overlapped with the camera coordinate frame $O_c x_c y_c z_c$.
- (2) O_h is the center of the measured spatial circle and its 3D coordinates in O_cx_cy_cz_c are denoted by (x, y, z). P is the intersection point between line O_cO_h and light plane π_s. P's 3D coordinates are denoted by (x_P, y_P, z_P) in O_cx_cy_cz_c. O_{hi} is the point of intersection of the line O_cO_h and the image plane π_n.
- (3) The chord *AB* denotes the intersection line between the light plane π_s and the measured spatial circle, *Q* is the midpoint of *AB* and its 3D coordinates in $O_c x_c y_c z_c$ are denoted by (x_Q, y_Q, z_Q) . A_i and B_i are the corresponding images of *A* and *B*.
- (4) The 3D measurement coordinates of the spatial circle center $O_{\rm h}$ are denoted by $(x_{\rm m}, y_{\rm m}, z_{\rm m})$.
- (5) To simplify the analysis, assume that the light plane π_s is parallel to the y_c axis in $O_c x_c y_c z_c$.
- (6) The anticlockwise angle is defined as positive, and the clockwise angle as negative.
- (7) The coordinate system obeys the right-hand rule of Cartesian coordinates.

3.2. Measurement condition and principle

For accurate spatial circle orientation, the proper work distance and field depth of the structured light vision sensor are generally required. In addition, the vision sensor and the measured 3D hole should meet the ideal measurement pose as follows.

- (1) The camera image plane π_n should be as parallel to the measured spatial circle plane Γ_c as possible.
- (2) The light plane π_s should intersect the measured spatial circle plane Γ_c (the intersecting line *AB* may cross the spatial circle center O_h or not).

According to the perspective projection model of spatial ellipse [14], if the camera image plane parallels the spatial circle plane Γ_c , then the image center fitted by the ellipse image is equal to the actual projection center of the spatial circle or ellipse. Otherwise, there must be perspective projection distortion when they are not parallel, and the bigger the angle between the two planes, the larger the projection distortion becomes. Thus, if condition (1) is met approximately, we can get an almost ideal projection image and reduce the 3D coordinate errors of the spatial circle center due to the perspective projection distortion. Moreover, the *z* orientation error based on the novel two-step method can be decreased further. Condition (2) is the necessary condition for spatial circle orientation with a structured light vision sensor and can be achieved easily.

With the above two presuppositions, the principle of the two-step method for spatial circle orientation with a structured light vision sensor can be described as follows.

- Step 1: estimate the 3D coordinates (x_Q, y_Q, z_Q) of the midpoint Q on an intersection line AB according to the mathematical model of a structured light vision sensor as described in section 2, and assign z_Q to the z coordinate of the measured spatial circle center O_h .
- Step 2: estimate the x and y coordinates of the measured spatial circle center $O_{\rm h}$ according to the known z coordinate and the orientation of the measured spatial circle center $O_{\rm h}$ relative to the camera projection center $O_{\rm c}$.

Consequently, the radius of the spatial circle can be computed easily with the above estimated parameters.

4. Implementation of the two-step method

4.1. Estimation of the z coordinate

The ellipse projection of the measured spatial circle on the image plane π_n is defined as

$$\frac{(x-x_{\rm n})^2}{a^2} + \frac{(y-y_{\rm n})^2}{b^2} = 1,$$
(3)

where (x_n, y_n) is the ellipse center, and *a* and *b* are the semimajor axis and semiminor axis, respectively.

The light stripe *AB* is defined by the following equation:

$$y = kx + l, \tag{4}$$

where k is the slope of the light stripe AB, and l is the intercept on the y axis.

