

New Periodic Solutions for a Class of Singular Hamiltonian Systems

Peng Fei YUAN Shi Qing ZHANG¹⁾

Department of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, P. R. China

E-mail: ypfxyz123@163.com zhangshiqing@msn.com

Abstract In this paper, we apply a variant of the famous Mountain Pass Lemmas of Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz and Ambrosetti–Coti Zelati with $(PSC)_c$ type condition of Palais–Smale–Cerami to study the existence of new periodic solutions with a prescribed energy for symmetrical singular second order Hamiltonian conservative systems with weak force type potentials.

Keywords Singular Hamiltonian systems, periodic solutions, Mountain Pass Lemma, Palais–Smale–Cerami condition

MR(2010) Subject Classification 34C15, 34C25, 58E30

1 Introduction and Main Results

In 1975 and 1977, Gordon [1, 2] firstly used variational methods to study periodic solutions of 2-Body problems, later, many authors (see [3–26]) used variational methods to study singular Hamiltonian systems. Specially, in [7], Ambrosetti–Coti Zelati studied the periodic solutions of a fixed energy $h \in \mathbb{R}$ for Hamiltonian systems with singular potential $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{R})$:

$$\ddot{q} + V'(q) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}|\dot{q}|^2 + V(q) = h. \quad (1.2)$$

Using Ljusternik–Schnirelmann theory with classical $(PS)^+$ compact condition, they got the following theorems:

Theorem 1.1 ([7]) *Suppose $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies*

(A1) $3V'(x) \cdot x + V''(x)x \cdot x \neq 0, \forall x \in \Omega = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\};$

(A2) $V'(x) \cdot x > 0, \forall x \in \Omega;$

(A3') $\exists \alpha \in (0, 2),$ such that

$$V'(x) \cdot x \geq -\alpha V(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega;$$

(A4') $\exists \delta \in (0, 2)$ and $r > 0,$ such that

$$V'(x) \cdot x \leq -\delta V(x), \quad \forall 0 < |x| \leq r;$$

(A5') $\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} [V(x) + \frac{1}{2}V'(x) \cdot x] \geq 0.$

Received November 6, 2010, accepted July 13, 2012

Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11071175) and National Research Foundation for the Doctoral Program of Ministry of Education of China

1) Corresponding author

Then $\forall h < 0$, the system (1.1)–(1.2) has at least a non-constant weak periodic solution which satisfies (1.1)–(1.2) pointwise except on a zero-measurable set.

Theorem 1.2 ([7]) Let D_h be the connected component of $\Omega_h = \{x \in \Omega \mid V(x) \leq h\}$ such that $0 \in \overline{D_h}$ and let $\partial D_h = \{x \in D_h \mid V(x) = h\}$. Let $h < 0$ be given. Suppose that $\overline{D_h}$ is compact and $V : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (A4') and

$$(A1_h) \quad V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{R}) \text{ and } 3V'(x) \cdot x + V''(x)x \cdot x > 0, \forall x \in D_h;$$

$$(A2_h) \quad V'(x) \cdot x > 0, \forall x \in D_h;$$

$$(A3'_h) \quad \exists 0 < \alpha' < 2 \text{ such that}$$

$$V'(x) \cdot x \geq -\alpha' V(x), \quad \forall x \in D_h;$$

$$(A6_h) \quad V \in C^4 \text{ in a neighborhood of } \partial D_h \text{ and}$$

$$\max_{\xi \in \partial D_h} [V''(\xi)\xi \cdot \xi] < 0.$$

Then the system (1.1)–(1.2) has at least a weak periodic solution for any $h < 0$.

In [8], Ambrosetti–Coti Zelati used Mountain Pass Lemma with the $(PS)^+$ condition to study the existence of weak solutions for symmetrical N -Body problems with a fixed energy $h < 0$:

$$\begin{cases} m_i x_i'' + \nabla_{x_i} V(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) = 0, & 1 \leq i \leq N, \\ \frac{1}{2} \sum m_i |\dot{x}_i(t)|^2 + V(x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_N(t)) = h. \end{cases} \quad (\text{Ph})$$

They got

Theorem 1.3 ([7]) Suppose $V(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq N} V_{ij}(x_i - x_j)$ and $V_{ij} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$(V1) \quad V_{ij}(\xi) = V_{ji}(\xi), \forall \xi \in \Omega = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\};$$

$$(V2) \quad \exists \alpha \in [1, 2), \text{ such that}$$

$$\nabla V_{ij}(\xi) \cdot \xi \geq -\alpha V_{ij}(\xi) > 0, \quad \forall \xi \in \Omega;$$

$$(V3) \quad \exists \delta \in (0, 2) \text{ and } r > 0, \text{ such that}$$

$$\nabla V_{ij}(\xi) \cdot \xi \leq -\delta V_{ij}(\xi), \quad \forall 0 < |\xi| \leq r;$$

$$(V4) \quad V_{ij}(\xi) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } |\xi| \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Then $\forall h < 0$, the problem (Ph) has a periodic solution.

