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## 1. Introduction

An autonomous system of ordinary differential equations admitting a quasi-homogeneous group of symmetries is called a quasihomogeneous one. The interest of such systems lies in the existence of particular solution in the quasi-homogeneous ray form. Yoshida considered the algebraic integrability problem for quasi-homogeneous systems [12]. Using a singularity analysis type method, he was able to derive necessary conditions for algebraic integrability. Though some imperfections in his proof was found [4], Yoshida's ideas are quite fruitful and useful in this field. Inspired by Yoshida's ideas, Furta [3] made a further step in this direction. He suggested a simple and easily verifiable criterion of non-existence of nontrivial analytic integrals for general analytic autonomous systems. Based on his criterion, he also considered the non-integrability for general semi-quasihomogeneous systems(the definition will be given below). Some similar results related to nonexistence of polynomial integrals, rational integrals and analytic integrals can be found in $[2,5,6,7,8,9,10,13]$.

In [11], we considered the non-existence and partial existence of Laurent polynomial first integrals for a general nonlinear system of ordinary differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}=A x+\tilde{f}(x), \quad x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in some neighborhood of the origin $x=0$, where $\tilde{f}(x)=o(x)$. Here, A Lau-

[^0]rent polynomial $P(u)$ in the $n$ variables $u=\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right)$ is given by $P(u)=$ $\sum_{\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{A}} P_{k_{1} \cdots k_{n}} u_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots u_{n}^{k_{n}}$, where $P_{k_{1} \cdots k_{n}} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{A}$, the support of $P(u)$, is a finite subset of the integer group $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$.

Let $G=\left\{\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}: \sum_{j=1}^{n} k_{j} \lambda_{j}=0\right\}$. We proved the following results [11]:

Theorem A. If the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}$ of $A$ are $\mathbb{Z}$-independent, i.e., they do not satisfy any resonant equality of the following type

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} k_{j} \lambda_{j}=0, \quad k_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|k_{j}\right|>0
$$

then system (1) does not have any nontrivial Laurent polynomial integral.
Theorem B. Assume system (1) has $s(s<n)$ nontrivial Laurent polynomial integrals $P^{1}(x), \cdots, P^{s}(x)$ and matrix $A$ is diagonalizable. If $P_{l_{1}}^{1}(x), \cdots, P_{l_{s}}^{s}(x)$ are functionally independent and rank $G=s$, then any other nontrivial Laurent polynomial integral $Q(x)$ of system (1) must be a function of $P^{1}(x), \cdots, P^{s}(x)$.

In the present paper, we consider the Laurent polynomial first integrals for general semi-quasihomogeneous systems. By using the so-called Kowalevsky exponents, we will give some criteria of non-existence and partial existence of nontrivial Laurent polynomial integrals for general semi-quasihomogeneous systems.

The paper is organized as follows. For completeness, we first describe some elementary definitions and results for semi-quasihomogeneous systems in section 2 , which can also be found in [3]. In section 3, we discuss the partial integrability for semi-quasihomogeneous systems. Some examples will be given in the last section to illustrate our results.

## 2. Quasi-homogeneous and semi-quasihomogeneous systems

Consider a system of differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=g(u), \quad u=\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(u)=\left(g^{1}(u), \cdots, g^{n}(u)\right)$ is a vector-valued function of dimension $n$.
Definition 1. System (2) is called a quasi-homogeneous one of degree $m$ with exponents $s_{1}, \cdots, s_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}, m>1$, if for any $\rho \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$and $u=\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{j}\left(\rho^{s_{1}} u_{1}, \cdots, \rho^{s_{n}} u_{n}\right)=\rho^{s_{j}+m-1} g^{j}\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $\rho^{E-S} g(u)=\left(\rho^{1-s_{1}} g_{1}(u), \cdots, \rho^{1-s_{n}} g_{n}(u)\right)$ is quasi-homogeneous of degree $m$, here $E$ is the unit matrix, $S=\operatorname{diag}\left(s_{1}, \cdots, s_{n}\right)$ and $\rho^{E-S}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\rho^{1-s_{1}}, \cdots, \rho^{1-s_{n}}\right)$.