From (3) and (4), the image coordinates of points *A* and *B* can be acquired with the location algorithm of image features; then based on the mathematical model of a structured light vision sensor, the 3D coordinates (x_A, y_A, z_A) , (x_B, y_B, z_B) of points *A* and *B* in the camera coordinate frame $O_c x_c y_c z_c$ can

be easily estimated. Since Q is the midpoint of the chord AB, we have

$$\begin{cases} x_{\rm Q} = \frac{x_{\rm A} + x_{\rm B}}{2} \\ y_{\rm Q} = \frac{y_{\rm A} + y_{\rm B}}{2} \\ z_{\rm Q} = \frac{z_{\rm A} + z_{\rm B}}{2}. \end{cases}$$
(5)

According to the presupposition and principle of the two-step method, z_Q is the approximate z coordinate of the measured spatial circle center O_h , and the z coordinate measurement error exceeds ± 0.2 mm with error analysis under field inspection conditions, so we have

$$z \approx z_{\rm m} = z_{\rm Q}.\tag{6}$$

4.2. Estimation of x and y coordinates

The image coordinate of the measured spatial circle center O_h can be acquired by image processing. If the calibration parameters of the light plane in the camera coordinate frame $O_c x_c y_c z_c$ are known exactly, the 3D coordinates (x_P, y_P, z_P) in $O_c x_c y_c z_c$ of point *P*, which is on the light plane π_s , can be estimated uniquely according to the mathematical model of a structured light vision sensor.

As shown in figure 2, we draw a perpendicular *PP'* to the plane $O_c x_c y_c$ through *P* and the intersection is denoted by *P'*; then we draw $P'P'_x$ and $P'P'_y$ in the plane $O_c x_c y_c$ through *P'* which are perpendicular to the x_c and y_c axis, respectively, and the intersections are denoted by P'_x and P'_y . Let $\angle P'_y PP' = \theta$, $\angle P'_x PP' = \varphi$, so the orientation between *P* and the camera optic center O_c can be described by θ and φ . From the notation described in section 3.1 and the geometric relationship as shown in figure 2, we have

$$\tan \theta = \frac{|P'P'_{y}|}{|PP'|} = \frac{|x_{\rm P}|}{z_{\rm P}}$$
(7)

$$\tan \varphi = \frac{|P'P'_{x}|}{|PP'|} = \frac{|y_{\rm P}|}{z_{\rm P}}.$$
(8)

Simultaneously, we draw a perpendicular $O_h O'_h$ to the plane $O_c x_c y_c$ intersecting at point O'_h ; then we draw $O'_h O'_{hx}$ and $O_h'O'_{hy}$ in the plane $O_c x_c y_c$ which are perpendicular to the x_c and y_c axis, respectively, and the intersections are denoted by points O'_{hx} and O'_{hy} . As shown in figure 2, $\angle O'_{hy} O_h O'_h = \angle P'_y P P' = \theta$, $\angle O'_{hx} O_h O'_h = \angle P'_x P P' = \varphi$, so the orientation between O_h and O_c can be described by θ and φ as follows:

$$\tan \theta = \frac{|O'_{\rm h}O'_{\rm hy}|}{|O_{\rm h}O'_{\rm h}|} = \frac{|x|}{z}$$
(9)

$$\tan \varphi = \frac{|O'_{\rm h}O'_{\rm hx}|}{|O_{\rm h}O'_{\rm h}|} = \frac{|y|}{z}.$$
 (10)

Because the symbols *x* and *y* are the same as x_P and y_P , so from (6)–(10), we have

$$x_{\rm m} = \frac{x_{\rm P}}{z_{\rm P}} z_{\rm Q} \tag{11}$$

$$y_{\rm m} = \frac{y_{\rm P}}{z_{\rm P}} z_{\rm Q}.$$
 (12)

4.3. Estimation of radius

With the above two-step method, the center coordinates of the ellipse or incomplete circle feature can be estimated. If the measured part is a spatial round hole, its radius can also be computed. Let (x_A, y_A, z_A) and (x_B, y_B, z_B) be the 3D coordinates of the intersection points A and B in $O_c x_c y_c z_c$ and (x_m, y_m, z_m) be the 3D measurement coordinates of the circle center O_h in $O_c x_c y_c z_c$, so we have

$$r_{1} = \sqrt{(x_{\rm m} - x_{\rm A})^{2} + (y_{\rm m} - y_{\rm A})^{2} + (z_{\rm m} - z_{\rm A})^{2}}r_{2} = \sqrt{(x_{\rm m} - x_{\rm B})^{2} + (y_{\rm m} - y_{\rm B})^{2} + (z_{\rm m} - z_{\rm B})^{2}} .$$
 (13)

We denote the radius of the spatial circle by *r*:

$$r = (r_1 + r_2)/2. \tag{14}$$

5. Error analysis

According to the principle of the two-step method as described in section 3, if the camera image plane is parallel to the measured spatial circle plane Γ_c , we can get the 3D coordinates of the spatial circle center O_h without theoretical error. While the above condition cannot be met completely in the actual operation, it is necessary to analyze the *x*, *y* and *z* orientation errors of the spatial circle in detail. The notation is as follows.