Theorem 1.4 ([8]) Suppose V satisfies (V1), (V3), (V4) and

$$(V2') \quad \exists \alpha \in (0, 2), \text{ such that}$$

$$\nabla V_{ij}(\xi) \cdot \xi \geq -\alpha V_{ij}(\xi) > 0, \quad \forall \xi \in \Omega;$$

$$(V5) \quad V_{ij} \in C^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) \text{ and}$$

$$3\nabla V_{ij}(\xi) \cdot \xi + V_{ij}''(\xi)\xi \cdot \xi > 0.$$

Then $\forall h < 0$, (Ph) has a weak periodic solution.

Motivated by these two papers, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 1.5 Suppose $V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$(V_1) \quad V(-q) = V(q);$$

(V₂) There exists a constant $0 < \alpha < 2$ such that

$$\langle V'(q), q \rangle \geq -\alpha V(q) > 0, \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\};$$

(V₃) $\exists \delta \in (0, 2), r > 0$, such that

$$\langle V'(q), q \rangle \leq -\delta V(q), \quad \forall 0 < |q| \leq r;$$

(V₄) $V(q) \rightarrow 0$, as $|q| \rightarrow +\infty$.

Then for any given $h < 0$, the system (1.1)–(1.2) has at least a non-constant weak periodic solution which can be obtained by Mountain Pass Lemma.

Corollary 1.6 Suppose $0 < \alpha = \delta < 2$ and

$$V(x) = -|x|^{-\alpha}.$$

Then for any $h < 0$, (1.1)–(1.2) has at least one non-constant weak periodic solution with the given energy h .

Remark 1.7 We guess (V5) in Theorem 1.4 can be deleted by combining the arguments of this paper and [7, 8].

2 Some Lemmas

Lemma 2.1 ([7]) Let $f(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |\dot{u}|^2 dt \int_0^1 (h - V(u)) dt$ and $\tilde{u} \in H^1$ be such that $f'(\tilde{u}) = 0$ and $f(\tilde{u}) > 0$. Set

$$\frac{1}{T^2} = \frac{\int_0^1 (h - V(\tilde{u})) dt}{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |\dot{\tilde{u}}|^2 dt}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then $\tilde{q}(t) = \tilde{u}(t/T)$ is a non-constant T -periodic solution for (1.1)–(1.2).

Lemma 2.2 ([27]) Let σ be an orthogonal representation of a finite or compact group G in the real Hilbert space H such that for $\forall \sigma \in G$,

$$f(\sigma \cdot x) = f(x),$$

where $f \in C^1(H, \mathbb{R})$.

Let $S = \{x \in H \mid \sigma x = x, \forall \sigma \in G\}$. Then the critical point of f in S is also a critical point of f in H .

Let

$$\Lambda_0 = \{u \in H^1 = W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n), u(t + 1/2) = -u(t), u(t) \neq 0\}.$$

By Lemmas 2.1–2.2 and (V₁), we have

Lemma 2.3 ([7]) If $\bar{u} \in \Lambda_0$ is a critical point of $f(u)$ and $f(\bar{u}) > 0$, then $\bar{q}(t) = \bar{u}(t/T)$ is a non-constant T -periodic solution of (1.1)–(1.2).

Cerami [13] introduced the following (CPS)_c condition:

Definition 2.4 ([13]) Let X be a Banach space, $\{q_n\} \subset X$ satisfy

$$f(q_n) \rightarrow c, \quad (1 + \|q_n\|)f'(q_n) \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Then $\{q_n\}$ has a strongly subsequence, then we call that $\{q_n\}$ satisfies Cerami–Palais–Smale condition at level c , we denote it by $(CPS)_c$. If $(CPS)_c$ holds for all c , we call $f(q)$ satisfies the (CPS) condition.

Combining the different forms of the Mountain Pass Lemmas in [8, 10, 28, 29], it is not difficult to get

Lemma 2.5 Suppose $f \in C^1(\Lambda_0, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$(AR_1) \exists \rho, \beta > 0, \text{ s.t. } f(u) \geq \beta, \forall u \in \Lambda_0, \|u\|_{H^1} = \rho,$$

$$(AR_2) \exists u_0, u_1 \in \Lambda_0 \text{ with } \|u_0\|_{H^1} < \rho < \|u_1\|_{H^1} \text{ s.t. } \max\{f(u_0), f(u_1)\} < \beta.$$

Let

$$C = \inf_{P \in \Gamma_\rho} \max_{0 \leq \xi \leq 1} f(P(\xi)),$$

where

$$\Gamma_\rho = \{P \in C([0, 1], \Sigma_\rho) \mid \|P(0)\|_{H^1} = \rho, P(1) = u_1\},$$

$$\Sigma_\rho = \{u \in \Lambda_0 \mid \|u\|_{H^1} \geq \rho\}.$$

Then there exists $\{u_n\} \subset \Lambda_0$ such that

$$f(u_n) \rightarrow C, \quad (1 + \|u_n\|)f'(u_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Furthermore, if f satisfies $(CPS)_C$ condition, that is $\{u_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence. If

$$f(u_n) \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \forall u_n \rightharpoonup u \in \partial\Lambda_0,$$

then C is a critical value of f , so there exists $u \in \Lambda_0$ such that $f'(u) = 0$, and $f(u) = C \geq \beta > 0$.