Definition 2. We will say that system (2) is semi-quasihomogeneous if

$$
g(u)=g_{m}(u)+\tilde{g}(u)
$$

where $g_{m}(u)$ is a quasi-homogeneous vector field of degree $m$ with exponents $s_{1}$, $\cdots, s_{n}$ and $\rho^{E-S} \tilde{g}(u)$ is the sum of quasi-homogeneous polynomials of degree all larger than $m$ or all less than $m$. In the former case (respectively, latter), we say that (2) is positively (respectively, negatively) semi-quasihomogeneous.

Let system (2) be semi-quasihomogeneous. Then under the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \rightarrow \rho^{S} u, \quad t \rightarrow \rho^{-\alpha} t, \quad \alpha=\frac{1}{m-1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

it becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=g_{m}(u)+\tilde{g}(u, \rho) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{g}(u, \rho)$ is a formal power series either with respect to $\rho$ (positive semiquasihomogeneity) or respect to $\rho^{-1}$ (negative semi-quasihomogeneity) without any constant term.

First of all we consider the quasi-homogeneous cut of system (2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=g_{m}(u) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

System (6) has particular solutions of the quasi-homogeneous ray form

$$
u_{0}(t)=t^{-H} \xi
$$

where $H=\alpha S$ and the coefficients $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ are given by the algebraic equation $H \xi+g_{m}(\xi)=0$. For a given $g(u)$, there may exist different sets of values $\xi$ which will be referred to as different balances.

Make the change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=t^{-H}(\xi+x), \quad t=\ln \tau \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then system (6) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=K x+\tilde{f}(x) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where prime means the derivative with respect to $\tau, K=H+\frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial u}(\xi)$ is the socalled Kowalevsky matrix associated to the balance $\xi$ and $\tilde{f}(x)=H \xi+g_{m}(\xi+$ $x)-\frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial u}(\xi) x=o(x)$.

The following statement was shown in [12].
Lemma 1. $\lambda=-1$ is an eigenvalue of the Kowalevsky matrix $K$ and $\eta=H \xi$ is a corresponding eigenvector.

Without loss of generality, we assume $\lambda_{n}=-1$. Our first result is the following
Theorem 1. Let system (2) be semi-quasihomogeneous system with balance $\xi$, and $\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}$ be eigenvalues of Kowalevsky matrix $K$ associated to the balance $\xi$.

If $\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}$ are $\mathbb{Z}$-independent, i.e., they do not satisfy any resonant condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} k_{j} \lambda_{j}=0, \quad k_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|k_{j}\right| \geq 1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then system (2) does not have any nontrivial Laurent polynomial integral.
Proof. Assume that system (2) has a Laurent polynomial first integral

$$
\Phi(u)=\sum_{\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{A}} \Phi_{k_{1} \cdots k_{n}} u_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots u_{n}^{k_{n}}
$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$.
In the case of positive semi-quasihomogeneity, $\Phi(u)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\Phi(u)=\Phi_{L}(u)+\Phi_{L+1}(u)+\cdots+\Phi_{M}(u), \quad L \leq M, L, M \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

where

$$
\Phi_{L+i}(u)=\sum_{\substack{k_{1} s_{1}+\cdots+k_{n} s_{n}=L+i \\\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{A}}} \Phi_{k_{1} \cdots k_{n}} u_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots u_{n}^{k_{n}}
$$

are quasi-homogeneous functions of the degree $L+i$, i.e., $\Phi_{L+i}\left(\rho^{S} u\right)=\rho^{L+i}$ $\Phi_{L+i}(u)$. Under the transformation (4), the system (2) changes to (5), $\Phi\left(\rho^{S} u\right)$ changes to

$$
\tilde{\Phi}(u, \rho)=\rho^{L}\left(\Phi_{L}(u)+\rho \Phi_{L+1}(u)+\cdots+\rho^{M-L} \Phi_{M}(u)\right)
$$

so $\tilde{\Phi}(u, \rho)$ is an integral of the system (5). Note that this integral exists for any value of $\rho$, thus the shortened system (6) has to have a quasi-homogeneous integral $\tilde{\Phi}(u, 0)=\Phi_{L}(u)$.