- (1) O_p denotes the ideal working point of the sensor, which is the intersection of the camera optic axis and the light plane π_s , $|O_pO_c|$ is the ideal working distance of the sensor, and is denoted by *L*, and α is the intersecting angle between the camera optic axis and the light plane π_s .
- (2) x_d is the *x* offset of the measured spatial circle center O_h , and letting the optic center O_c be the origin, x_d is defined as positive if its direction is the same as the x_c axis; otherwise it is negative.
- (3) y_d is the y offset of the measured spatial circle center O_h , and letting the optic center O_c be the origin, y_d is defined as positive if its direction is the same as the y_c axis; otherwise it is negative.
- (4) z_d is the z offset of the measured spatial circle center O_h , and letting the ideal working point O_p be the origin, z_d is defined as positive if its direction is the same as the z_c axis; otherwise it is negative.
- (5) The actual x coordinate of O_h is denoted by x, that is, $x = x_d$, and the x orientation error is denoted by Δx , that is, $\Delta x = x_m - x = x_m - x_d$.
- (6) The actual y coordinate of O_h is denoted by y, that is, y = y_d, and the y orientation error is denoted by Δy, that is, Δy = y_m - y = y_m - y_d.
- (7) The actual z coordinate of O_h is denoted by z, that is, $z = L + z_d$, and the z orientation error is denoted by Δz , that is, $\Delta z = z_m z = z_m L z_d$.

5.1. Error analysis of the z coordinate

Let figure 2 project on the plane $x_cO_cz_c$, as shown in figure 3, the paper plane is defined as the plane $x_cO_cz_c$ and the y_c axis comes into the paper plane vertically. The line O_pO_l is the projection of the light plane π_s on the plane $x_cO_cz_c$. If the



Figure 3. Error analysis scheme of *z* coordinate orientation.



Figure 4. The ideal curve of x_d and z_d .

camera image plane is not parallel to the spatial circle plane, there will be a projection angle β between the line O_hQ and the plane $x_cO_cy_c$. As shown in figure 3, *M*, *N*, *I* and *J* are the perpendicular points of the points O_h and *Q* to the x_c axis and z_c axis, respectively. The intersection point of the lines *QN* and O_hI is denoted by *S*.

From the above notation and the geometric relationship shown in figure 3, we have $x_d = -|MO_c|$, $z_d = |O_pI|$, $\alpha = \angle O_1O_pO_c$, where α can be calculated with the line equation of the camera optic axis and the light plane equation accurately based on the mathematical model of a structured light vision sensor and its calculated parameters. The angle α of the vision sensor in experimental application is 20.7712°.

According to the principle of the two-step method and the geometric relationship in figure 3, the z orientation error is represented by

$$\Delta z = z_{\rm m} - z = -\frac{x_{\rm d} + z_{\rm d} \cdot \tan \alpha}{1 + \tan \alpha \cdot \tan \beta} \cdot \tan \beta.$$
(15)

From (15), we have the following cases. (1) When $\beta = 0^{\circ}$, that is, the camera image plane is parallel to the spatial circle plane, $\Delta z = 0$. (2) When $x_d + z_d \cdot \tan \alpha = 0$, that is, the light plane π_s just gets through the spatial circle center O_h , $\Delta z = 0$. In such a case, x_d and z_d should meet the curve shown in figure 4.

In an actual measuring application, the position and orientation of the measured workpiece change only in a relatively small range because there are clamps and a corresponding orientation technique to ensure it in production lines, therefore, we can reduce β , and x_d , y_d and z_d offsets as possible through the pre-adjusting procedure. Generally, the variational ranges of β , x_d and z_d are $-2^\circ \leq \beta \leq 2^\circ$,



Figure 5. The curve of Δz in the practical measurement.