Lemma 2.6 ([1]) Let V satisfy the so called Gordon's strong force condition: There exists a neighborhood \mathcal{N} of 0 and a function $U \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(i) \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} U(x) = -\infty;$$

$$(ii) -V(x) \geq |U'(x)|^2 \text{ for every } x \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Let

$$\partial\Lambda_0 = \{u \in H^1 = W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n), u(t+1/2) = -u(t), \exists t_0, u(t_0) = 0\}.$$

Then we have

$$\int_0^1 V(u_n) dt \rightarrow -\infty, \quad \forall u_n \rightharpoonup u \in \partial\Lambda_0.$$

Lemma 2.7 (Sobolev–Rellich–Kondrachov, Compact Imbedding Theorem [30, 31])

$$W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n) \subset C(\mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$$

and the embedding is compact.

Lemma 2.8 (Eberlein–Šmul'yan [32]) A Banach space X is reflexive if and only if any bounded sequence in X has a weakly convergent subsequence.

Lemma 2.9 ([30]) Let $q \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\int_0^T q(t) dt = 0$. Then we have

(i) Poincaré–Wirtinger's inequality:

$$\int_0^T |\dot{q}(t)|^2 dt \geq \left(\frac{2\pi}{T}\right)^2 \int_0^T |q(t)|^2 dt.$$

(ii) *Sobolev's inequality*:

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |q(t)| = \|q\|_{\infty} \leq \sqrt{\frac{T}{12}} \left(\int_0^T |\dot{q}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

It is not difficult to prove

Lemma 2.10 $\forall u \in \Lambda_0$, we have

$$\int_0^1 u(t) dt = 0.$$

By Lemmas 2.9 and 2.10, $\forall u \in \Lambda_0$, $\|u\| = (\int_0^1 |\dot{u}|^2 dt)^{1/2}$ is equivalent to the $H^1 = W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ norm:

$$\|u\|_{H^1} = \left(\int_0^1 |\dot{u}|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + \left(\left| \int_0^1 u dt \right| \right)^{1/2}.$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.5

In singular Hamiltonian systems, in order to apply Mountain Pass Lemma for the variational functional defined on Λ_0 (an open set of Banach space), we need a complete condition:

$$f(u_n) \rightarrow +\infty, \quad u_n \rightharpoonup \partial\Lambda_0, \quad (3.1)$$

which can guarantee that the critical point is in Λ_0 , not on its boundary. But the assumptions of Theorem 1.5, we do not have the strong force condition, so we need to revise the potential V as V_{ε} ,

$$V_{\varepsilon}(u) = V(u) + W_{\varepsilon}(u), \quad W_{\varepsilon}(u) = -\frac{\varepsilon}{|u|^{\gamma}}, \quad \gamma > 2. \quad (3.2)$$

We also need to revise the functional $f(u)$ as

$$f_{\varepsilon}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |\dot{u}|^2 dt \int_0^1 (h - V_{\varepsilon}(u)) dt. \quad (3.3)$$

Remark 3.1 Different from earlier papers, here we use $W_{\varepsilon}(u)$ with $\gamma > 2$ not $\gamma = 2$ to perturb V in order that f_{ε} satisfies (3.1) and we can verify all conditions of Mountain Pass Lemma.

After we apply Mountain Pass Lemma to the variational functional f_{ε} to get the critical point u_{ε} , we let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ to get the limit point, which is a weak solution satisfying (1.1)–(1.2) except on a Lebesgue's zero-measurable set.

In order to find the critical point of f_{ε} in Λ_0 , we need to verify all conditions of Mountain Pass Lemma. Let us begin to prove

Lemma 3.2 *Let (V₁)–(V₂) hold. For all $C > 0$, if $\{u_n\} \subset \Lambda_0$ such that*

$$f_{\varepsilon}(u_n) \rightarrow C > 0, \quad (1 + \|u_n\|) f'_{\varepsilon}(u_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.4)$$

then $\{u_n\} \subset \Lambda_0$ has a strongly convergent subsequence, the limit must be in Λ_0 , that is, f_{ε} satisfies the $(CPS)_C$ condition in Λ_0 .

Proof The proof will be divided into three steps:

Step 1 We show that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded.

In fact, by $f_{\varepsilon}(u_n) \rightarrow C$, we have

$$-\frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|^2 \int_0^1 V_{\varepsilon}(u_n) dt \rightarrow C - \frac{h}{2} \|u_n\|^2. \quad (3.5)$$

So when n is large enough, it follows that

$$-\frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|^2 \int_0^1 V_\varepsilon(u_n) dt \leq C + 1 - \frac{h}{2}\|u_n\|^2. \quad (3.6)$$

By calculations, we get

$$\langle V'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle = \langle V'(u_n), u_n \rangle - \gamma W_\varepsilon(u_n). \quad (3.7)$$

Note that

$$-\gamma W_\varepsilon \geq -\alpha W_\varepsilon. \quad (3.8)$$

From (V₂), (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$\langle V'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle \geq -\alpha V_\varepsilon(u_n) > 0. \quad (3.9)$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle &= \|u_n\|^2 \int_0^1 \left(h - V_\varepsilon(u_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle V'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle \right) dt \\ &\leq \|u_n\|^2 \int_0^1 \left(h - V_\varepsilon(u_n) + \frac{\alpha}{2} V_\varepsilon(u_n) \right) dt \\ &= \|u_n\|^2 \int_0^1 \left(h - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) V_\varepsilon(u_n) \right) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Since $0 < \alpha < 2$, using (3.6) and (3.10), we have

$$\langle f'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle \leq h\|u_n\|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) [2(C+1) - h\|u_n\|^2] = \frac{\alpha}{2} h\|u_n\|^2 + C_1, \quad (3.11)$$

where $C_1 = 2(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})(C+1) > 0, 0 < \alpha < 2$.