In the case of negative semi-quasihomogeneity, we rewrite $\Phi(u)$ as

$$
\Phi(u)=\Phi_{M}(u)+\Phi_{M+1}(u)+\cdots+\Phi_{L}(u), \quad M \leq L, \quad M, L \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Then system (5) has an integral

$$
\tilde{\Phi}(u, \rho)=\rho^{L}\left(\Phi_{L}(u)+\rho^{-1} \Phi_{L-1}(u)+\cdots+\rho^{M-L} \Phi_{M}(u)\right)
$$

$\underset{\sim}{w}$ which is also defined for any $\rho$. Thus (6) has to have a quasi-homogeneous integral $\tilde{\Phi}(u, \infty)=\Phi_{L}(u)$.

Now we make the change of variables (7). After this transformation, (6) becomes (8), the integral $\Phi_{L}(u)$ becomes

$$
\Phi_{L}(u)=t^{-\alpha L} \Phi_{L}(\xi+x)=e^{-\alpha L \tau} \Phi_{L}(\xi+x)
$$

So $e^{-\alpha L \tau} \Phi_{L}(\xi+x)$ is a nonautonomous integral of system(8).
Let $x_{0}=e^{-\alpha \tau}$ be a new auxiliary variable. Then the augmented system

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}^{\prime}=-\alpha x_{0}, \quad x^{\prime}=K x+\tilde{f}(x) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a Laurent polynomial integral $P\left(x_{0}, x\right)=x_{0}^{L} \Phi_{L}(\xi+x)$. Write $P\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(x_{0}, x\right)=x_{0}^{L}\left(P_{l}(x)+P_{l+1}(x)+\cdots+P_{p}(x)\right), \quad l \leq p, \quad l, p \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{k}(x)$ are homogeneous Laurent polynomials of degree $k$ in $x$ and $P_{l}(x) \not \equiv$ $0, P_{p}(x) \not \equiv 0$. Now we can easily see that $Q\left(x_{0}, x\right)=x_{0}^{L} P_{l}(x)$ is a homogeneous Laurent polynomial integral of linear system

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}^{\prime}=-\alpha x_{0}, \quad x^{\prime}=K x \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem A, if there is no any resonance condition of the following type

$$
\begin{equation*}
-k_{0} \alpha+\sum_{j=1}^{n} k_{j} \lambda_{j}=0, \quad k_{0}, k_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \sum_{j=0}^{n}\left|k_{j}\right| \geq 1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is fulfilled, then system (10) does not have any Laurent polynomial integral. Note that $\alpha=\frac{1}{m-1}$ and $\lambda_{n}=-1$, therefore (13) can be rewritten as

$$
-\left[k_{0}+(m-1) k_{n}\right]+(m-1) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} k_{j} \lambda_{j}=0
$$

a contradiction.
Remark 1. In general, the balance of (2) is not unique. According to Theorem 1, if we can find a balance such that the non-resonance condition (9) holds, then it is enough to conclude that the system under consideration has no Laurent polynomial first integrals.

Remark 2. If system (2) has a nontrivial Laurent polynomial integral $\Phi(u)$, then system (10) has a Laurent polynomial integral $P\left(x_{0}, x\right)$, and thus the linear system (12) has also a homogeneous Laurent polynomial integral $Q\left(x_{0}, x\right)$.