Figure 6. Error analysis scheme of x coordinate orientation.

 $-5 \text{ mm} \le x_d \le 5 \text{ mm}$ and $-5 \text{ mm} \le z_d \le 5 \text{ mm}$ respectively. Let *Temp*1 = $x_d + z_d \cdot \tan \alpha$; then equation (15) can be transformed as follows:

$$\Delta z = -\frac{Temp1}{1 + 0.3793 \cdot \tan\beta} \cdot \tan\beta.$$
(16)

From (16) and the value ranges of β and *Temp*1, we can draw the curve of Δz . As shown in figure 5, the *z* orientation error may exceed ± 0.25 mm.

5.2. Error analysis of the x coordinate

To analyze the *x* orientation error, figure 2 is simplified to figure 6. As shown in figure 6, O_h is the actual center of the measured spatial circle, and *P* is the intersection of the line O_cO_h and the light plane π_s . *M*, *N*, *I* and *J* are perpendicular points of points O_h and *P* to the x_c axis and z_c axis, respectively. From the notation and the geometric relationship shown in figure 6, we have $x_d = -|MO_c|$, $z_d = |O_pI|$, $|O_pO_c| = L$, $\alpha = \angle O_1O_pO_c$, where the ideal working distance *L* of the sensor can be acquired accurately by calculating the *z* coordinate of the intersection of the camera optic axis and the light plane based on the mathematical model of a structured light vision sensor and its calculated parameters. The ideal working distance *L* of the vision sensor in the experimental application is 253.0417 mm.

According to the geometric relationship in figure 6 and the notation, the x orientation error is given by

$$\Delta x = -\frac{x_{\rm d} \cdot (x_{\rm d} + z_{\rm d} \cdot \tan \alpha)}{(L + z_{\rm d}) \cdot (1 + \tan \alpha \cdot \tan \beta)} \cdot \tan \beta.$$
(17)



Figure 7. The curve of Δx in the practical measurement.



Figure 8. Error analysis scheme of *y* coordinate orientation.

From (17), we have the following cases. (1) When $\beta = 0^{\circ}$, that is, the camera image plane is parallel to the spatial circle plane, $\Delta x = 0$. (2) When $x_d = 0$, that is, the image of the spatial circle center O_h lies on the *y* axis in $O_n x_n y_n$, $\Delta x = 0$. (3) When $x_d + z_d \cdot \tan \alpha = 0$, that is, the light plane π_s just gets through the spatial circle center O_h , $\Delta x = 0$. In such a case, x_d and z_d should meet the curve shown in figure 4.

Let $Temp2 = x_d (x_d + z_d \cdot \tan \alpha)/(L + z_d)$; then equation (17) can be transformed as follows:

$$\Delta x = -\frac{Temp2}{1 + \tan\alpha \cdot \tan\beta} \cdot \tan\beta \tag{18}$$

From (18) and the value ranges of β and *Temp*2, the curve of Δx can be drawn. As shown in figure 7, if we consider only the orientation accuracy of the two-step method and do not take other measurement factors such as image processing precision, etc into account, the *x* orientation error may exceed ± 0.006 mm.

5.3. Error analysis of the y coordinate

Similarly, figure 8 is the projection of figure 2 to the plane $y_c O_c z_c$ and O_h is the actual center of the measured spatial circle. *M*, *N*, *I* and *J* are perpendicular points of the points O_h and *P* to the y_c axis and z_c axis, respectively. From the notation and the geometric relationship shown in figure 8, we have $y_d = |MO_c|, z_d = |O_pI|, |O_pO_c| = L$.

So the *y* orientation error can be described by

$$\Delta y = \frac{y_{\rm d} \cdot (x_{\rm d} + z_{\rm d} \cdot \tan \alpha)}{(L + z_{\rm d}) \cdot (1 + \tan \alpha \cdot \tan \beta)} \cdot \tan \beta.$$
(19)

From (19), we have the following cases. (1) When $\beta = 0^{\circ}$, that is, the camera image plane is parallel to the spatial circle



Figure 9. The curve of Δy in the practical measurement.