By (3.4), we have

$$\langle f'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle \leq \|u_n\| \|f'_\varepsilon(u_n)\| \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.12)$$

(3.11), (3.12) and $h < 0$ imply

$$\|u_n\| \leq C_2. \quad (3.13)$$

Step 2 We prove $u_n \rightharpoonup u \in \Lambda_0$.

Since H^1 is a reflexive Banach space, by Lemma 2.8 and (3.13), $\{u_n\}$ has a weakly convergent subsequence still denoted by $\{u_n\}$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$.

To prove $u \in \Lambda_0$, we need two lemmas.

Lemma 3.3 *Assume the potential V_ε satisfies Gordon's strong force condition. Then for any weakly convergent sequence $u_n \rightharpoonup u \in \partial\Lambda_0$, there holds*

$$f_\varepsilon(u_n) \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Proof First of all, recall that

$$f_\varepsilon(u_n) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |\dot{u}_n|^2 dt \int_0^1 (h - V_\varepsilon(u_n)) dt.$$

(1) If $u \equiv \text{constant}$, from $u_\varepsilon \in \partial\Lambda_0$, we deduce $u \equiv 0$. By Sobolev's embedding theorem, we have

$$\|u_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.14)$$

Using (V₂), we have $C_3 > 0$, such that

$$V(u) \leq -\frac{C_3}{|u|^\alpha}, \quad \forall |u| > 0. \quad (3.15)$$

Therefore, $h - V(u_n) > 0$ when n is large enough, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f_\varepsilon(u_n) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |\dot{u}_n|^2 dt \int_0^1 \left(h - V(u_n) + \frac{\varepsilon}{|u_n|^\gamma} \right) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |\dot{u}_n|^2 dt \int_0^1 \frac{\varepsilon}{|u_n|^\gamma} dt \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^1 |\dot{u}_n|^2 dt \|u_n\|_\infty^{-\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Then by Sobolev's inequality, (3.14) and $\gamma > 2$, we have

$$f_\varepsilon(u_n) \geq 6\varepsilon \|u_n\|_\infty^{2-\gamma} \rightarrow +\infty, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(2) If $u \not\equiv \text{constant}$, by the weakly lower-semi-continuity property for norm, we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 |\dot{u}_n|^2 dt \geq \int_0^1 |\dot{u}|^2 dt > 0. \quad (3.17)$$

Since V_ε satisfies Gordon's Strong Force condition, then by Lemma 2.6 and (3.17), we have

$$f_\varepsilon(u_n) \rightarrow +\infty, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.4 V_ε satisfies Gordon's strong force condition.

Proof Let

$$\bar{V} = \frac{-1}{\lambda|u|^\lambda}, \quad 0 < \lambda < \frac{\gamma-2}{2}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{|u| \rightarrow 0} \bar{V} = -\infty. \quad (3.17)$$

By calculation, we obtain

$$|\bar{V}'|^2 = \frac{1}{|u|^{2\lambda+2}}.$$

Note that

$$-V_\varepsilon(u) = -V(u) + \frac{\varepsilon}{|u|^\gamma} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{|u|^\gamma}. \quad (3.18)$$

Since

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{|u|^\gamma} \geq \frac{1}{|u|^{2\lambda+2}}, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad (3.19)$$

when $|u|$ is small enough, so there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{N} of 0 such that $-V_\varepsilon \geq |\bar{V}'|^2, \forall u \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore, V_ε satisfies Gordon's strong force condition. \square

Combining (3.4), Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we deduce $u_n \rightharpoonup u \in \Lambda_0$.

Step 3 We prove that $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly.

By $u_n \rightharpoonup u \in \Lambda_0$ and the compact embedding theorem, we have

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u_n(t) - u(t)| \rightarrow 0.$$

By the continuity of V_ε , V'_ε and the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, we have the uniformly convergent for $0 \leq t \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} V_\varepsilon(u_n) &\rightarrow V_\varepsilon(u), \\ W_\varepsilon(u_n) &\rightarrow W_\varepsilon(u), \\ \langle V'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle &\rightarrow \langle V'_\varepsilon(u), u \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

From Step 2, we know $u \in \Lambda_0$, so $\|u\| = \int_0^1 |\dot{u}|^2 dt > 0$, otherwise $u \equiv 0 \in \partial\Lambda_0$ by $u(t+1/2) = -u(t)$. Then by $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ and the weakly lower-semi-continuous property of the norm, we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\| \geq \|u\| > 0. \quad (3.22)$$

By (3.12), we have

$$\langle f'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle = \|u_n\|^2 \int_0^1 \left[h - V_\varepsilon(u_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle V'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle \right] dt \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.23)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.23), by (3.21) and (3.22), we have

$$\int_0^1 (h - V_\varepsilon(u)) dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \langle V'_\varepsilon(u), u \rangle dt > 0. \quad (3.24)$$