## 3. Partial integrability for semi-quasihomogeneous systems

Now we assume that system (2) has $s$ Laurent polynomial integrals $\Phi^{1}(u), \cdots$, $\Phi^{s}(u)$. By remark 1, we know that system (10) has $s$ Laurent polynomial integrals $P^{1}\left(x_{0}, x\right), \cdots, P^{s}\left(x_{0}, x\right)$, and the linear systems (12) has $s$ homogeneous Laurent polynomial integrals $Q^{1}\left(x_{0}, x\right), \cdots, Q^{s}\left(x_{0}, x\right)$. By Theorem 1 , there is at least one resonant relationship of type (9) must be satisfied. Therefore the set

$$
G=\left\{\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}: \sum_{j=1}^{n} k_{j} \lambda_{j}=0\right\}
$$

is a nonempty subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$.
Lemma 2. Let system (2) have s nontrivial Laurent polynomial integrals $\Phi^{1}(u)$, $\cdots, \Phi^{s}(u)$ and let any nontrivial quasi-homogeneous integral $\Phi_{L}(u)$ of the cut system (6) be a smooth function of the $\Phi_{L_{1}}^{1}(u), \cdots, \Phi_{L_{s}}^{s}(u)$, i.e., $\Phi_{L}=\mathcal{H}\left(\Phi_{L_{1}}^{1}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.\Phi_{L_{s}}^{s}\right)$. Then any nontrivial Laurent polynomial integral $\Phi(u)$ of system (2) is a smooth function of $\Phi^{1}(u), \cdots, \Phi^{s}(u)$.

Proof. We prove the lemma only for positive semi-quasihomogeneous system. The negative semi-quasihomogeneous case can be proved similarly.

Under the transformation (7), system (2) can be rewritten in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=g_{m}(u)+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho^{j} g_{m+j}(u), \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{m+j}$ are quasi-homogeneous vector fields.
Similarly, the integral $\Phi^{i}(u)$ and $\Phi(u)$ of system (2) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\Phi}^{i}(u, \rho)=\Phi^{i}\left(\mu^{H} u\right)=\rho^{L_{i}}\left(\Phi_{L_{i}}^{i}(u)+\rho \Phi_{L_{i}+1}^{i}(u)+\cdots+\rho^{M_{i}-L_{i}} \Phi_{M_{i}}^{i}(u)\right) \\
& \tilde{\Phi}(u, \rho)=\Phi\left(\mu^{H} u\right)=\rho^{L}\left(\Phi_{L}(u)+\rho \Phi_{L+1}(u)+\cdots+\rho^{M-L} \Phi_{L}(u)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Phi_{L_{i}+j}^{i}(u)$ and $\Phi_{L+j}(u)$ are the corresponding quasi-homogeneous functions.
Let $\mathcal{H}^{(0)}=\mathcal{H}$. Then the function

$$
\tilde{\Phi}^{(1)}(u, \rho)=\tilde{\Phi}(u, \rho)-\mathcal{H}^{(0)}\left(\tilde{\Phi}^{1}(u, \rho), \cdots, \tilde{\Phi}^{s}(u, \rho)\right)
$$

is an integral of system (14), since $\tilde{\Phi}(u, \rho)$ and $\tilde{\Phi}^{1}(u, \rho), \cdots, \tilde{\Phi}^{s}(u, \rho)$ are all integrals of system (14).

It is not difficult to see that $\tilde{\Phi}^{(1)}(u, \rho)$ is at least of $L+1$ order with respect to $\rho$, and $\tilde{\Phi}^{(1)}(u, \rho)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\tilde{\Phi}^{(1)}(u, \rho)=\rho^{\tilde{L}_{1}}\left(\Phi_{\tilde{L}_{1}}^{(1)}(u)+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho^{j} \Phi_{\tilde{L}_{1}+j}^{(1)}(u)\right),
$$

where $\tilde{L}_{1} \geq L+1$ is an integer, $\Phi_{\tilde{L}_{1}+j}^{(1)}(u)$ is a homogeneous form of degree $\tilde{L}_{1}+j$.
Obviously, $\Phi_{\tilde{L}_{1}}^{(1)}(u)$ is an integral of system (14) as $\rho=0$, i.e., an integral of the system (6). According to the assumptions of the lemma, $\Phi_{\tilde{L}_{1}}^{(1)}(u)=\mathcal{H}^{(1)}\left(\Phi_{L_{1}}^{1}(u)\right.$, $\left.\cdots, \Phi_{L_{s}}^{s}(u)\right)$. So the function