Figure 10. The field orientation scheme.

plane, $\Delta y = 0$. (2) When $y_d = 0$, that is, the image of the spatial circle center O_h lies on the *x*-axis in $O_n x_n y_n$, $\Delta y = 0$. (3) When $x_d + z_d \cdot \tan \alpha = 0$, that is, the light plane π_s just gets through the spatial circle center O_h , $\Delta y = 0$. In such a case, x_d and z_d should meet the curve shown in figure 4.

In the field measurement, the variational range of y_d is generally $-5 \text{ mm} \le y_d \le 5 \text{ mm}$. Let $Temp = (x_d + z_d \cdot \tan \alpha)/(L + z_d)$, $Temp3 = y_d \cdot Temp$, equation (19) can be transformed as follows:

$$\Delta y = -\frac{Temp3}{(1 + \tan\alpha \cdot \tan\beta)} \cdot \tan\beta.$$
(20)

From (20) and the value ranges of β and *Temp*3, the curve of Δy can be drawn. As shown in figure 9, if we only consider the orientation accuracy of the two-step method and do not take other measurement factors such as image processing precision, etc into account, the y orientation error may exceed ±0.006 mm in field application.

In the practical measurement, the pre-assumed variational ranges of β and x_d , y_d and z_d offsets may be a little enhanced because of the various positions and orientation of the measured workpiece. But as a whole, it will affect the *z* orientation error much more than *x* and *y* orientation errors.

6. Experiments

Field orientation for the spatial circle of a white car-body with a structured light vision sensor based on the industrial robot platform is shown in figure 10. The arrangement of the vision sensor is shown in figure 11 and the sensor consists of a Toshiba Teli CCD camera (CS8620CI) with a 25 mm custombuilt lens and a band-pass filter, a 650 nm semiconductor linestructured laser projector and an annulated LED light source.



Figure 11. Arrangement of the vision sensor.



Figure 12. (a) The measured circle image. (b) The processed light stripe image.

Figure 12(a) shows the image of the measured spatial hole, and the processed light stripe image used in the two-step method is shown in figure 12(b).

The structured light vision sensor must be calibrated before it is used to inspect the spatial circle. According to the mathematical model of the sensor, the structured light stripe plane coefficient parameters (including the camera intrinsic parameters based on a camera model [3]) are as follows.

$$[a \ b \ c \ d] = [1.0 \ -0.000\ 395 \ 0.555\ 042 \ -134.560\ 160]$$

$$f_x = 3484.782\ 780\ \text{pixels}, \quad f_y = 3472.023\ 859\ \text{pixels}$$

$$u_0 = 310.046\ 518\ \text{pixels}, \quad v_0 = 312.197\ 608\ \text{pixels}$$

$$k_1 = 0.299\ 731, \quad k_2 = 3.218\ 002, \quad p_1 = 0.013\ 074,$$

$$p_2 = -0.023\ 963.$$

According to the proposed two-step method with the structured light vision sensor and the complete calibrated parameters, the center coordinate of the spatial circle in figure 12(a) can be estimated. We inspect it five times repeatedly, and the orientation results are listed in table 1.

As shown in table 1, the standard deviation of the repeated orientation to the spatial circle with the two-step method exceeds 0.015 mm.

In addition, we estimate the center coordinates of seven other spatial circles in the white car-body with the proposed two-step method, and compare them with the ground truth inspected by a coordinate measuring machine (CMM), and the orientation results are listed in table 2.

As we can see from table 2, the 3D coordinate measurement accuracy of the spatial circle with the two-step method exceeds 0.15 mm. It is shown that the method is valid and can satisfy the field inspection tasks commendably.

Table 1. Orientation repeatability evaluation for the spatial circle in figure $\Pi(a)$.								
Number	1	2	3	4	5	Standard deviation (mm)		
x_{i} (mm)	3390.8318	3390.8185	3390.8147	3390.8258	3390.7943	0.0143		
y _i (mm)	-591.1496	-591.1471	-591.1535	-591.1533	-591.1505	0.0027		
$z_i (mm)$	768.7088	768.6957	768.696	768.6916	768.6867	0.0082		

Table 2. Onemation accuracy evaluation for the spatial circ.	Table 2. Orientation	accuracy evaluation	for the spatial circle
---------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------------