From (3.4), we deduce $f'_\varepsilon(u_n) \rightarrow 0$, then $\langle f'_\varepsilon(u_n), v \rangle \rightarrow 0, \forall v \in H^1$, that is,

$$\int_0^1 \langle \dot{u}_n, \dot{v} \rangle dt \int_0^1 (h - V_\varepsilon(u_n)) dt - \frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|^2 \int_0^1 \langle V'_\varepsilon(u_n), v \rangle dt \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall v \in H^1. \quad (3.25)$$

Taking $v = u$ in (3.25), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \langle \dot{u}_n, \dot{u} \rangle dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|^2. \quad (3.26)$$

By $u_n \rightharpoonup u$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \langle \dot{u}_n, \dot{u} \rangle dt = \int_0^1 |\dot{u}|^2 dt = \|u\|^2. \quad (3.27)$$

From (3.26) and (3.27), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - u\|^2 &= \int_0^1 |\dot{u}_n - \dot{u}|^2 dt = \int_0^1 (|\dot{u}_n|^2 - 2\langle \dot{u}_n, \dot{u} \rangle + |\dot{u}|^2) dt \\ &\rightarrow \|u\|^2 - 2\|u\|^2 + \|u\|^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

That is, $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in H^1 . □

Lemma 3.5 f_ε satisfies the condition (AR_1) in the Mountain Pass Lemma.

Proof By (3.9), we have $C_4 > 0$ such that $-V_\varepsilon(u) \geq \frac{C_4}{|u|^\alpha}$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_\varepsilon(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 \int_0^1 (h - V_\varepsilon(u)) dt \\ &= \frac{h}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 \int_0^1 V_\varepsilon(u) dt \\ &\geq \frac{h}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{C_4}{2} \|u\|^2 \|u\|^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Sobolev's inequality, we have $C_5 > 0$ such that

$$f_\varepsilon(u) \geq \frac{h}{2}\|u\|^2 + \frac{C_5}{2}\|u\|^{2-\alpha}.$$

Since $0 < \alpha < 2$, we can choose $\|u\| = \rho$ small enough such that $\frac{h}{2}\rho^2 + \frac{C_5}{2}\rho^{2-\alpha} = \beta > 0$. Hence

$$f_\varepsilon(u) \geq \beta > 0, \quad \forall \|u\| = \rho. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.6 $\exists u_0 \in \Lambda_0$ with $\|u_0\| < \rho$ s.t. $f_\varepsilon(u_0) < \beta$.

Proof For $\tilde{R} > 0$, we consider

$$f_\varepsilon(\tilde{R}u) = \frac{1}{2}\|\tilde{R}u\|^2 \int_0^1 (h - V_\varepsilon(\tilde{R}u))dt.$$

Using (V₃), we have $C_6 > 0$ such that

$$V(u) \geq -C_6|u|^{-\delta}, \quad \forall 0 < |u| \leq r.$$

Then we have

$$f_\varepsilon(\tilde{R}u) \leq \frac{h}{2}\tilde{R}^2\|u\|^2 + C_6\tilde{R}^{2-\delta}\|u\|^2 \int_0^1 |u|^{-\delta}dt + \varepsilon C_7\tilde{R}^{2-\gamma}\|u\|^2 \int_0^1 |u|^{-\gamma}dt. \quad (3.29)$$

Take $u(t) = \xi \sin(2\pi t) + \eta \cos(2\pi t)$, where $|\xi| = 1, |\eta| = 1, \langle \xi, \eta \rangle = 0, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $|u| = 1, \|u\| = 2\pi$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} f_\varepsilon(\tilde{R}u) &\leq 4\pi^2 \left(\frac{h}{2}\tilde{R}^2 + C_6\tilde{R}^{2-\delta} + \varepsilon C_7\tilde{R}^{2-\gamma} \right) \\ &\leq 4\pi^2 (C_6\tilde{R}^{2-\delta} + \varepsilon C_7\tilde{R}^{2-\gamma}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

Since $0 < \delta < 2$, so we can take R_0 small enough such that $4\pi^2 C_6 R_0^{2-\delta} < \beta$.

For the above fixed R_0 , we choose $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough such that

$$\varepsilon 4\pi^2 C_7 R_0^{2-\gamma} < \beta - 4\pi^2 C_6 R_0^{2-\delta}. \quad (3.31)$$

In fact, we can choose

$$0 < \varepsilon_0 < \frac{\beta - 4\pi^2 C_6 R_0^{2-\delta}}{4\pi^2 C_7 R_0^{2-\gamma}}. \quad (3.32)$$

Choose R_1 small enough such that $\|R_1 u\| < \rho$, take $R = \min\{R_0, R_1\}$, and let $u_0 = Ru$. Then we have

$$f_\varepsilon(u_0) < \beta, \quad \|u_0\| < \rho, \quad \forall 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0. \quad (3.33)$$

Lemma 3.7 $\exists u_1 \in \Lambda_0$ with $\|u_1\| > \rho$ s.t. $f_\varepsilon(u_1) < 0$.