$$
\tilde{\Phi}^{(2)}(u, \rho)=\tilde{\Phi}^{(1)}(u, \rho)-\mathcal{H}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{\Phi}^{1}(u, \rho), \cdots, \tilde{\Phi}^{s}(u, \rho)\right)
$$

is also an integral of system (14) which is at least of order $\tilde{L}_{1}+1$ with respect to $\rho$.
By repeating infinitely many times this process, we obtain that

$$
\tilde{\Phi}(u, \rho)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{(j)}\left(\tilde{\Phi}^{1}(u, \rho), \cdots, \tilde{\Phi}^{s}(u, \rho)\right)
$$

which is equivalent to the fact that

$$
\Phi(u)=\mathcal{F}\left(\Phi^{1}(u), \cdots, \Phi^{s}(u)\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is some smooth function.
Lemma 3. Assume the Kowalevsky matrix $K$ is diagonalizable. Let $Q\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ be an integral of the augmented linear system (12) which is generated by the quasihomogeneous integral $\Phi_{L}(u)$ of system (6). Then $Q\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ does not depend on the last variable $x_{n}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $K$ has already a diagonal form $\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)$.

Since $\Phi_{L}(u)$ is a integral of system (6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\frac{\mathrm{d} \Phi_{L}}{\mathrm{~d} u}(u), g_{m}(u)\right\rangle \equiv 0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the transformation $u=\xi+x,(15)$ is changed to

$$
\left\langle\frac{\mathrm{d} \Phi_{L}}{\mathrm{~d} x}(\xi+x), g_{m}(\xi+x)\right\rangle \equiv 0, \text { for all } x
$$

By (11) we get

$$
\left\langle\frac{\mathrm{d} P_{l}}{\mathrm{~d} x}(x), g_{m}(\xi)\right\rangle=\left\langle\frac{\mathrm{d} P_{l}}{\mathrm{~d} x}(x),-H \xi\right\rangle \equiv 0
$$

By Lemma $1, H \xi=\eta=\left(0, \cdots, 0, \eta_{n}\right)$ is the eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue $\lambda_{n}=-1$, therefore

$$
\frac{\partial P_{l}}{\partial x_{n}}(x) \equiv 0
$$

So the integral $Q\left(x_{0}, x\right)=x_{0}^{L} P_{l}(x)$ of system (12) does not depend on $x_{n}$.
Theorem 2. Let system (2) be a semi-quasihomogeneous system with balance $\xi$, and let $\Phi^{1}(u), \cdots, \Phi^{s}(u)$ be nontrivial Laurent polynomial integrals of (2). Assume that the Kowalevsky matrix $K$ associated to the balance $\xi$ is diagonalizable and rank $G=s$. If the integrals $Q^{1}\left(x_{0}, x\right), \cdots, Q^{s}\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ of system (12) are functionally independent, then any other nontrivial Laurent polynomial integral $\Phi(u)$ of system (2) is a function of $\Phi^{1}(u), \cdots, \Phi^{s}(u)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2, we need only show that any quasi-homogeneous integral $\Phi_{L}(u)$ of (6) is a smooth function of the $\Phi_{L_{1}}^{1}(u), \cdots, \Phi_{L_{s}}^{s}(u)$. This is equivalent to prove that any integral $P\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ of (10) is a smooth function of the $P^{1}\left(x_{0}, x\right), \cdots$, $P^{s}\left(x_{0}, x\right)$.