	With the two-step method			By CMM			Δx	Δy	Δz
Point	x _i (mm)	y _i (mm)	z_{i} (mm)	$\overline{x_{\rm c}} \ ({\rm mm})$	<i>y</i> _c (mm)	$z_{\rm c} ({\rm mm})$	(IIIII)	(IIIII)	(11111)
1	3390.8318	-591.1496	768.7088	3390.8610	-591.1090	768.6260	-0.0292	-0.0406	0.0828
2	2566.4065	446.0086	0	2566.3690	446.0560	0	0.0375	-0.0474	0
3	0	649.6343	320.0449	0	649.6750	319.9720	0	-0.0407	0.0729
4	3307.7576	806.7249	511.8275	3307.7470	806.7560	511.6960	0.0106	-0.0311	0.1315
5	1048.8712	-589.5614	1111.7062	1048.9000	-589.5100	1111.6940	-0.0288	-0.0514	0.0122
6	176.4689	0	705.9960	176.5010	0	705.9520	-0.0321	0	0.0440
7	1116.1797	0	1198.6764	1116.1500	0	1198.6350	0.0297	0	0.0414
8	0	0	659.0409	0	0	659.0850	0	0	-0.0441

7. Conclusions

A novel two-step method for spatial circle orientation with a structured light vision sensor has been presented for 3D visual inspection, especially for a system guided by an industrial robot. The principle and implementation of the two-step method have been introduced, and the x, y and zcoordinate orientation errors have been analyzed in detail. The field experiment reveals that the 3D coordinate measurement accuracy of spatial circle exceeds 0.15 mm, and the standard deviation of repeated orientation exceeds 0.015 mm. It shows that the method is feasible and valid in the vision inspection applications. The proposed approach eliminates the bottleneck of the traditional spatial circle inspection method with a stereovision sensor, and greatly expands the actual application of the structured light visual inspection system.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the National Natural Science Funds of China (50705065, 60902084), the Natural Science Funds of Tianjin in China (08JCYBJC10000) and the Open Funds of State Key Laboratory of Precision Measuring Technology and Instruments of China (PIL0902).

References

- Perkins W A 1986 Inspector: a computer vision system which learns to inspect parts *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* 5 584–92
- [2] Malassiotis S and Strintzis M G 2003 Stereovision system for precision dimensional inspection of 3D holes *Mach. Vis. Appl.* 15 101–13

- [3] Xue T, Wu B, Zhu J G and Ye S H 2007 Complete calibration of a structure-uniform stereovision sensor with free-position planar pattern *Sensors Actuators* A 135 185–91
- [4] Koninckx T P and Van G L 2006 Real-time range acquisition by adaptive structured light *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* 28 432–45
- [5] Fofi D, Sliwa T and Voisin Y 2004 A comparative survey on invisible structured light *Proc. SPIE* 5303 90–8
- [6] Mouaddib E, Batle J and Salvi J 1997 Recent progress in structured light in order to solve the correspondence problem in stereovision *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics* and Automation vol 1 pp 130–6
- [7] Zhang Y and Kovacevic R 1997 Real-time sensing of sag geometry during GTA welding J. Manuf. Sci. Eng. 119 151–60
- [8] Jones B F and Plassmann P 1995 An instrument to measure the dimensions of skin wounds *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* 42 464–70
- [9] Jarvis R 1983 A perspective on range finding techniques for computer vision *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* 5 122–39
- [10] Shirai Y 1972 Recognition of polyhedrons with a range finder Pattern Recognit. 4 243–50
- [11] Skotheim O, Nyqaard J O and Thielemann J 2008 A flexible 3D vision system based on structured light for in-line product inspection *Proc. SPIE* 6805 680505
- [12] Zhang Y M and Kovacevic R 1997 Real-time sensing of sag geometry during GTA welding J. Manuf. Sci. Eng. Trans. ASME 119 151–60
- [13] Zhou F Q and Zhang G J 2005 Complete calibration of a structured light stripe vision sensor through planar target of unknown orientations *Image Vis. Comput.* 23 59–67
- [14] Heikkila J and Silven O A 1997 Four-step camera calibration procedure with implicit image correction *Proc. IEEE Comput. Soc. Conf. Comput. Vision Pattern Recognit.* pp 1106–12