Proof Let $R > 0$. We consider

$$f_\varepsilon(Ru) = \frac{1}{2}\|Ru\|^2 \int_0^1 (h - V_\varepsilon(Ru))dt.$$

Taking $u = \xi \sin(2\pi t) + \eta \cos(2\pi t)$, by (V₄), it follows that

$$\int_0^1 V_\varepsilon(Ru)dt \rightarrow 0, \quad R \rightarrow +\infty.$$

So $f_\varepsilon(R_0 u) < 0$, when R_0 is large enough. Choose R_1 large enough such that $\|R_1 u\| > \rho$. Take $R = \max\{R_0, R_1\}$, and let $u_1 = Ru$. Then

$$f_\varepsilon(u_1) < 0 < \beta, \quad \|u_1\| > \rho. \quad \square$$

From Lemmas 3.2–3.7, we know that $\forall 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, f_ε satisfies $(AR_1), (AR_2), (CPS)_{C_\varepsilon}$ condition, and $f_\varepsilon(u_{\{n, \varepsilon\}}) \rightarrow +\infty, \forall u_{\{n, \varepsilon\}} \rightharpoonup u_\varepsilon \in \partial\Lambda_0$. Let

$$C_\varepsilon = \inf_{P \in \Gamma_\rho} \max_{0 \leq \xi \leq 1} f(P(\xi)).$$

By Lemma 2.5, we know that $\forall 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, there exists $u_\varepsilon \in \Lambda_0$ such that

$$f'_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = 0, \quad f_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = C_\varepsilon \geq \beta > 0. \quad (3.34)$$

Let

$$\omega_\varepsilon^2 = \frac{\int_0^1 (h - V_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)) dt}{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |\dot{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dt}.$$

Then by Lemma 2.3, $y_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon(\omega_\varepsilon t)$ satisfies

$$\ddot{y}_\varepsilon + V'_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon(t)) = 0, \quad (3.35)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \omega_\varepsilon^2 |\dot{u}_\varepsilon(t)|^2 + V_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(t)) = h. \quad (3.36)$$

Next, we show that u_ε converges to some u^* which gives rise to a solution y^* of (1.1)–(1.2).

Lemma 3.8 $\exists C_8, C_9 > 0$ s.t. $C_8 \leq \|u_\varepsilon\| \leq C_9$.

Proof Since $u_\varepsilon \in \Lambda_0$, so $\|u_\varepsilon\|^2 = \int_0^1 |\dot{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dt \neq 0$, otherwise $u_\varepsilon(t) \equiv 0 \in \partial\Lambda_0$ by $u(t+1/2) = -u(t)$. By $\langle f'_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon), u_\varepsilon \rangle = 0$, we have

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|^2 \int_0^1 \left[h - V_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) - \frac{1}{2} \langle V'_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon), u_\varepsilon \rangle \right] dt = 0.$$

Then

$$h = \int_0^1 \left(V_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \langle V'_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon), u_\varepsilon \rangle \right) dt. \quad (3.37)$$

Letting $\gamma \rightarrow 2$, we have

$$h = \int_0^1 \left(V(u_\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \langle V'(u_\varepsilon), u_\varepsilon \rangle \right) dt.$$

By (V_3) , we get

$$h \leq \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{2} \right) \int_0^1 V(u_\varepsilon) dt. \quad (3.38)$$

If $\|u_\varepsilon\| \rightarrow 0$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, then $\|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$, from (3.15), we deduce that

$$\int_0^1 V(u_\varepsilon) dt \rightarrow -\infty,$$

which is a contradiction with (3.38). So we claim

$$\|u_\varepsilon\| \geq C_8 > 0. \quad (3.39)$$

From (3.34), we know

$$f_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = \inf_{P \in \Gamma_\rho} \max_{0 \leq \xi \leq 1} f_\varepsilon(P(\xi)), \quad \forall 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0.$$

So we have

$$f_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \leq \inf_{P \in \Gamma_\rho} \max_{0 \leq \xi \leq 1} f_{\varepsilon_0}(P(\xi)) \leq \max_{0 \leq \xi \leq 1} f_{\varepsilon_0}(P(\xi)) = C_{10}, \quad \forall 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0.$$

That is,

$$f_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_\varepsilon\|^2 \int_0^1 (h - V_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)) dt \leq C_{10}, \quad \forall 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0. \quad (3.40)$$

By (3.9), we have

$$h = \int_0^1 \left(V_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \langle V'_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon), u_\varepsilon \rangle \right) dt \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \int_0^1 \langle V'_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon), u_\varepsilon \rangle dt.$$

So

$$\int_0^1 \langle V'_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon), u_\varepsilon \rangle dt \geq \frac{h}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\alpha}} > 0. \quad (3.41)$$

Then by (3.37), we obtain

$$\int_0^1 (h - V_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)) dt \geq \frac{h}{1 - \frac{2}{\alpha}}. \quad (3.42)$$

(3.40) and (3.42) imply

$$\|u_\varepsilon\| \leq C_9. \quad (3.43)$$

The proof is completed. \square

Since E is a reflexive Banach space, by (3.43) and Lemma 2.8, there is a subsequence, still denoted by $\{u_\varepsilon\}$ such that $u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u^*$, then by compact embedding theorem, $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u^*$ uniformly.

In the following, we can use almost the same proofs of Ambrosetti–Coti Zelati [7] to get Lemmas 3.9–3.11, but we should remember $\gamma > 2$, so in order to get our result, we need to let $\gamma \rightarrow 2$, for the convenience of the readers, we write the complete proofs.

Lemma 3.9 (1) $V(u^*(t)) \not\equiv h$. (2) $u^*(t) \not\equiv 0$.