For simplicity, we assume that $K$ has already a diagonal form $\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)$. By Lemma 3, the integrals $Q\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ and $Q^{i}\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ of linear system (12) do not depend on the last variable $x_{n}$, so they are also homogeneous integrals of the linear system

$$
x_{0}^{\prime}=-\alpha x_{0}, \quad x_{1}^{\prime}=\lambda_{1} x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n-1}^{\prime}=\lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1} .
$$

Let

$$
G_{0}=\left\{\left(k_{0}, \cdots, k_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}:-\alpha k_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} k_{j} \lambda_{j}=0\right\}
$$

Then $G_{0}$ is a nonempty subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and rank $G_{0}=s$, since $\alpha=\frac{1}{m-1}, \lambda_{n}=-1$ and $\operatorname{rank} G=s$. Since $Q^{1}\left(x_{0}, x\right), \cdots, Q^{s}\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ are functionally independent, by

Lemma 2 in [11], there exists a function $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
Q\left(x_{0}, x\right)=\mathcal{H}\left(Q^{1}\left(x_{0}, x\right), \cdots, Q^{s}\left(x_{0}, x\right)\right)
$$

Now by the same method as used in the proof of Lemma 1 in [11] and using Lemma 3 in each step, we can prove that any integral $P\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ of $(10)$ is a smooth function of the $P^{1}\left(x_{0}, x\right), \cdots, P^{s}\left(x_{0}, x\right)$, and this completes the proof.

## 4. Examples

Example 1. Consider the following quadratic system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}_{i}=u_{i}\left(a_{i 1} u_{1}+a_{i 2} u_{2}+\cdots+a_{i n} u_{n}\right), \quad i=1,2, \cdots, n, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i j}$ are real constants.
System (16) can be treated as semi-quasihomogeneous system with exponents $s_{1}=s_{2}=\cdots=s_{n}=1$. So $S=E, m=2, \alpha=1, H=E$.

Note that if $a_{j j} \neq 0$, then system (16) has a balance $\xi=\left(0, \cdots,-\frac{1}{a_{j j}}, \cdots, 0\right)$, and thus (16) has a particular solution $u(t)=\left(0, \cdots,-\frac{1}{a_{j j} t}, \cdots, 0\right)$. For simplicity, we consider the case that $j=n$. In this case the Kowalevsky matrix is

$$
K=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1-\frac{a_{1 n}}{a_{n n}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1-\frac{a_{2 n}}{a_{n n}} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1-\frac{a_{(n-1) n}}{a_{n n}} & 0 \\
-\frac{a_{n 1}}{a_{n n}} & -\frac{a_{n 2}}{a_{n n}} & \cdots & -\frac{a_{n n-1)}}{a_{n n}} & -1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Obviously,

$$
\lambda_{1}=1-\frac{a_{1 n}}{a_{n n}}, \quad \lambda_{2}=1-\frac{a_{2 n}}{a_{n n}}, \cdots, \lambda_{n-1}=1-\frac{a_{(n-1) n}}{a_{n n}}, \quad \lambda_{n}=-1
$$

are $n$ eigenvalues of $K$.
According to Theorem 1, system (16) does not have any Laurent polynomial integral if there is no resonance condition

$$
k_{1}\left(1-\frac{a_{1 n}}{a_{n n}}\right)+\cdots+k_{n-1}\left(1-\frac{a_{(n-1) n}}{a_{n n}}\right)-k_{n}=0, \quad k_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|k_{j}\right| \geq 1
$$

is fulfilled. This is equivalent to that for any $\tilde{k}_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}, \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\tilde{k}_{j}\right| \geq 1$,

$$
\tilde{k}_{1} a_{1 n}+\tilde{k}_{2} a_{2 n}+\cdots+\tilde{k}_{n} a_{n n} \neq 0
$$

Corollary 1. If for some $j(1 \leq j \leq n), a_{1 j}, a_{2 j}, \cdots, a_{n j}$ are $\mathbb{Z}$-independent, i.e., they do not satisfy any resonant condition

$$
k_{1} a_{1 j}+k_{2} a_{2 j}+\cdots+k_{n} a_{n j}=0, \quad k_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|k_{j}\right| \geq 1
$$

then system (16) does not have any Laurent polynomial integrals.
Example 2. To illustrate the Theorem 2, we consider the following Euler-Poincaré equations on Lie algebras [1]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}_{1}=-s(x)\left(\alpha_{1} x_{1}+\beta_{1} x_{2}+\gamma_{1} x_{3}\right) \\
& \dot{x}_{2}=-s(x)\left(\beta_{2} x_{2}+\gamma_{2} x_{3}\right) \\
& \dot{x}_{3}=-s(x)\left(\beta_{3} x_{2}+\gamma_{3} x_{3}\right)  \tag{17}\\
& \dot{x}_{4}=p(x)\left(\alpha_{1} x_{1}+\beta_{1} x_{2}+\gamma_{1} x_{3}\right)+q(x)\left(\beta_{2} x_{2}+\gamma_{2} x_{3}\right)+r(x)\left(\beta_{3} x_{2}+\gamma_{3} x_{3}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $p(x)=a x_{1}+e x_{2}+f x_{3}+g x_{4}, q(x)=e x_{1}+b x_{2}+h x_{3}+i x_{4}, r(x)=f x_{1}+$ $h x_{2}+c x_{3}+j x_{4}, s(x)=g x_{1}+i x_{2}+j x_{3}+d x_{4}$.

System (17) is a quasi-homogeneous one with exponents $s_{1}=s_{2}=s_{3}=s_{4}=1$. So $S=E, m=2, \alpha=1, H=E$. Obviously, it has an integral

$$
\begin{align*}
T= & \frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1} p(x)+x_{2} q(x)+x_{3} r(x)+x_{4} s(x)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(a x_{1}^{2}+b x_{2}^{2}+c x_{3}^{2}+d x_{4}^{2}+2 e x_{1} x_{2}+2 f x_{1} x_{3}+2 g x_{1} x_{4}\right. \\
& \left.+2 h x_{2} x_{3}+2 i x_{2} x_{4}+2 j x_{3} x_{4}\right) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that system (17) has a particular solution $x(t)=\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{1} g t}, 0,0,0\right)$ if $a=$ $0, \alpha_{1} g \neq 0$. The Kowalevsky matrix of system (17) corresponding to this particular solution is

$$
K=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & -\frac{i}{g}-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} & -\frac{j}{g}-\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} & -\frac{d}{g} \\
0 & 1-\frac{\beta_{2}}{\alpha_{1}} & -\frac{\gamma_{2}}{\alpha_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{\beta_{3}}{\alpha_{1}} & 1-\frac{\gamma_{3}}{\alpha_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\alpha_{1} e+\beta_{2} e+\beta_{3} f}{\alpha_{1} g} & \frac{\alpha_{1} f+\gamma_{2} e+\gamma_{3} f}{\alpha_{1} g} & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

with the following four eigenvalues

$$
\lambda_{1}=2, \quad \lambda_{2,3}=1-\frac{\beta_{2}+\gamma_{3} \pm \sqrt{\left(\beta_{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)^{2}+4 \beta_{3} \gamma_{2}}}{2 \alpha_{1}}, \quad \lambda_{4}=-1
$$

According to Theorem 2, any Laurent polynomial integral of system (17) is functionally dependent on $T$ if $\operatorname{rank} G=1$, where

$$
G=\left\{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}: k_{1} \lambda_{1}+k_{2} \lambda_{2}+k_{3} \lambda_{3}+k_{4} \lambda_{4}=0\right\}
$$

This is equivalent to

$$
\tilde{k}_{2} \cdot \frac{\beta_{2}+\gamma_{3}}{2 \alpha_{1}}+\tilde{k}_{3} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\left(\beta_{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)^{2}+4 \beta_{3} \gamma_{2}}}{2 \alpha_{1}}+\tilde{k}_{4} \neq 0
$$

for any $\tilde{k}_{2}, \tilde{k}_{3}, \tilde{k}_{4} \in \mathbb{Z},\left|\tilde{k}_{2}\right|+\left|\tilde{k}_{3}\right|+\left|\tilde{k}_{4}\right| \geq 1$.
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