Proof (1) If not, $V(u^*(t)) \equiv h$, then

$$V(u_\varepsilon(t)) \rightarrow V(u^*(t)) \equiv h, \quad \langle V'(u_\varepsilon(t)), u_\varepsilon(t) \rangle \rightarrow \langle V'(u^*(t)), u^*(t) \rangle.$$

Since

$$h = \int_0^1 \left(V_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \langle V'_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon), u_\varepsilon \rangle \right) dt,$$

letting $\gamma \rightarrow 2$, we get

$$h = \int_0^1 \left(V(u_\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \langle V'(u_\varepsilon), u_\varepsilon \rangle \right) dt.$$

Then letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$h = h + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \langle V(u^*), u^* \rangle dt.$$

Hence $\langle V(u^*), u^* \rangle = 0$, this is a contradiction with (V_2) .

(2) If not, $u^* \equiv 0$, $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ uniformly.

Since

$$h = \int_0^1 \left(V_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \langle V'_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon), u_\varepsilon \rangle \right) dt,$$

letting $\gamma \rightarrow 2$, then by (V₃), we have

$$h = \int_0^1 \left(V(u_\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \langle V'(u_\varepsilon), u_\varepsilon \rangle \right) dt \leq \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{2} \right) \int_0^1 V(u_\varepsilon) dt.$$

So

$$\int_0^1 V(u_\varepsilon) dt \geq \frac{h}{1 - \frac{\delta}{2}}. \quad (3.44)$$

On the other hand, since $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ uniformly, by (3.15), we have

$$\int_0^1 V(u_\varepsilon) dt \rightarrow -\infty, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.45)$$

which is a contradiction with (3.44). \square

Lemma 3.10 *There are numbers $\delta, \Delta > 0$, s.t.*

$$\delta \leq \omega_\varepsilon \leq \Delta. \quad (3.46)$$

Proof From Lemma 3.9, we conclude that there exists a closed interval I such that

$$|I| > 0, \quad u^*(t) \neq 0, \quad V(u^*(t)) \neq h, \quad \forall t \in I. \quad (3.47)$$

Integrating (3.36) on I , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \omega_\varepsilon^2 \int_I |\dot{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dt + \int_I V_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) dt = h|I|. \quad (3.48)$$

From (3.43), we deduce

$$\int_I |\dot{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dt \leq \int_0^1 |\dot{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dt \leq C_9^2.$$

From (3.34), $h - V_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) > 0$, then by (3.47), $V_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \rightarrow V(u^*)$ uniformly on I and $\int_I (h - V(u^*)) dt > 0$, it follows that

$$\omega_\varepsilon^2 \geq \frac{2 \int_I (h - V_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)) dt}{C_9^2} \rightarrow \frac{2 \int_I (h - V(u^*)) dt}{C_9^2} > 0. \quad (3.49)$$

Integrating (3.36) on $[0, 1]$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \omega_\varepsilon^2 \int_0^1 |\dot{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dt + \int_0^1 V_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) dt = h.$$

Then by (3.3), (3.37), (3.39) and (3.40), we have

$$\omega_\varepsilon^2 = \frac{4f_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)}{\|u_\varepsilon\|^4} \leq \frac{4C_{10}}{C_8^4}. \quad (3.50)$$

Lemma 3.11 *Suppose that (V₁)–(V₄) hold. Then for any $h < 0$, u^* is a weak solution of (1.1)–(1.2).*

Proof Let

$$J = \{t \in [0, 1] \mid u^*(t) = 0\}. \quad (3.51)$$

Integrating (3.36) on J , we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\omega_\varepsilon^2 \int_J |\dot{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dt + \int_J V_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) dt = h|J|. \quad (3.52)$$

Combining (3.52), Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 3.10, we obtain

$$\int_J V_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) dt = |J|h - \frac{1}{2}\omega_\varepsilon^2 \int_J |\dot{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dt \geq |J|h - \frac{1}{2}\Delta^2 C_9^2. \quad (3.53)$$

But $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on J , if J has positive measure, then $\int_J V_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) dt \rightarrow -\infty$, which is a contradiction with (3.53).

Let $K_n \subset [0, 1] \setminus J$ be an increasing sequence of compact sets with

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 1} K_n = [0, 1] \setminus J,$$

and set

$$K_n^* = \{u^*(t) \mid t \in K_n\}.$$

Each $K_n^* \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ is compact and has a neighborhood \mathcal{N}_n such that $\overline{\mathcal{N}_n} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Then $V_\varepsilon \rightarrow V$ in $C^1(\mathcal{N}_n, \mathbb{R})$, and therefore $V'_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(t)) \rightarrow V'(u^*(t))$ uniformly on K_n .

Since u_ε satisfies

$$\omega_\varepsilon^2 \ddot{u}_\varepsilon + V'_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = 0,$$

by Lemma 3.10, we have

$$\omega_\varepsilon \rightarrow \omega^* \neq 0.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} u_\varepsilon &\rightarrow u^* \quad \text{in } C^2(K_n, \mathbb{R}^n), \\ \omega^{*2} \ddot{u}^* + V'(u^*) &= 0 \quad \text{on } K_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\bigcup K_n = [0, 1] \setminus J$, it follows that

$$\omega^{*2} \ddot{u}^* + V'(u^*) = 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1] \setminus J,$$

and $y^*(t) = u^*(\omega^* t)$ satisfies

$$\ddot{y}^* + V'(y^*) = 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1] \setminus J.$$

The energy conservation (1.2) on $[0, 1] \setminus J$ follows directly from (3.36). \square

Acknowledgements We sincerely thank the Editor and the referee for their careful reading, many valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] Gordon, W. B.: Conservative dynamical systems involving strong forces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **204**, 113–135 (1975)
- [2] Gordon, W. B.: A minimizing property of Keplerian orbits. *Amer. J. Math.*, **99**, 961–971 (1977)
- [3] Ambrosetti, A., Coti Zelati, V.: Critical points with lack of compactness and singular dynamical systems. *Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl.*, **149**, 237–259 (1987)

- [4] Ambrosetti, A., Coti Zelati, V.: Periodic solution of singular dynamical system. In: Periodic Solutions of Hamiltonian Systems and Related Topics (P. H. Rabinowitz et al. Eds.), ASI Series, **209**, 1–10, Reidel, Dordrecht (1987)
- [5] Ambrosetti, A., Coti Zelati, V.: Noncollision orbits for a class of Keplerian-like potentials. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire*, **5**, 287–295 (1988)
- [6] Ambrosetti, A., Coti Zelati, V.: Perturbation of Hamiltonian systems with Keplerian-potentials. *Math. Z.*, **201**, 227–242 (1989)
- [7] Ambrosetti, A., Coti Zelati, V.: Closed orbits of fixed energy for singular Hamiltonian systems. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, **112**, 339–362 (1990)
- [8] Ambrosetti, A., Coti Zelati, V.: Closed orbits of fixed energy for a class of N -body problems. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire*, **9**, 187–200 (1992); Addendum, **9**, 337–338 (1992)
- [9] Ambrosetti, A., Coti Zelati, V.: Periodic Solutions for Singular Lagrangian Systems, Springer, New York, 1993
- [10] Ambrosetti, A., Rabinowitz, P. H.: Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications. *J. Funct. Anal.*, **14**, 349–381 (1973)
- [11] Bahri, A., Rabinowitz, P. H.: A minimax method for a class of Hamiltonian systems with singular potentials. *J. Funct. Anal.*, **82**, 412–428 (1989)
- [12] Benci, V., Giannoni, F.: Periodic solutions of prescribed energy for a class of Hamiltonian systems with singular potentials. *J. Differential Equations*, **82**, 60–70 (1989)
- [13] Cerami, G.: Un criterio di esistenza per i punti critici su varietà illimitate. *Rend. Acad. Sci. Let. Ist. Lombardo*, **112**, 332–336 (1978)
- [14] Chang, K. C.: Infinite Dimensional Morse Theory and Multiple Solution Problems, Birkhauser, Basel, 1993
- [15] Coti Zelati, V.: Periodic solutions for a class of planar, singular dynamical systems. *J. Math. Pure Appl.*, **68**, 109–119 (1989)
- [16] Degiovanni, M., Giannoni, F.: Periodic solutions of dynamical systems with Newtonian type potentials. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, **15**, 467–494 (1988)
- [17] Degiovanni, M., Giannoni, F.: Dynamical systems with Newtonian type potentials. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.*, **81**, 271–278 (1987)
- [18] Greco, C.: Periodic solutions of a class of singular Hamiltonian systems. *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, **12**, 259–269 (1988)
- [19] Rabinowitz, P. H.: A note on periodic solutions of prescribed energy for singular Hamiltonian systems. *J. Comp. Appl. Math.*, **52**, 147–154 (1994)
- [20] Serra, E., Terracini, S.: Noncollision solutions to some singular minimization problems with Keplerian-like potentials. *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, **22**, 45–62 (1994)
- [21] Tanaka, K.: Noncollision solutions for a second order singular Hamiltonian system with weak force. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire*, **10**, 215–238 (1993)
- [22] Tanaka, K.: A prescribed energy problem for a singular Hamiltonian system with weak force. *J. Funct. Anal.*, **113**, 351–390 (1993)
- [23] Terracini, S.: Multiplicity of periodic solutions of prescribed energy problem for singular dynamical system. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Non Linéaire*, **9**, 597–641 (1992)
- [24] Zhang, S. Q.: Multiple geometrically distinct closed noncollision orbits of fixed energy for N -body type problems with strong force potentials. *Proceedings of AMS*, **124**, 3039–3046 (1996)
- [25] Zhang, S. Q.: Periodic solutions for some second Hamiltonian systems. *Nonlinearity*, **22**, 2141–2150 (2009)
- [26] Long, Y.: Index Theory for Symplectic Paths with Applications, Birkhauser, Basel, 2002
- [27] Palais, R.: The principle of symmetric criticality. *CMP*, **69**, 19–30 (1979)
- [28] Ekeland, I.: Convexity Methods in Hamiltonian Mechanics, Springer, New York, 1990
- [29] Ghoussoub, N., Preiss, D.: A general mountain pass principle for locating and classifying critical points. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, **6**, 321–330 (1989)
- [30] Mawhin, J., Willem, M.: Critical Point Theory and Applications, Springer, New York, 1989
- [31] Ziemer, W. P.: Weakly Differentiable Functions, Springer, New York, 1989
- [32] Yosida, K.: Functional Analysis, Springer, Berlin, 1978